```
Page 1
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
2
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) 2:19-cr-00350-JD-1
               Plaintiff,
                              ) 2:19-cr-00350-JD-2
3
                                 2:19-cr-00350-JD-3
        vs.
                                 Philadelphia, PA
4
     DONNIE SMITH, ABID
5
     STEVENS AND MAURICE QUINN,) February 3, 2020
               Defendants. ) 9:49 a.m. - 5:05 p.m.
6
                      JURY TRIAL - DAY SIX
7
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAN E. DUBOIS
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
     APPEARANCES:
10
     For the Government:
                             ROBERT E. ECKERT, ESQ.
                              ASHLEY NICOLE MARTIN, ESQ.
11
                              U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
                              615 Chestnut Street
12
                              Suite 1250
                              Philadelphia, PA 19106
13
     For Defendant Smith: ROBERT C. PATTERSON, ESQ.
                              R.C. PATTERSON LAW OFFICES
14
                              3513 Southwood Drive
15
                              Easton, PA 18045
    For Defendant Stevens:
                              BARNABY C. WITTELS, ESQ.
16
                              LACHEEN DIXON WITTELS &
17
                               GREENBERG LLP
                              1429 Walnut Street
18
                              Suite 1301
                              Philadelphia, PA 19102
19
20
21
2.2
23
            Veritext National Court Reporting Company
                       Mid-Atlantic Region
                 1801 Market Street - Suite 1800
24
                      Philadelphia, PA 19103
                             1-888-777-6690
25
```

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES, CONTD.:	
2	For Defendant Quinn:	MARANNA J. MEEHAN, ESQ.
		DEFENDER ASSOCIATION OF
3		PHILADELPHIA
		Suite 540 W.
4		The Curtis Center
		601 Walnut Street
5		Philadelphia, PA 19106
6	ESR Operator:	MICHAEL COSGROVE
7	TRANSCRIBERS:	KATHERINE PETERSON AND SHARON
	WOODWARD	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Transitional Mark I am 7	Count Departing Comment
23		Court Reporting Company
2.4		lantic Region
24		Street - Suite 1800
25		lelphia, PA 191 88-777-6690
∠ ⊃	1-8	00-111-0090

Case 2:19-cr-00350-JD Document 153 Filed 11/30/20 Page 3 of 273

		Page 3
1		
	INDEX	
2		Page
3	CLOSING ARGUMENTS	
	By Ms. Martin	8
4	By Mr. Patterson	4 5
	By Mr. Wittels	8 0
5	By Ms. Meehan	98
	By Mr. Eckert	117
6		
7	JURY INSTRUCTIONS	126
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 4 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: Good morning everyone. Please be seated. 3 (Chorus of good morning) 4 5 THE COURT: First, we were held up a bit this morning because of difficulty in transporting 6 7 the Defendants, but we're ready to start now. I made minor changes in the verdict 8 9 forms to clarify the instructions regarding the 10 finding of "brandishing," and I decided one other 11 change was necessary. In the verdict forms that we 12 gave to counsel last week, we referred to "the" 13 firearm, and I decided better to use the term "a" firearm, because there were different firearms. And 14 15 that's true with respect to the three verdict forms. 16 Next, I saw your stipulations. A 17 little unusual that they're not signed, but we'll certainly receive them. One of them, it doesn't make 18 I refer to the Maurice Quinn verdict format. 19 I think it's the punctuation, quoting Stipulation No. 2.0 21 2, "There's a stipulation bind between the Government 2.2. and counsel for Defendant Maurice Quinn that had the Government presented the entirety of the available 2.3 24 phone records between February 16th and March 27th, 2019, " period. And it's obvious that what needed to 25

	Page 5		
1	be changed what needs to be changed, there needs to		
2	be a comma after 2019, because what follows is, "Those		
3	records would show semi-regular contact between Mr.		
4	Smith and Mr. Quinn." I think I should return I		
5	don't want to do the changing of the stipulations, but		
6	I think that should be done, and then we'll file the		
7	three stipulations.		
8	Ms. Meehan, is that what was intended?		
9	MS. MEEHAN: Yes, Your Honor. I'll		
10	THE COURT: Fine.		
11	MS. MEEHAN: fix it over the lunch		
12	break, Your Honor.		
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Well, we don't		
14	need it now.		
15	We're going to proceed with closing		
16	arguments. I assume you're ready, and there are no		
17	other issues?		
18	MS. MARTIN: I am, Your Honor.		
19	THE COURT: And you looked at the		
20	charge, and we'll get comments from all four of you,		
21	or however many of you there are.		
22	Government, the revised charge. Any		
23	issues?		
24	MR. ECKERT: No, Your Honor.		
25	THE COURT: Mr. Patterson?		

Page 6 MR. PATTERSON: No objection, Your 1 2 Honor, thank you. MR. WITTELS: No objection. 3 MS. MEEHAN: No objection. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Judge, I do have one 6 MR. WITTELS: 7 The Government has the qun in a box on the edge of their table. I understand they want to use it 8 in their closing. That's not a problem as long as 9 10 they do it properly, but I would ask that it be moved 11 away from the jury box, because having it there is 12 distracting to the jury and provocative. 13 THE COURT: Say no more. I agree with you. Where should we put the gun? 14 15 MS. MARTIN: Your Honor, I just don't want it to be distracting when I reach for it. 16 17 okay if I put it on this side of my table? 18 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Wittels is 19 correct, it's inappropriate to have something that would cause the jury to focus on an object and not on 20 21 the presentation. Close the box. 2.2. MS. MARTIN: Would it be all right if I closed the box? 2.3 24 THE COURT: Yes. I think that's fine. Mr. Wittels? 25

```
Page 7
                                   That's fine, Judge.
1
                    MR. WITTELS:
 2
                     THE COURT: Good. Ms. Hull, do you
     want to bring the jury in?
 3
          (Pause)
 4
 5
                     CLERK: All rise.
          (Jury in)
 6
 7
                     THE COURT: Good morning everyone.
     Please be seated.
8
9
          (Chorus of good morning)
10
                     THE COURT: On Friday, the evidence was
11
     concluded.
                After you left, we had arguments on the
12
     legal issues that are typically presented at the end
13
     of the evidentiary portion of the trial. I ruled on
     them, and now we will begin the closing arguments.
14
15
                    As I told you before, closing arguments
     are not evidence, but I've been told by many, many
16
     jurors that they find them very helpful, for among
17
     other things, they might cause you to focus on issues
18
19
     that would otherwise escape your attention.
                     The Government goes first, followed by
20
21
     -- are we back to usual order, Mr. Patterson?
2.2.
                    MR. PATTERSON: We are, Your Honor,
2.3
     yes.
24
                     THE COURT: Patterson first?
25
                    MR. PATTERSON:
                                     Yes.
```

	Page 8	
1	THE COURT: Wittels?	
2	MR. WITTELS: Yes.	
3	THE COURT: Meehan?	
4	MS. MEEHAN: Yes, Your Honor.	
5	THE COURT: And then, because the	
6	Government has the burden of proof, the Government has	
7	an opportunity for a brief rebuttal.	
8	We hope to finish the closing arguments	
9	before lunch, might not, and we'll talk about that at	
10	lunch, and I will instruct you on the law, hopefully,	
11	right after lunch, but if not, immediately following	
12	the end of the closing arguments. I'll have more to	
13	say about the schedule just before lunch.	
14	All right. Is the Government ready to	
15	proceed?	
16	MS. MARTIN: We are, Your Honor.	
17	THE COURT: You may	
18	MS. MARTIN: Thank you.	
19	THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms.	
20	Martin.	
21	CLOSING ARGUMENTS	
22	MS. MARTIN: This was not a	
23	misunderstanding. This was a robbery. Donnie Smith,	
24	Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn went into that store,	
25	they cornered the store clerk, Joel (phonetic), in the	

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 9

back corner of that store. They put two guns up to Joel's head. One, Donnie Smith, into his chest, as he reaches and takes the gun from Joel Ventura, and he leaves with that gun. We know that Maurice Smith (sic) took the money in this case. This is not a misunderstanding; this is a robbery, and you have enough evidence to convict these individuals based on the video alone. But there's so much more in this case.

Actions speak louder than words,

(indiscernible - 10:05:22) it's tried and true. And
the Judge, he instructed you on the law in this case
that embodies that principle. He told you about
direct and circumstantial evidence.

Do you remember that example he gave you, the example about the rain? If you walked outside right now, and you see that it's raining, you know that it rained. But if you're here in this windowless courtroom, somebody comes in sopping wet, rain jacket, shaking off their umbrella, you have circumstantial evidence that it rained. You have facts that allow you to conclude that something else happened. You know it rained without seeing it rain.

Why does that matter? Here -- first of all, you can never prove somebody's intent. You can't

2.3

Page 10

look inside their mind and know what their intent is, but it's their actions, it's their words, and it's their choices that allow you to infer their intent. And that's what's happening in this case. And the Judge is also going to tell you that you can use that concept, circumstantial evidence, equally valid as direct evidence, to conclude that those guns in that picture right there are real.

But let's take a step back. This all starts with the scam. Maurice Quinn walks up to that ATM at 4:47 p.m., and he takes out \$20, puts it in his wallet, walks up to the store clerk, here, puts out a fake \$20 bill, and tries to buy cigarettes. Joel Ventura, the store clerk, he tells him, "That's fake." And actually, when he was on this stand, what he told you was that he knew that money was fake the second it was put on the counter.

He tells Maurice Quinn, "That's fake money. It's not good."

How does Maurice Quinn react? Is the reaction, "Oh, wow. It's fake money. I had no idea"? No, he gets angry. He gets angry, and he throws \$100 down on the counter, and he tells Joel Ventura, "You're going to give me that money from that register."

2.2.

2.3

Page 11

He doesn't ask him to check the other bills. Are they fake? Common sense and life experience tells you that Maurice Quinn knew that money was fake when he walked up to that counter. Common sense and life experience tells you, and these ATM records tell you, that Maurice Quinn took \$20 out at 4:47 p.m., three minutes before the surveillance video starts.

You know also from those bank records, a \$42 balance remaining in Maurice Quinn's account. He couldn't have taken \$100 out if he wanted to. And you also saw those payroll deposits, those bi-weekly payroll deposits that averaged \$700 prior to this day -- prior to March 22nd, 2019. You know that next payroll check is not coming for another 10 days, and those next two payroll checks averaged \$68. The bank account balance goes into the negative and stays there. Maurice Quinn is out of money.

So what does Joel Ventura do? He calls the store manager, Isalisa (phonetic) Rodriguez, and you heard from her. And she explains to Quinn in perfect English, no possibility of a misunderstanding here, and you saw him hand over the cell phone.

Isalisa Rodriguez explains in perfect English to Maurice Quinn that the ATM is not owned by them, that

it's separate, that she's going to deal with the problem. She'll call the ATM manager, and she's on her way over.

2.3

But Maurice Quinn doesn't accept that.

And why he doesn't accept that makes perfect sense,
because the jig is up. Isalisa Rodriguez is on her
way to the store, and he knows that the second that
someone checks those ATM records, they're going to
find out he took out \$20, not \$100.

So he goes around to the other side of the counter. He thinks he can pull a fast one on Joel Ventura, a guy that doesn't speak great English. He's a little timid, a little mild-mannered. And on the other guy in the store, Emmanuel Sanchez, the new guy, the cook, he's only been there three day. He thinks if he yells loud enough, if he bangs his fist hard enough, that he can get that \$100 from Joel Ventura. That's when he escalates. Isalisa is on her way.

Putting that money in his face, putting that money in his face, demanding the money. And we'll talk about what he said, you know what he was saying. He's saying, "Give me the fucking money."

And what happens next? Abid Stevens walks in the door. And why does that matter? Because it's Abid Stevens on the other side of that counter --

2.3

Page 13

on the other side of that counter, the moment that
Maurice Quinn escalates again. And you saw me when I
was talking to Emmanuel Sanchez, I walked up to the
stand right here, and I said, "How far away are you
when you were across the counter?"

He says, "Two feet." So you have Abid Stevens yelling from one side of the counter. You have Maurice Quinn physically cornering Joel Ventura on the other side of the counter. He's advancing on him. He's cornering him. He's in his face. He's screaming at him -- screaming at him, and we know what he said. You heard that quote, and you heard it twice. "Give me fucking money. Give me fucking money. Give me fucking everything, money. Give me fucking money, Glock. Give me Glock. Give me Glock. Give me gun right now."

Joel Ventura knows, in that moment, with that quote, he knows that Maurice Quinn sees the gun on the other side of the register. He's terrified. Quinn has physically advanced on him to a point where Joel Ventura has nowhere to go, and he does the only thing that makes sense, the only thing he can do to prevent Maurice Quinn from getting that gun, Joel Ventura grabs the store gun, and he puts it down by his side.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

Page 14

He never lifts that qun. He never points that qun at anybody. He puts it down at his side. And that's when Quinn backs up. But it's not Quinn walks around the corner, he walks toward over. the exit, and we all know what happens in this moment. You know what happens in this moment. That's the moment that he bangs on the Plexiglas, bangs on the Plexiglas, and what does he say? One last threat. "I'll be back." So you have Abid Stevens in the store, standing there across the counter from Joel, again, two feet away, and you see Abid Stevens on the video. He's still screaming at Joel. And we know what's happening in that moment, because Emmanuel Sanchez is standing right there next to him. We know that Abid Stevens is saying, "Oh, you got a gun? You got a gun? You going to pull a gun on my family?" And then what does Abid Stevens say right before he leaves? He says the exact same thing. "I'll be back." Let's think about this moment, and Emmanuel Sanchez and Joel Ventura told you about this moment. All they needed was five more seconds to get that door shut. Joel Ventura is frantically telling

Emmanuel, in Spanish, to close that door, to lock that

door. Joel Ventura told you he knew something terrible was going to happen. They needed five more seconds.

2.2.

2.3

But why else is this moment important? Every single one of the Defendants in this case is outside of the store. Stop and think about that. Think about the choices that all three of them had to make to go back into that store. Think about what would have happened if they could have locked the door.

Think about where they went, too. How do you know what's happening outside of the store?

Maurice Quinn, when he leaves, that threat, "I'll be back." He goes out the store, and he goes to the right. Twenty seconds later, Abid Stevens, where does he go, out the door to the right. Donnie Smith hasn't even been in the store yet. But there, in that same moment, in that same moment where they only needed five more seconds, who do you see in the background of that video? Who do you see coming from the right-hand side, over to the left-hand side? You see Donnie Smith.

Twenty seconds after Quinn leaves, two seconds after Abid Stevens leaves, they're all over on the right-hand side. And Donnie Smith, he goes

2.2.

2.3

Page 16

running to the left-hand side. And you saw this video. He goes running from that right-hand side over to the left-hand side. And what are you going to see? You're going to see him come up on the left side to the car that we know is his. He's going to be running, running to that car.

He's at the car one, two, three, four, five, six, seven seconds, out the door with his gun, running back to the store. He hasn't even been in the store yet, but he runs to his car, six seconds, gets a gun, and comes back. Three seconds after Donnie runs from that screen, from the right to the left, that's when Maurice Quinn goes back into the store. And what's Maurice Quinn doing when he goes back into that store? It's the same thing all over again, screaming, screaming at Joel, screaming at Emmanuel Sanchez.

But what's different? We know the moment that Quinn backs off originally, it's because Joel had the store gun at his side. We know Maurice Quinn doesn't have a gun in the moment.

So what changed? What changed is that he got backup. He knows his friends are on the way.

And how do you know that? He spends 20 seconds holding the door open while he's screaming at Joel and Emmanuel.

2.2.

2.3

Page 17

Why is he holding the door open? He's holding the door open, because he knows he just sent his buddy, Donnie Smith, to get a gun and to bring it back. And what happens? Donnie Smith comes blazing in the door, blazing in the door right behind him. He leans in, he tells his wife to leave -- and we'll go back to her in a minute. He tells his wife to leave, and you know exactly what's going to happen next. In that moment, tells her to leave, he's going to walk into the middle of the store, he's going to take up, almost, a position, and then he's going to pull out that gun, and he's going to point it at Joel Ventura.

Donnie Smith was on a mission in that moment. So let's talk about what Donnie Smith knew or didn't know when he walked into that store. No possible way he could have seen Joel Ventura with a gun. We've heard all this about his wife being in the store, how she was going to get locked in this store, and he needed to protect her. Well, Emmanuel and Joel are talking in Spanish when they're talking about locking the door, first of all. Second of all, no way he could have known that Joel had a gun, but for Maurice Quinn telling him outside.

But how do you know that this isn't about Donnie's wife? How do you know he didn't see

2.2.

2.3

Page 18

Joel with a gun? Look at where Joel's hands are when Donnie Smith walks into the door. Both hands are up in the air. That store gun is in Joel Ventura's pocket. Donnie Smith makes the decision to pull out a gun before he ever sees Joel Ventura with a gun.

And, again, right here, the moment that you see Donnie Smith pull the gun, where is Joel Ventura's gun? It's in his pocket. The store gun is in his pocket. And, by the way, where's Donnie Smith's wife? Out the door. At the moment he pulls the gun, his wife's gone. There it is again, the gun's even higher, raised at his chest. Joel can't even get the store gun out of his pocket.

And who's coming in hot 10 seconds later? Abid Stevens in the background, right as Donnie Smith's wife's walking out. Ten seconds after Donnie Smith goes in and lines up a position on Joel Ventura.

And what do we know? What do we know about Abid Stevens? What do you know about Abid Stevens? He's got that gun in his hand already. He's out of the store for 44 seconds after he says, "I'll be back." He says, "I'll be back," and he comes back with a gun, 10 seconds after Donnie Smith comes in with a gun.

2.2.

2.3

Page 19

In this moment, you know that Donnie
Smith and Maurice Quinn have started physically
advancing on Joel Ventura yet again, physically
advancing on him into that corner. But I want to
focus on what you see Mr. Stevens doing at this point.
Stevens is acting as crowd control. He's got his hand
out. He's making sure that Emmanuel Sanchez can't get
involved in this situation. He makes sure that it
stays outnumbered 3 to 2. There he is, Emmanuel
Sanchez, arms up, with Abid Stevens' arm out. And
what in Abid Stevens' hand? It's that gun. A gun
that Emmanuel Sanchez told you he absolutely thought
was real.

But then Stevens gets involved. He starts pushing him into the corner, pushing him into the corner with the other two. And that's the moment both guns are up, trained on Joel Ventura's face, chest. And all three of the Defendants are standing there in that moment. They're about to go for the gun. Is Donnie Smith going for the gun? Look at where Donnie Smith's gun is in relation to Joel Ventura. It's in his chest. It's physically in his chest with his left hand as he's reaching for the gun. This is the moment the gun's stolen, reaching for the gun with his right hand.

2.2.

2.3

Page 20

And where's Maurice Quinn? Hot on his tail. Maurice Quinn is right there, acting as backup, at the moment that Donnie Smith goes for the gun.

And what's Abid Stevens doing? Abid Stevens has his gun trained on Joel Ventura's chest the entire time.

There is the moment they get the gun.

And how do you know they've got the gun? It's right there in Donnie's hands. Right there in Donnie

Smith's hands, both hands, he's got the gun. He's got his gun in his left hand, he's got the store gun in his right hand.

Quinn, it's about the money. He goes after Joel
Ventura again. Now, they have all of the guns, and
they've got the manpower. He starts screaming at Joel
Ventura again. He strangles Joel Ventura at one
point. They have all the guns at this point. And
here comes Donnie Smith again, gun out, trained on
Joel Ventura as Maurice Quinn strangles him.

That's when Maurice Quinn goes behind the counter. He's behind the counter for 47 seconds, 47 seconds, and what does he do? He takes the sleeves of his sweatshirt, and for 47 seconds, he's pushing buttons on that register. 47 seconds, but he can't

get it open.

2.0

2.3

So what does he do? Flying back in Joel Ventura's face. And then he's going to order him, he's going to force him, he's going to tell him that you're getting behind that register, and you're giving me the money. And that's exactly what he does. What else can Joel Ventura do in that moment when there's three men, three guns, and we know where Donnie Smith's standing this entire time, as well.

Donnie Smith's in the middle of that store, hands in both pockets. And you know there's two guns in those pockets. He's standing between the exit -- he's standing between Joel Ventura, Emmanuel Sanchez, and the exit the entire time. And you know what he's been saying to Maurice Quinn when he's on the other side of that counter. "Take it all. Take it all."

You know that he hands the gun off to Abid Stevens, that Abid Stevens hands the gun off to Maurice as they're leaving, before they're leaving when Abid Stevens is telling him to get out of there. Abid Stevens is going to stay behind, and we'll talk about why in a minute. But they take the gun, and Abid Stevens does the only things he can do in this moment, try to convince Isalisa Rodriguez not to make

this a police matter.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

There, the moment that Emmanuel Sanchez told you police sirens are going. They hear the police sirens, and Maurice Quinn is physically removing Donnie Smith from the scene, pulling him along. And you know what happens when Abid Stevens can't convince Isalisa Rodriquez not to make it a police matter. He gets in her face. He starts screaming at her. He starts threatening her. He tells her, "This is my neighborhood. This is my block, and I will shut your store down."

This is not a misunderstanding. This is not a misunderstanding. This is a robbery. And at the end of these closings, the Judge is going to instruct you on the law, but I want to take a minute, and I want to go through each of the charges.

The first is Hobbs Act robbery. Hobbs
Act robbery in its most simple form, most simple
terms, is a violent taking. It's a violent taking
from an individual who works in a business that
interacts in interstate commerce. That means they buy
and sell goods that travel across state lines. And
we'll get to "interstate commerce" in a second, but a
violent taking. Violence, it means violence. It's
actual or threatened force. And a taking. Here, we

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 23

have the taking of the gun, and we have the taking of the money.

How do we know there's violence here?
Well, you heard the threats. "I'll be back. I'll be back," the threats that Stevens makes at the end of it, but there's obviously guns in Joel Ventura's face at the moment that the gun is taken from him. There are three men in the store outnumbering them at the moment that the money is taken. He's choked, strangled before the money's taken. And then, of course, you know that there is an actual taking.

Another element that you need to find as a jury is that both Joel Ventura, or one or the other, Joel Ventura and Emmanuel Sanchez had a reasonable fear of injury. They told you that they thought those guns were real. Those guns were pointed at them, and they were scared for their life. Joel Ventura told you he thought his life was one fingerpull away from being over. Emmanuel Sanchez told you that he was afraid in this moment. These men had guns on them. This man had a gun in his chest. Reasonable fear of injury.

Interstate commerce. This is an element that's established when something's taken from a business that transacts across state lines. They

2.2.

2.3

Page 24

buy and sell goods from people in other places. You heard Isalisa Rodriguez testify that she buys her hoagie rolls from New Jersey, and she buys bulk foods from Krasdale (phonetic) in New York. That's enough for interstate commerce, buying things that cross state lines.

But there's a little bit more in this case. And there's a couple of other nuances the Judge is going to tell you about. He's going to tell you that, one, the Defendants don't have to intend to affect interstate commerce, don't have to prove that. He's going to tell you that it's something that affected or could have affected interstate commerce, meaning the flow of goods. And he's going to tell you that it doesn't have to be a lot, minimal is acceptable.

And here, we have actual interference with interstate commerce. This is earlier in the surveillance video when Maurice Quinn is physically encroaching upon Joel Ventura behind the counter. He's backing him into the counter. You have this gentleman here in the middle. He's got a lemonade, and he's got money out. Essentially, at the exact moment that Maurice Quinn knocks those Slim Jims over, this man sets his soda down -- his lemonade, and he

walks out of the store. So he walks out on a sale.

Same thing with this gentleman in the background, here, in the blue with the little girl.

He's going -- he's got six bags of chips in his hand.

At the moment that Abid Stevens comes in and is

screaming at Joel from the other side of the counter,

that's when this man puts his stuff down on the

8 counter, and he leaves. And you see that same stuff

sitting on the counter during the robbery. It's the

10 same stuff that Emmanuel Sanchez is putting away.

11 Commerce was actually disrupted here, because they

12 missed out on business. That's interstate commerce,

in and of itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

So I told you what Hobbs Act robbery is, but I'd like to also explain conflict liability. It's also known as aiding and abetting. The law is based on common sense. The law makes sure that there -- that people who participate in a crime, such as a robbery, are held accountable, even if they are not the ones who physically took the item from an individual.

Everyone's heard of an accomplice. You hear about it on TV, you hear about it in the movies. It's your partner in crime. It's the person who helps you out. It's the person who helps you accomplish

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 26

your goals. And that's essentially what the law says. The law says that we need to establish that somebody actually committed the robbery, so, meaning, Maurice Quinn with the money, or Donnie Smith with the gun, that the accomplice knew it was happening, intended to help, and actually helped. It's as simple as that.

Take a classic bank robbery example. You've got three guys who go to rob a bank. You've got the getaway driver. You've got the guy that goes into the bank. He's going to disarm the security guard. And then you've got the guy that actually steals the money. Well, we don't actually just -- we don't hold the guy who stole the money responsible, we hold all three responsible. All three are guilty of the robbery, because they agreed to participate in the crime, they intended to help, and they did help. That's what we have here.

Let's talk about it with regard to the store gun. You know, first of all, robbery was committed. You know that Donnie Smith stole the gun. We've gone over it now a couple of times. You saw the moment on the video where Donnie Smith took the store gun. You saw the moment on the video when Donnie Smith has both of the guns. Joel Ventura testified that Donnie Smith stole the store gun from him. And

then you know that the store gun ends up in Donnie Smith's car at the site of the car crash after the high-speed chase. So you have the robbery.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

Now, how did Abid Stevens help, and did Abid Stevens intend to help? You know that moment after Maurice Quinn leaves the store. You know what Emmanuel Sanchez said. Abid Stevens is screaming at Joel Ventura, "Oh, you're going to pull a gun? You're going to pull a gun? You're going to pull a gun on my family?" 44 seconds later, after going out of the store and coming back, he comes back with a gun. He's acting as crowd control with Emmanuel, and then he's physically advancing on him in the corner, training his gun on Joel Ventura's chest to make sure that Donnie Smith can get that gun. That's knowing what was going on, intending to help, and then actually helping, making sure that the gun was taken. There he is helping Donnie Smith with that gun. But for him trained on Joel Ventura in that moment, Donnie Smith can't take the gun.

Same thing for Maurice Quinn. You heard what happened. You heard the quote it's -- that was being said that he said to Joel Ventura on the other side before he goes around the counter. You heard the threat, "I'll be back." And he does come back. He

2.2.

2.3

Page 28

comes back, and he comes back with his two buddies, and he's right there, again, same thing. He's making sure that Donnie Smith can achieve his goal. They're outnumbering three to one, three to two, if you count Emmanuel Sanchez. But look at where Maurice Quinn is the moment that Donnie takes the gun. He knew what was happening, he intended to help, and he did help.

With regard to the money -- we haven't even talked about the money yet. For Quinn, it's all about the money, right? How do you know it was all about the money for Maurice Quinn? It all starts with that scam. It starts with walking up to the counter with your fake \$20 bill and trying to buy cigarettes.

Look at those early escalation points. When Isalisa Rodriguez is on the phone saying, "We can fix this. I'm on my way over," he knows that the jig is up, so he's got to go around the counter. He's got to try to physically force Joel Ventura to give him the money. "Give me fucking money. Give me fucking money. Give me fucking everything, money. Give me fucking money. Give me Glock. Give me gun. Right now. Right now." And remember, this is 22 days after the incident during Joel Ventura's Grand Jury testimony that he gave this quote. That's what Maurice Quinn wants. You know Maurice Quinn's

intent. You know his intent when he says, "I'll be back," and when he comes back.

2.2.

2.3

Is it violent? Absolutely. Does

Maurice Quinn ultimately get what he wants? Is the

money taken? Yes.

Let's take a step back, though. Let's throw the entire scam out the window. We've heard -- or there have been questions about -- I'm sorry, I think it was in opening statement, Ms. Meehan told you that this wasn't a taking, because he was entitled to the money. So let's throw the scam out for just a second.

Let me give you an example. Let's say
I go to the PNC later today, PNC Bank down the street,
I use the ATM in the vestibule, I take out \$100, and I
only get \$80. I can't get two buddies, bum rush the
store, strangle the teller, put guns to their head,
and take \$20 out of the cash register. It's my money,
I'm entitled to it, but I can't do that. The law
doesn't permit it.

Regardless of whether or not the scam was real or fake, or whether or not he got fake money, although, again, I ask you to use your common sense when you look at those bank records, that's not what's happening. You can't do that. You can't run into a

bank and take money from the cash register.

2.3

How do we know that Abid Stevens is helping? How do we know that he knows about the money? Again, he's standing on the other side of the counter at the moment that that quote happens. He's originally there, he comes in, Quinn's behind the counter. "Give me fucking money. Give me fucking money." That's what's happening right in that moment. You know that he knows about the money, and you know that he's going to help his friend. You know that he goes and says, "All right, you're going to pull a gun on my friend? You're going to pull a gun on my friend, my family?" Goes, gets the gun, comes back, he's there to help Maurice Quinn.

any of the money, and it doesn't matter that he stays later on, given that that's his only choice when he knows that they have made a very bad decision. What matters is what he was thinking in this moment. What matters is when -- what he was thinking the moment that he came back into this store with a gun. He's there to help his friend. He does help his friend, and Maurice Quinn walks out of the store with the money. Again, crowd control, he's helping. He is acting as an accomplice in this moment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

Page 31

How do we know that Donnie Smith intended to help Maurice Quinn? Not only does he -the timing of the outside cameras, the running to the right, two seconds later, Donnie Smith's running to get a gun, and then he's immediately inside the store, but he helps Quinn get that money by taking the gun from Joel Ventura. Once the store gun is out of the equation, it allows Maurice Quinn to go behind the counter and attempt to get that money. But you also know from Donnie Smith's own words, when he's on the other side of that counter, when Maurice is back there with his hands over his -- with his sweatshirt over his hands, so he won't leave fingerprints or DNA behind, we know what Donnie Smith is saying on the other side of the cash register. Donnie Smith is saying, "Take it all. Take it all." His intent is clear from his own words, and he obviously helped by taking the gun.

Count II that you're going to see in the indictment -- well, actually, let me take a step back. What I just explained to you are a bunch of different theories of liability. What you're actually going to see on your verdict form is: are each of these individuals guilty of Hobbs Act Robbery as either an abettor or guilty of Hobbs Act Robbery? You

2.3

Page 32

can find any one of the ways that I just explained to you. As a principal, Donnie Smith taking the gun, Maurice Quinn taking the money, or as an aider and abettor. They're equally guilty. You'll see one for each of the Defendants, and you are supposed to check yes.

Count II, using or carrying a firearm in connection to a crime of violence. It is what it sounds like. It's having a gun out, and it's using it in connection to the robbery.

The Judge is going to instruct you on the definition of a firearm. He's going to tell you that a firearm is anything that's readily capable of firing a projectile. What he's not going to tell you is that you need some sort of functionality test or results of a functionality test to know whether or not these were firearms.

He's going to tell you that the same set of principles, direct and circumstantial evidence, apply the element of a firearm in this case, that you can use circumstantial evidence to determine that these guns are real. You can use the video in this case. You can use pictures of the gun. You can use the real gun. We know for sure this gun was test fired, what this looks like in comparison to those

guns.

2.2.

2.3

You can used the testimony of Joel
Ventura, who was too inches away from that gun, two
inches away when Donnie Smith had it pointed in his
face, a foot away when Abid Stevens has that gun
pointed in his face, and Joel Ventura testified, he
told you that he's familiar with guns, and that he
absolutely thought those guns were real. Same with
Emmanuel. You can look at these photos for yourself,
and again, you can compare them to the real gun.

You know what you can also consider?
Think about how they're using them. Think about how these individuals held and handled these guns. Were they handled like fake guns, or were they handled like real guns. Look at the way that Abid Stevens, earlier on when he first comes in. Do you remember when he's got that gun pointed down at the ground? He's being cautious with it. Is there a reason to be cautious with a fake gun? There's no reason to put your finger on the trigger of a fake gun.

And when Donnie Smith gets that store gun, the gun that I just showed you, the gun that we are absolutely certain, functionality test this, one's real, when Donnie Smith gets this gun, he puts it in his right pocket, and then he continues to use that

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 34

gun in his left hand. If you're using a fake gun and you just picked up a real gun, why keep using the fake gun? And we know in this moment, that's when he came back at him. That's when he came back at Joel Ventura. We know that that other gun's in his pocket.

Look at these pictures. Compare the guns, consider the testimony, consider the video, consider how it was handled and held.

And one more thing. Why pass off a fake gun? Abid Stevens hands off his gun to Maurice Quinn before police arrive. If it's a fake gun, why are you handing it off to your friend? And then Donnie Smith, he leaves a real gun in his car after he bails out after the high speed chase. That's a \$700 gun. You heard from Isalisa Rodriguez. It's a \$685 gun. You don't accidentally leave behind a real gun and take a fake gun. Those guns are real.

You're also going to be asked whether or not they were brandished, and you'll see a definition in the jury instructions. What that means is were the guns used in a way to intimidate someone. I'm not going to show you the picture again, but come on, the guns are, two of them, in Joel Ventura's face, being used to intimidate him in that moment. The gun is being held at Abid Stevens' side to hold Emmanuel

back, being used to intimidate him.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

You haven't heard me talk about Maurice Quinn with regard to this element yet. We know that Maurice Quinn isn't carrying the gun until the moment that he takes the gun from Abid Stevens. But the law is smart. The law is based on common sense. The law recognizes if you join up with a bunch of people to go commit a crime and they're carrying guns, you don't get off the hook just because you didn't have one, as long as you knew that the guns were going to be used.

So with Maurice Quinn, what you have to consider is whether or not he had advanced knowledge of the guns. I want to explain what "advanced knowledge" means. Advanced knowledge doesn't mean before he walked back into the store that second time. Advanced knowledge means at the moment or at a moment that he had the opportunity to do something about it, that he had the opportunity to walk away from the criminal venture.

If you look at the video, if you look at the video at the moment that Donnie Smith comes in and pulls out his gun, Maurice Quinn is the one that is the closest to the exit. He's the closest to the exit, and he can leave at any time. When Abid Stevens comes in and pulls out his gun, Maurice Quinn actually

2.2.

2.3

Page 36

goes for it. If you look at the video closely enough, it looks like he wants to control the gun, not in a way, like, "I didn't know you were using a gun," like in the way that he wants the gun for himself in that moment. But he's the one that's closest to the door.

And then they advance on Joel Ventura. They advance on him, they advance on him, they advance on him, and those guns are out the entire time.

Maurice Quinn never leaves, never makes a move to leave.

And think about when that money is actually taken. We're talking about minutes later. We're talking about minutes, and every single second of one of those minutes was an opportunity where Maurice Quinn knew guns were being used, and he had the opportunity to leave. Nobody's holding a gun to his head. Nobody's preventing him from leaving. He had advance knowledge that those guns were going to be used.

I know we've talked about a lot of theories of liability, but there's just one more. The Judge is going to charge you on conspiratorial liability, a conspiracy. Everyone's heard of conspiracy. And at the end of the day, what it really means is if you find that these three individuals had

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 37

an agreement, and I'm not talking about a written contract, I'm not talking about blueprints or schematics in "Ocean 11," I'm talking about whether or not they had an agreement, a mutual understanding, spoken or unspoken, at the moment that they walked into the store, at the moment that they're cornering Joel Ventura, to accomplish a common objective, to take that gun from Joel Ventura. You can find them guilty of all of these crimes on the basis of conspiracy.

Again, remember, these are a menu of options. These are all of the different ways that the law holds individuals responsible.

I want to talk about one more instruction that you're going to hear before I sit down, consciousness of guilt. The Judge is going to give you an instruction that you can consider the fact that Donnie Smith fled from police as consciousness of his own guilt. But there is more than just the flight from police, with regard to all three of the Defendants, evidence that you can consider that they knew what they were doing was wrong.

With Donnie Smith, it starts out with the moment that the sirens happened. This is equally applicable to Maurice Quinn. That's the moment that

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 38

he's dragging him out of the store, because they hear the police are coming. But then we all know what happens next. We all know what happens when Donnie Smith starts to go to his car. Officer Ferreira is on his way at this point, and he told you he had lights and sirens going, but that he turns them off. You're going to see Donnie Smith start to jog. There he is. He's running to his car, because Officer Ferreira is zooming up Sharpnack Street the wrong way.

Donnie Smith doesn't make a move to leave. He doesn't take off in that moment. He's sitting there. He's got to be watching Officer Ferreira, who gets out of his police car now, and he starts heading toward R.D. Grocery, and but for the operators at the Real Time Crime Center, who have this video pulled up, we'd never know that Maurice -- or that Donnie Smith's in that car.

But remember Police Officer Ferreira testified he gets that radio alert from Real Time Crime that there possibly a suspect still on location in that dark-colored vehicle. Donnie Smith has to have been watching him this whole time, sees him come up on the passenger side, or if not, he's certainly seen him by now, through the front windshield, that's when Officer Ferreira sees Mr. Smith in the car. He

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 39

goes around to the side. Donnie Smith doesn't open the door, he doesn't roll down the window, so Officer Ferreira tries to get him out of the car. And that's the moment that Donnie Smith chooses to take off, dragging Officer Ferreira a few feet along the way. But for that officer behind him, who knows if it actually would have turned into a high speed chase.

But we all know how that chase ended.

It ends not too far away. Donnie Smith has crashed the car, and we heard a lot about this car. We know it's Donnie Smith's car, he's stopped in it seven days before, a 2010 Ford Taurus with New Jersey temp tags.

And if that's not enough, you know that the Complainant -- the store gun is in the car, and you know that that Legend hat -- the black Legend hat that you see in the video, that that's in the car.

Why does the car matter? Why do the details of the car matter? Because Donnie Smith calls 911 at 5:43 p.m. and attempts to put a recorded alibi on the record for himself. He attempts to say that an unknown assailant stole his car, took off from police. So this isn't just he made a bad decision in the moment to flee from police. He has 50 minutes after the robbery, 50 minutes, probably 40 minutes after he crashed the car to think about how he's going to get

himself out of this.

2.3

How do you know that it's Donnie Smith on that 911 call? You saw the Dante Smith cell phone records. First of all, Dante Smith, we know they're prepaid records. We know that you can use any name. Mr. Sierra from T-Mobile testified to that. But you also have the contacts on those phone records.

Remember 50 calls between Dante Smith number and the Carlene Webster number, Donnie Smith's wife. And that's in the four days on either side of the robbery, 50 contacts. Seven calls between them the night of the robbery, just after the robbery, between 5:07 and 5:29 p.m. That's Carlene Webster and Donnie Smith -- Dante Smith having communication.

We know that there are seven contacts in those four days with the Maurice Quinn phone number, that phone number that was stipulated to. And then we know, at the moment right before and after the 911 call, we have multiple calls between the Dante Smith number and Charlene Webster, Donnie Smith's wife. And then just five minutes after the 911 call, we have an incoming call from Maurice Quinn. The Dante Smith phone number, those phone records, they belong to Donnie Smith.

And if that's not enough, look at the

Page 41 time line of the 911 call, 5:43 p.m. And then all 1 2 those dispatch calls I played for you. 5:57 p.m., the 3 dispatch officer, the police on the scene say, "Hey, we went out there, no Complainant on scene about that 4 5 car." 5:58 p.m., supervisor tells the officers on the street, "All right. I'll call that caller back." 6 7 it's at 6:00 p.m. in those Dante Smith cell phone records that there is a two-minute incoming call from 8 9 (215) 686-3128, which Miss Cordallis (phonetic) 10 testified is 911 dispatch, a two-minute call where 911 11 dispatch calls back the Dante Smith phone number. 12 Says he'll return to the location, and then we know no 13 caller on scene. 14 Listen to the details that he gives 15 about that car. 16 (Audio plays) 17 DISPATCHER: Friday -- 2019, 1743 and 18 36 seconds. 19 MR. SMITH: What? DISPATCHER: Philadelphia Police Radio 20 21 (Indiscernible - 10:49:54.) Dispatch. 2.2. MR. SMITH: How you doing, ma'am? Somebody just jumped in my 2010 maroon car. Some kind 2.3 24 of commotion was going on on Sharpnack and Ross, and 25 whoever the assailant was, I'm not even sure what

	Page 42
1	happened.
2	DISPATCHER: Where are you at?
3	MR. SMITH: Where am I at?
4	DISPATCHER: Yes.
5	MR. SMITH: I'm on Chew and Sharpnack.
6	I had to walk
7	DISPATCHER: Chew and Sharpneck?
8	MR. SMITH: Yeah. I walked down the
9	block to get a pack of cigarettes.
10	DISPATCHER: All right. Somebody went
11	in your vehicle and took it?
12	MR. SMITH: No, they took off.
13	DISPATCHER: In your vehicle, right?
14	MR. SMITH: Yeah.
15	DISPATCHER: All right. What type
16	what do you know your license plate?
17	MR. SMITH: It was I just got temp
18	tags on it. I just bought the car. It's got New
19	Jersey temp tags on it.
20	DISPATCHER: Okay. All right. Listen,
21	I'm going to get the police out there as soon as
22	possible. Did you see the person that went in the
23	vehicle?
24	MR. SMITH: No. Actually, I was in a
25	store.

Page 43 DISPATCHER: All right. And let me ask 1 2 you a question. Are the keys with the vehicle? 3 Yes, they were. MR. SMITH: DISPATCHER: All right. No problem. 4 5 MR. SMITH: I stopped to get a pack of 6 cigarettes there. But I didn't -- I seen some 7 commotion, but I didn't pay no attention to it. DISPATCHER: No problem. 8 9 (Audio stopped) 10 MS. MARTIN: Donnie Smith was the 11 commotion. And then he spent 50 minutes after 12 crashing his car thinking about how he can call 911 13 and try to come up with an alibi for himself, try to come up with an unknown assailant that took his car 14 15 and crashed it. 16 There's consciousness of quilt with 17 regard to Maurice Quinn, as well. Again, it's -putting his sweatshirt over the hands. Those aren't 18 the actions of a man that thinks that he's entitled to 19 the money in that register. He wants to make sure 2.0 21 he's not leaving behind fingerprints or DNA when he is 2.2. pushing the buttons on that register. You don't take your buddy's qun. 2.3 24 don't take a gun that's not real, first of all, but you don't take a gun from somebody if you don't think 25

2.2.

2.3

Page 44

that there was a problem with what you've done in this store. And you don't drag your other friend out of the store the second you hear police sirens.

There's consciousness of guilt with regard to Abid Stevens, as well, again, handing off that gun. No reason to hand off that gun unless you're concerned about what's going to happen when the police come.

And then you have the threats to
Isalisa Rodriguez. The need to scream at a five foot
two woman that you are going to shut down her store,
that you own this block, that this is your
neighborhood. Think about that. Isalisa Rodriguez
lives above the store. You heard that. She's got two
kids. He's threatening her livelihood in this moment,
and she takes it seriously. What's more, not a single
one of those individuals ever come back in the store
ever again.

This was not a misunderstanding. This was a robbery. And at the end of closing, during the rebuttal closing, my co-counsel, Mr. Eckert is going to address you again, and he is going to ask you to return the only verdict that's supported by the evidence in this case, a verdict of guilty on all counts.

Page 45 Thank you. Mr. Patterson. 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. (Pause) 3 MR. PATTERSON: May it please the 4 5 Court, counsel, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, with 6 the jury's indulgence, I just want to get set up here. 7 (Pause) MR. PATTERSON: I promise you this is 8 the level of technology I'll be using today, if it 9 10 works. (Pause) 11 12 MR. PATTERSON: Okay. Now, when you 13 heard from me five and a half days ago on Monday, a week from today, obviously, it was an opening 14 15 statement. I told you what an opening statement was. I'm not going to go through the whole thing again, but 16 I was clear with an opening statement is what I think 17 that the testimony and evidence is going to show, and 18 19 what I think that the evidence and testimony is going to show, because obviously, I have some discovery that 20 21 the Government gives me, so I have the knowledge of 2.2. what's there. But then I haven't heard any of the testimony, nor have you, has the jury heard it. 2.3 24 The closing is much more important, 25 though, opening statement versus a closing argument.

2.3

Page 46

Closing argument is now I get to get up and say, "Yes. See, I told you six days ago -- a week ago that I was right what the evidence showed, what the evidence didn't show."

And as I said in my opening, I am here for one person and one person only. I am here for Donnie Smith. I am Donnie Smith's attorney. My opening statement is related to Donnie Smith, and my closing argument is going to relate to Donnie Smith. Now, there are two other actors present in the courtroom. They have their own attorneys. So I, typically, will tend to not use their names. I'll focus on my client unless, obviously, there will come appoint in my closing where I may have to mention somebody.

The other thing about a closing argument that's important is that what I say now -- what I say in the next 40 minutes, and what the Government just said for the last hour, is that -- I'm starting my timer right now, so excuse me. What I say is not evidence. You've heard the evidence. You've heard the testimony. And you heard -- you will hear the Judge's instructions. And it's my interpretation of the evidence.

If my notes -- and this is important.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 47

If my notes and what I say now is different than what you remember and what's in your notes, your memory and your notes rule. Doing that -- doing these type of trials, very fluid, a lot of stuff going on. I've got to listen to what the Government is asking. I have to listen to what the witness is responding to. I've got to think of what I'm going to say on a cross or a recross. I might miss something or I might misstate something, or it might not comport with what you have or what you remember and what you've written down. Your facts, your memories, your notes rule. This is not my job to mislead you. This is my job to recount the evidence as I remember it and as I heard it.

Now, I do this a little differently. I have notes I prepared. There is a lot of things that I want to point out, so I will reference my notes every once in a while.

Burden of proof, His Honor, we have some instruction -- preliminary instructions before this started regarding the burden of proof. The burden of proof in a criminal case is the highest burden that there is in the -- in a criminal trial in Federal Court. It is beyond a reasonable doubt. Now, again, His Honor will give you the jury instructions when we're all done with this closing stuff.

2.2.

2.3

Page 48

Now, I do know that there is one word that you're going to hear from me right now, and you're going to hear from His Honor again, what His Honor reads you with respect to the definition of a "reasonable doubt," that's what rules for your deliberations.

There's one common word, and that is "hesitate." In State court, they define the type of doubt as the type of doubt that you would make a reasonable, prudent person hesitate before acting on a matter of importance in their own affairs. Federal is a little bit different, and again, His Honor will read you exactly what it is. The word is "hesitate."

When you are deliberating this case -when you're looking back at the evidence and the
testimony, and you're comparing that and trying to fit
that into the jury instructions, the law to apply, to
determine whether they satisfied the burden beyond a
reasonable doubt, the question is will you hesitate.
Now, when I get done with my closing, I'm going to
suggest that you'll be hesitating a lot.

There's other instructions, and we focus this on voir dire. Voir dire, again, is just to get a jury that's going to decide this case on three things: evidence, testimony, and judge's instructions.

2.3

Page 49

There's also other things that were in your -- that we asked questions, a lot questions with respect: does anybody have any issue with the Defendant's right to remain silent. That is a right in our Constitution that a defendant does not have to testify. And the Judge will read you a jury instruction at the end, and he will tell you specifically you may not -- you may not draw a negative inference for the mere fact that somebody decided to exercise their Constitutional right to remain silent. So please take that into consideration, and please follow that instruction. It's very important in a case such as this.

Now, there's also going to be a jury instruction that's called justification. Now, this jury instruction only pertains to Donnie Smith. The jury instruction is justification. There's a lot of jury instructions, so it's down towards the bottom, but just wait for it, and you'll hear it.

The Government has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that everything that they just said in the closing actually happened, that the robbery happened, that there was a conspiracy, that they brandished the firearm. They have to prove all of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt, again, the type of doubt to make a reasonable, prudent person

hesitate before acting on an important matter.

2.2.

2.3

Justification -- now, I'm going out of order with respect to this -- my closing, because I think it's -- sequentially, it kind of leads to where I want to get to the end. Justification also has a burden of proof. If, and only if, the Government proves each and every element beyond a reasonable doubt, then the burden -- it's very unusual that a burden would shift to a Defendant -- to Mr. Smith -- but that burden is by preponderance of the evidence. That's the lowest standard in the law, reasonable doubt, highest standard, preponderance, lowest burden. And the Judge will describe -- His Honor will describe what that burden is and how to visualize it, look past the words, and he'll tell you how and what it is.

But preponderance of the evidence is a scale, you know, the lady justice with the -- not a digital scale, a regular, old-fashioned scale. You put two pennies on it, they stay even, and they don't go anywhere. That means that we did not prove a preponderance that my client was justified in doing what he did. Now, you throw a dime on there. We throw a dime on there. It sinks down a little bit. That's a preponderance of the evidence. If you think that that scale sunk down a little bit on our behalf,

2.2.

2.3

Page 51

then you can find Mr. Smith not guilty. And this is in your jury instructions. I'm not making it up. It stays even, we don't satisfy our burden. You put that dime on the other side, the Government's side, it weighs down there. Again, we didn't satisfy our burden. Now, obviously, I am going to tell you here that it's my belief, when I get towards the end of this, that he did satisfy our burden beyond a -- preponderance of the evidence.

And before I start talking about justification, again, I'm out of order on this, but I'm going to tell you where I'm going to end up in a few minutes. You do not even get to the justification instruction, only if the Government proves beyond a reasonable doubt each and every element that they're - that my client, Donnie Smith, is charged with.

Now, of course, I would submit that they'll never do that based upon the evidence as you heard it, based upon the testimony, based upon the videos, and particularly the videos, when you compare that to the Judge's instructions.

Now, there are four elements for a justification. To cut this down, I'm just going to talk about the first one, and then the Judge will read the other three elements, and you can -- they kind of

flow from the first one, which is the most important.

2.0

2.3

Donnie Smith was under an immediate unlawful threat of death or serious bodily injury to himself or others. Now, "others" is a biggie here, to himself or others, meaning him and his wife. It was uncontroverted and unequivocal that she was in the store when this happened.

And the other thing that we do know for our burden to tip the scales no matter how slightly, we do know some things. We know that the store owner -- I'm going to refer to him as Joel. He had -- his first -- his formal name is a little bit different, but Joel Ventura. He had a loaded gun. Mr. Quinn didn't have a loaded gun. Mr. Quinn didn't have anything. The only two people in the store before this all happened -- this is in the video, was Mr. Quinn and Joel. Joel pulled a loaded gun.

So knowing -- and that's a loaded, functional gun, and I'll get to what my client had in his hands a little bit later on, but we know that that was a loaded, functional gun that can fire a projectile and kill somebody. And the expert said it was loaded -- not loaded, strike that -- that there was eight rounds when he got it from the Philadelphia Police Department.

2.3

Page 53

A lot of hay was made about this semiautomatic weapon with Mr. Ventura, he's the -- he was
the store owner. And then you had Mr. Sanchez. He
was there for three days, and he's the cook, I think.
A lot of stuff was said -- was there a round chamber
or wasn't there a round chamber? I asked questions,
my colleagues asked questions, and do you know why
that's important? Because the only game in town that
day with a loaded gun who could kill somebody was Joel
Ventura.

"I took it out of the cubby, and I just kept it down at my side." And I asked and everybody else did, "Did you chamber a round?" "No, I didn't chamber a round."

Okay. So we played the video. We kept playing it over and over and over again, and I think you can see it. Again, your recollection rules in this -- in my closing argument. He had the gun here, and you see the video. And I'll do it from the standpoint that you saw it. The video is, like, behind him, and you can see something here, and you see this, real quick. I played it a lot. You see that and you see this.

Now, when we had two people, one a gun expert, who's tested functionality on tens of

2.2.

2.3

Page 54

thousands of firearms, and the other officer, who is not trained -- he said he's not trained, and he didn't have any expertise in the function of guns, but he's a detective. He's dealt with guns. Each one of those confirmed that that movement that I described to them, and to you, and for the record of my left hand going real fast over my right hand is consistent with somebody chambering a round.

Now, Mr. Ventura says, "No. I didn't do that." But what does the evidence show? I will submit for the jury's consideration, Mr. Ventura locked and loaded that functional gun, and he was ready. He was loaded for bear. And it's important for the defense of justification.

I also was the only attorney that just, kind of, asked a few questions of the one patrolman about chain of custody. You know, when you see a piece of evidence, you don't disturb it, you leave it where it lies. Somebody will then come in and take a picture of it. Once they take a picture of it, an officer will then handle the gun with latex gloves. He will make sure that it's not -- does not have a chambered round, because it's going to bagged and tagged, put into an evidence bag, and sent off to a crime lab somewhere.

2.3

Page 55

Unfortunately, in this case, the officer -- and I am totally cool with that, he probably -- he just -- he was honest, "I don't remember if there was a round chambered," not that there wasn't, he doesn't remember. So again, look back to the evidence of what you saw Joel Ventura do, and what the two officers said is consistent with somebody chambering a round.

That gun was loaded. That gun could have killed Donnie Smith, and that gun -- not the gun. What am I saying? Joel Ventura could have killed Donnie Smith. And Joel Ventura could have killed his wife. You can speculate all you want about these other "guns," quotation marks, that my client had. Can you get there to the same level as you can get there, that Joel Ventura had a functioning gun that was locked and loaded?

It's an element of the offense. A firearm is defined in the jury instructions. You've got to read that definition and apply it to the facts. It's an element of the charge that is -- that the crime that's charged here.

Now, what I forgot to say in my opening, in my closing, rather, is that you can use the evidence and the testimony in relation to the

2.2.

2.3

Page 56

Judge's instructions, but you can also use your common sense, your life's experiences. I think when we were doing voir dire, when we were asking you questions, there was quite a few of you -- I don't know if any of you ended up on our jury, that you have a firearm, so you know how it's used. And again, you can draw upon your life's experience and your common sense with respect to that. But what you can't do in a criminal case, you can't guess, and you can't speculate.

You say, "Well, what's the difference?

My common sense or guessing and speculating." I think there's a big difference. Your common sense, knowing how a gun is functioning, knowing that you go like this, and it was tested on a bench test by an expert, and it could fire, you don't need common sense.

That's direct evidence. But can you get to what my client was holding? Can you jump across that chasm without guessing and speculating that that was a gun?

And the Government kept bringing up
that it was fake gun. I'm not suggesting it was a
fake gun. I'm not suggesting it was a real gun. I
don't know what it is, because they didn't prove it.
But even a gun -- a real gun, if you read the
definition, it's got to be designed or easily
converted to eject the projectile under the gun powder

or something. Even if it was a real gun, was it a functional real gun? Well, he may -- they could use circumstantial evidence to get to that point.

2.2.

2.3

My position is -- and, again, it's up to you, you can't get to that point without guessing or speculating. Yeah, you can use circumstantial evidence on a lot of things. Again, you come in here, no windows, somebody's wet, it's raining out, they have an umbrella. Common sense. There's a lot of steps you've got to jump through, a lot of hoops you've got to jump through to use your common sense to say that that gun, whether real or not real, was functional, or it could be readily made functional, to eject a projectile. We're not there.

Oh, and brandishing a firearm? I'll get to this later. Brandishing, wave a gun around, point it, wave it -- not carrying it, wave it, carrying around. So then you can say, "Well, okay. Well, they didn't prove -- or I don't think they proved beyond a reasonable doubt" -- hesitate -- that what my client had was a functional gun, whether real or unreal, or whether it was plug in the barrel, or no firing pin, whatever.

The Glock that my client did take, I'm not going to come up here and spin a yarn. He took

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 58

the gun, because he was afraid for himself and his wife. He took the loaded, functional, operational, bench-tested Glock gun from the store owner, and he put it in his right pocket, and my client's a lefty. All over the video tape, my client's a lefty. The only gun that we proved beyond a reasonable doubt stayed in his right pocket and never left his right pocket. That gun was not brandished. Your determination, beyond a reasonable doubt, so you don't hesitate, is that the other one in his left hand he brandished, and it was a gun, as defined in the law to be applied to this case.

Now, the threat of death or serious bodily injury to Donnie Smith and his wife. This is my other technology exhibit here. Now, this is, unfortunately, not going to flash up on the screen anywhere. This is it. Can everybody see it? Okay.

Again, like I said before, this is my interpretation of the video evidence that we've all seen. This is the time, and this is not the time on the bottom scroll of the computer, this is the embedded time stamp from the video that we can all see. And this is me looking at the video last night and writing down times, and who -- what's happening. Who's coming and going. So again, the time, beginning

2.2.

2.3

Page 59

at 16:52:50 and ending 16:55:56. And again, I am the attorney of Donnie Smith. I am not Mr. Quinn's and Mr. Stevens' attorneys. I didn't put their names in here. I put "Person A" and "Person B." You can determine who's who, but I am attorney -- Donnie Smith's attorney. I put his wife's name and his name in.

Now, look at this. There's a lot of comings and goings. The front door should have been a revolving door to keep the heat in, because people were coming and going so many times. And look at the sequence of events. 16:54:53, Smith's wife is in the store. She's the lady dressed in the Muslim garments. And during the stills that you just saw in the Government's opening, she's all over the place, uncontroverted that she's in the store without her husband.

So what happens? Almost a full minute
-- 16:54:53 to 16:55:47, she's there alone. And if
you remember the video -- again, this is my exhibit.

I'm not going to flash up the video. We've seen it a
hundred times. There's a point where Joel comes out
around the cubicle with the Plexiglas, and he's got
the gun here. Now, the gun is out. At one point, he
puts it in his pocket, but the gun -- the loaded

functional Glock, eight-round gun is there.

2.3

She's right there waving around with some type of currency to buy something. She's there to buy something. He kept -- look, she's getting frustrated. She's like, "Here, I want to buy something." And he's right by where you stand -- Mr. Ventura, Joel, where you stand when you want to transact business through that cubicle, with a gun. Donnie Smith comes in. He does what any husband's going to do, takes his wife, takes his hand by her waist, and ushers her out.

Now, the Government had said, "Well, okay, now his wife is gone. So where is the threat of death or serious bodily injury?" He's still there with a guy with a gun. What does he do? He removes what appears to be a gun, and I'm just -- I'm just going to say "gun" in quotation marks, because it's just too much to say "object." Again, it's my submission; it's not proven. He removes his wife. He removes the threat of death or serious bodily injury to his wife, and then he pulls what the Government wants you to believe is an operational, functional gun.

What did Donnie Smith do then? I can't unmake the facts of this case. You know, if there's

2.3

Page 61

not a nine -- eight, nine cameras trained on my client throughout the entire course here, I could put a spin on it and maybe say, "Well, you know, it didn't happen that way," but we know exactly what happened. We know exactly what my client did. There's a video of it.

You just saw it on the stills, he pulls what they purport to be a gun. But Mr. Ventura doesn't get his gun out. Then they start backing away from one camera angle to the next camera angle, and you'll see him in the camera again -- I'm sorry, (indiscernible - 11:17:54). Goes like this to try to get the gun to disarm him. He doesn't get the gun out. So then my client puts his gun around, points it at the floor, and this is on the video. I'm not making it up.

Then they all start walking over to a little area where you can actually go back to where the cash register is. And again, he keeps going, like, with his right hand with the gun pointed down, he keeps reaching over, reaching over, reaching over. Still not getting the gun out. Then he gets his gun, and he points it, just like we've seen, that's when he points the gun to get the gun. I think like this, and he's reaching. But before that, the gun's down pointing at the floor. Takes the gun and disarms him.

That threat is over to his life.

2.2.

2.3

Where does that Glock go? It goes in his right pocket, and that Glock is never seen again. Puts it in his pocket, walks out to where the patrons sit, and he stands there. Now, there's a question of whether he stood like this, as I asked three times of Mr. Ventura, or whether his hands were in his pocket, but either way, your recollection rules on that one. He never drew that Glock. He never drew the other gun, that was purportedly a functional, operational gun.

Mr. Sanchez -- again, this is when he disarms Joel Ventura of a loaded gun, and he's standing there. And I asked Mr. Sanchez about the cigarettes and, you know, all the stuff in the store, and transacting cash business. There's a lot of cash. He didn't know if there was store safe. And then I asked him about -- "Did my client take anything?"

"No, he didn't. He didn't."

He could have taken -- "he could have cleaned you out." That was my words exactly. "He could have cleaned you out. Did he?" "No." He didn't do anything

So this is where the part of my closing with respect to justification ends, then I'll get into

the other stuff.

2.2.

2.3

So once again, only if they prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt, which I submit that they cannot, that you reach the justification instruction. And it's my submission, my request, that based upon the evidence as you've seen, this is not secondhand account of a biased witness or an unbiased witness or a patron who just kind of happened to be there. This is on video.

Now, the elements of the offense, the Government already went through those. I'm not going to bore you with me doing it again. If you don't remember exactly what each element is, I'm sure my colleagues may mention it again in their closing, but you will have the elements to apply to the law when the Judge gives you the instructions.

There are two things that were allegedly taken that day, the \$100 and the Glock. I've already discussed the Glock. I already discussed my interpretation of the facts and the evidence and the video tape of why that gun was taken. The \$100, once that -- once Mr. Ventura's disarmed, the Glock goes in my client's right pocket, never to be seen again. He doesn't partake in anything with respect to stealing anything from that store.

Now, video evidence. Sure, you have a lot of video evidence, I just said that, but there's no audio. So what the police did was they relied on what Mr. Ventura said to them. And the only really fact witnesses are, other than the store owner, for what happened, what transpired when this occurred was Mr. Ventura and Mr. Sanchez. Now, there is also a jury instruction called credibility that you can judge the credibility of the witnesses. I was a little harsh with Mr. Ventura, because he wasn't answering my questions. I

admit it. But Mr. Sanchez, he was great. You asked him a question, he answered it.

> "Mr. Ventura, Hello. How's your day?" "Oh, your client robbed me at

qunpoint."

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

It almost -- it wasn't that bad, but it kind of got to that point. But you have to judge their credibility, and that's in the jury instructions.

But there are only two witnesses and a video tape with no audio. And those two witnesses work there. But did you notice the video, even in the stills that we've just seen in the Government's There were -- and I counted this when I was closing?

2.2.

2.3

Page 65

doing this -- there were three adult male men in that store. They were the ones that dropped the potato chips before they left. Where are their statements? It's a neighborhood store. I don't know. Does the Government know? If the Government knew what their statements are, they would have presented them today as corroborating evidence of the two witnesses who work in the store to say, "Yeah. That's what these guys said."

And the big one is the guy with the gray hoodie. If you look at the video, he is there from start to finish. He is there for a whole -- almost the whole 23 minutes. Now, I think we can infer, based on what he's doing, he does not work there. He's seeing everything. He allegedly -- since he's standing right there when it looks like my client's saying something after this whole incident is done, when they're all just kind of hanging out with the store owner, he's right there. When Mr. Stevens is saying something to, you know, about what happened or whatever, I don't know. There's no witnesses to that effect. What did the police do?

Now, police work is -- what you do is you canvas the neighborhood. I didn't ask any questions about did you canvass the neighborhood,

2.3

Page 66

because it's not my job. It's the Government's job to prove each and every element beyond a reasonable doubt. I don't have to prove my client didn't do it. I've got to prove -- I've got to show that they didn't prove it.

This is the Philadelphia Police

Department. No canvassing? Did you try to get the identity of the three adult males that were in the store about what happened, who said what? What about the guy with the gray hoodie? What would he have possibly said that might help their case out? It isn't like we looked and we couldn't find him.

Crickets. Silence, as to what the police did and did not do in this case.

And it's not just the Philadelphia

Police Department, it's the Federal Government. We're
in a Federal Government courthouse: the ATF, DEA, the

FBI, the Secret Service, vast, vast resources to get
you through the hump, to get you through your

reasonable doubt, to get you through your "I might
hesitate to say yes, this was a robbery, this was a
conspiracy, this was an aiding and abetting, and my
client had a real functional gun that he brandished."

Nothing.

Now, one more thing. Nobody called

2.3

Page 67

911. The three adult males that were there trying to buy something, they don't -- they're hear -- I'm assuming they're hearing this -- one of the individuals yelling and screaming about something, nobody calls 911. The guy in the hoodie who's seeing everything, based upon the evidence -- the video, rather, he doesn't call 911. Everybody's got a phone, and even if your phone -- as the one expert said, even if you didn't pay your bill that day, you could always call 911 on a phone as long as it's powered up.

And I made a big deal about this, and I think it's extremely important. Look at my exhibit.

Look at everybody coming and going. You saw it on the video. I believe the Government said in their closing that, "You know they're outside talking." I think they said that. I hope they did. You know they're outside. Well, how do you know they're outside talking?

What if you got the video capture, or the camera that the owner asked her next door neighbor across the street that's directly pointing at the outside door of the entrance to the store, why didn't you get that? You know, it seems like, "Oh, he's just" -- "you know, he's talking in circles. He's making things up, you know, he's distracting me." No,

2.2.

2.3

Page 68

I'm not. I'm asking you is what the Government did to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt so you don't have to guess and speculate.

Were they talking outside as the Government said in her closing? We don't know. Could we have known if they were talking outside or if they were even outside when Person A leaves, Person B comes in, Person A comes back, Person B leaves and then comes back later on? They're asking you to guess. They're asking you to speculate as to what happened outside.

Can you use your common sense to say,
"Oh, well, it's common sense that they were outside,
and they were all talking." That's a leap. That's a
big leap. That's not the person coming in in a
windowless room soaking wet. The camera was there.
The store owner said she paid a company to put these
cameras in. They were all functional, they were all
operational, and the digital video recorder was
recording every single thing for the entire time
sequence that's relevant in this case. Nothing. No
canvassing the area for potential witnesses, no
finding out who the guy with the gray hoodie is, and
not getting the camera that shows if anybody was doing
anything outside, because -- nine times, comings and

goings out the front door, nine times.

2.3

Now the one officer said it was his job to put the thumb drive in, and the thumb drive that he put in the slot, and -- I'd like to take the whole machine with you, you put it under your arm and out the door you go. You don't do that. You put a thumb drive in, and they look, and say, "Okay. Well, he said, well I looked, and I saw what was relevant, and I took just those cameras."

But then he doesn't stop there. I
think it was either him or somebody else. They go
back to the precinct, now, and other people review the
video. Now, if other people are reviewing the video
in a controlled setting, not right at the store when
-- right when this happened, now they're in a
controlled setting, they all have the opportunity to
sit down, have a cup of coffee, and look at it, and
say, "Okay, what's relevant? How can we use this
evidence to charge these people with a crime?"

Nobody in the Philadelphia Police

Department notices that their coming and going out of
the store nine times, and think, "Well, let's go back
and get the camera for the outdoors?" This is -they're trying to prove a conspiracy, the Government.

You know, one person takes money, then everybody takes

2.2.

2.3

Page 70

money. One person got a gun, everybody's got a gun.

One person does something, they're all responsible

based upon a law of conspiracy. Where is the evidence

of that? I think there could have been a whole bunch

of evidence, but we don't have it.

What if Person A goes outside, and nobody else is there. He walks up the other way, and down the other way, Person B comes into the store. These are scenarios that could have happened, absolutely could have happened, but they want you to guess, and they want you to speculate, and they want you to come back beyond a reasonable doubt, without hesitating, that there was a conspiracy here of an armed robbery. No evidence.

They had 20 days. They had 20 days before that DVR recorded the events of that day, and based upon the number of bytes it was recording, it started, then, rerecording. So they had 20 days of that evidence still being in that DVR that they could have went back, did their job, and got what you need to jump the bridge from hesitate to no hesitate. Not here.

And look, I'm not blaming the police.

It's a hard job. But when you have a crime, do what you're supposed to do. Don't come in here later on, a

week, a month, a year, five years later, and ask a jury to make a leap of faith that this was a conspiracy when they didn't provide you everything that was in their control or could have been within their control had they have done their jobs.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

This is real quick now. The robbery, unlawful taking by force, fear, again, I'm not going to beat a dead horse. I already said why my client has a gun. Whether you believe it or not, that's up to you, and that's for your determination. But that's my interpretation of the evidence. When, apparently, the money, the \$100 is exchanging hands, my client is on the other side where the patrons stand. He's not behind the counter when this interaction is happening between one of the individuals here and the store clerk. He's got his hands at his side or in his pocket.

Now, the Commonwealth -- or the

Government said, well, he said, "Take everything."

That's from the witnesses. If he said it, he said it.

I don't know if he said it. That's for your

determination. But if he's the only person in this

store, uncontroverted, proved beyond a reasonable

doubt, that had a loaded, functioning, operational

hand gun, he didn't take anything when he could have

cleaned the store out, according to Mr. Sanchez.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

I said the video is the Holy Grail. could be the Holy Grail for the Government, it could be the Holy Grail for the defense, because, I mean, it's pretty much clear. You've got angles of everything, and it's bright, and it's fluid, and you can see everything. Look at it. Look at the people in the video. Look at Mr. Sanchez, look at Mr. Ventura, look at the store owner, I think Ms. Rodriguez. Do they look scared? What is their demeanor? Judge their demeanor when you look at that video tape? If this is a robbery, a strong-armed robbery -- look at everything. Look at the facts. Look at the totality of circumstances. Do they look like they just got robbed? They're just kind of hanging out. I think Mr. Stevens shook the hand of Mr. Ventura.

Mr. Ventura had a cell phone the entire time. Remember, he actually -- he's on the phone with the store owner, and he gives it to the other individual, and the other individual has a conversation, (indiscernible - 11:33:29) hear any of this, and he gives it back to him. He's got the phone the entire time, doesn't call 911. They didn't take his phone. Any of these people behind me, they didn't

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 73

stop anybody from leaving, to escape an armed robbery, fear of death, fear of injury. Just -- I'm asking you when you go back, just to look at everything. That is common sense. That fact is common sense.

Real quick, this is what I remember Mr. Sanchez testifying to. He confirmed there was an outdoor camera. He corroborated what the store owner said. Now, this is important, because they're trying to prove a conspiracy that these three people conspired to do this robbery, to steal stuff. I wrote down, and I put it in quotes -- again, this is the pitfalls in closing. I'm not trying to mislead you, but I remember that Mr. Sanchez testified that Mr. Stevens said, "Why, why, why? Why is this all happening?" If you're in a conspiracy to steal stuff, would you be asking "why" three or four times? Like what is going on here?

And again, I have another -- somebody saying it to Mr. Sanchez, and Mr. Sanchez testified to this, hopefully, if my notes are right, "All this for \$100," like you're pulling guns, and you're doing all this for \$100. Are those statements alone the statements of a conspirator in an armed robbery, if they were said? It's just another piece, another piece to help you prove, or not prove, or reach a

conclusion that the Government didn't prove each and every element beyond a reasonable doubt.

2.3

Again, I recall the testimony of Mr.

Sanchez stating, specifically, he testified this -- it was -- he did not think it was a robbery. And again, if my notes are correct, again, I defer to what your notes say, I believe Ms. Rodriguez testified that, no, this was not a robbery. They did not think it was a robbery. And that fits into what you see in the video tape of their demeanor afterwards.

Now, one other thing, for a robbery, it could be the use of force or the threat of force.

Nobody threatened anybody. Nobody threatened anybody.

I'm almost done. No threats.

Okay. Now, I had brought up flight as consciousness of guilt in my opening. I said that, which I usually don't do, because you don't want to give too much, because they're going to have that in their minds, like, "Oh, God, flight is consciousness of guilt." Why would you ever say that before the jury started? Because it doesn't matter in this case, and I'm going to tell you why real quick.

This is a quick aside. I had a case in State court where my client, he was probably, like 81, 82, years old. The police responded to his home. He

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 75

was -- he and his wife were estranged. He was living someplace else, and they go into the home on a welfare check, and she's now deceased. They run a check on the plate number of my client and his credit cards and where he could possibly be, because he wasn't at his place, which is -- he was, like -- he lived close by.

Do you know where he was the next day?

In Utah. And I believe I said he was 82 years old.

He didn't happen to know anybody in Utah. He went

from Pennsylvania to Utah in 24 hours. That is flight

for consciousness of guilt.

And why I bring that story up is because who cares? It's all in the video tape. You know why my client was there. Do you need flight as consciousness of guilt when everything -- this whole -- every action that he did is on video tape in nine cameras and shown to you for this jury trial? It sounds good for the Government, but does it matter? When you go to a store to rob it -- and by the way, I think the store owner said he's been there ten years, she doesn't remember the Muslim man. She'd never seen the Muslim man. I know Mr. Ventura said he did, but the store owner said no, never been there before. But the Government wants you to believe that, "I'm going to go a store where nobody knows me, where maybe I can

2.3

Page 76

rob them, because I'm not known," but he robs it with the two people that are at the store every day. One lives right up the street. Again, does this -- any of this make sense for a robbery? No attempt to conceal his identity. He's already with two people that -- one lives right up the street.

And again, I stress that, because when you -- when an attorney stresses something during their cross-examination or direct examination, it's because they want to bring home a point at closing, which I'm going to do right now. This screen, which is -- it's much -- this is much larger than what was there at the store. That screen -- that monitor that shows the real-time capture, real-time live feeds, is prominently and proudly displayed in the area where all the patrons are.

Assuming that when my client is robbing the store at gunpoint, he's on video tape without a mask, with a black hat that has silver letters that says "Legend" on it, does any of this make sense?

Does it make sense that somebody's got a loaded gun, my wife's in the store, now I'm in the store, and I'm going to disarm them? That makes sense, irrespective that I'm being recorded, irrespective that the two people that I'm with and I'm allegedly conspiring

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 77

with, have been in the store every day and live up the street.

The same thing -- and I see the word "conclusion." I'm going to get there real quick. The same thing with alibi. You've heard that the tape. Whether it's him or it's not him, my point is it does not matter when you look at all the evidence. So my argument for him fleeing and eluding, flight as a consciousness of guilt, same thing with the alibi, same thing. Who cares? You have all the evidence in the world you need for this. You have all the evidence in the world you need for this to come back with, I hope, a not guilty, but that's up to you.

So what he does afterwards, you already know what he did. You don't have to fill in the gaps. That instruction is a horrible instruction for a defense attorney when you have to fill in the gaps, like why my 82-year old client was in Utah the night after his wife was found deceased in her home.

In conclusion, I want to thank you very much for your time, and I can tell you're all paying attention. This was a relatively long case, and a lot of stuff you have to consider. I would ask you again, please, the Judge is going to tell you, and I'm going to implore you, decide this case based upon the

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 78

testimony, the evidence as it relates to the jury instructions, and your common sense, and your life's experience. Do not decide this case, as I believe the Government wants you to do, on guessing and speculating. I think there's way too many holes in this case that you have to -- and it goes well beyond common sense, and you have to guess and you have to speculate, and you cannot do that in a criminal case to see if they met their burden of beyond a reasonable doubt of whether you will or you will not hesitate.

My suggestion is when you get it all -the Judge gives you the case to go back and
deliberate, you will hesitate, and if you hesitate,
then I would submit for the jury's consideration that
there's only verdict, and that would be not guilty to
all the charges.

And I've got to do this as an aside, if, which I don't believe you can, you find beyond a reasonable doubt, then you go to the justification instruction for my client, Donnie Smith. And again, it's not that burden, it's a burden of preponderance, does the scales tip to one side or the other.

I would submit based on what I think the evidence showed, that's uncontroverted, where you don't have to guess and speculate, just based on what

```
Page 79
     the video is, and what they did and didn't do, and
1
     what the witnesses said and didn't say, that the
     scales would tip in the favor of my client. And if
 3
     that is the case, then you'll come back with a "not
 4
 5
     quilty."
                    One more thing and I am done. I asked
 6
7
     you this in my opening. I'm going to say it in my
     closing. Who brings their wife to a robbery?
8
9
                    Thank you.
10
                    THE COURT:
                                 Thank you.
                                             It's 22 minutes
     of 12:00, a little late for a mid-morning break, but
11
12
     we'll break now for 10 minutes, and then we'll hear
13
     from Mr. Wittels and Ms. Meehan. Michael.
                    THE CLERK: All rise.
14
15
          (Jury out)
16
                    THE COURT: We're in recess for 10
17
     minutes, everyone.
18
          (Recessed at 11:43 a.m.; reconvened at 12:04
     p.m.)
19
          (Jury in)
20
21
                    THE CLERK: All rise.
2.2.
                    THE COURT: Be seated everyone.
                                                     Mr.
     Wittels, are you ready to proceed?
2.3
                    MR. WITTELS: I am. Good afternoon.
24
25
                     (Chorus of good afternoon.)
```

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 80

MR. WITTELS: I am Barnaby Wittels. I represent Abid Stevens. He's the gentleman in the plaid shirt right over there. You'll remember him from the video as the gentleman in the gray or white track suit -- sweats.

As I look at you, and I've paid attention to you over the three days you've heard testimony, I am reassured to have my client's fate in your hands. You've been attentive, you've taken notes, you've listened to both sides. I've noticed in the closings, you listened carefully to the Government and to Mr. Patterson, and I know you will accord me that same respect and attention, and I appreciate it. Mr. Stevens appreciates it.

One often wonders how it is that you -a jury can sit in judgment of another human being, but
you should be reassured that people in this country,
citizens, have been sitting where you sit since the
founding of this country, not necessarily in this
courthouse. And there have been changes over time.
In the beginning, it was all white male property
owners who sat on juries. Now we have women, people
of color, people who rent as opposed to own, and
they've been able to do this.

One of the remarkable systems -- things

2.2.

2.3

Page 81

about our system of justice is that jurors, everyday citizens, decide on questions of guilt and innocence. They sit as the judges of the fact, and they do it confidently, and they have done that, as I said, for centuries. So I know you'll be able to do this.

Excuse me while I get some water.

Now, I don't think I'll go as long as the Government did or Mr. Patterson. I'm old school. You can tell by my gray hair that I've been doing this for a while. I don't do audio-visual. I don't know how to do it. I can't do what Ms. Martin did. I was impressed by it. It was a nice show. But I have always just stood here and talked to juries, one person to a group of 12. So let me do that with you.

I've been doing this a long time, as I said, and I had never, ever seen a case like this.

It's the darndest -- if this was a robbery, it's the darndest robbery I ever saw. And you can use your common sense and life experience to watch that tape and come to the same conclusion.

You know, it's funny. The only people who called this a robbery were the police and the Government. In the beginning, the radio call is "theft in progress." That's then upgraded by the police radio. Remember we had that testimony about

Page 82 how the police operator receives the information from 1 2 the caller, types something that goes over to the 3 Dispatch Center, then goes out over police radio? This somehow gets upgraded to "robbery in progress." 4 5 Nobody who was there uses the term Mr. Ventura didn't. Mr. Sanchez and Ms. 6 "robbery." 7 Rodriguez, when I asked them the question, they said -- agreed that it was an argument. Now, who's right? 8 9 It's a funny thing, there's an old 10 saying, "To a hammer, everything's a nail." I suggest 11 to you that in this case, the Government perceives a 12 nail, they perceive a robbery, and they proceeded 13 along that theory. But that's a theory, not a fact. You're the ones who decide the facts. 14 15 You know, I was watching the Super Bowl 16 last night with my wife, and I noticed a couple of 17 things, that while they showed the game, every now and then, they'd stop it, and the commentators would 18 19 argue, "Was that a touchdown," "Was that pass interference?" I'm sorry if some of you don't watch 20 football, but bear with me. And what would happen? 21 2.2. They'd go to the tape. Now, here today and during the case, 2.3 24 the Government has gone to selected portions of the 25 tape, not the whole tape. You have to ask yourself

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Page 83

why they'd do that, because we also saw some ads on TV last night, and I think I might like the ads better than the game sometimes, and I noticed that in ads, when they're advocating a position, you get little snippets of tape, especially in the political ads, and we had some on both sides. These didn't show you a stretch of reality, they showed you what they wanted you to see.

So I was listening to the Government on their -- during the presentation of their case and during Ms. Martin's excellent closing argument, and I'm thinking, "Why not just show the whole tape?" Why not show all the tapes, because we -- you're going to take back with you, as Government Exhibit 1 A and B, are what the Government compiled as a tape of the event. And Mr. Cowen's (phonetic) right, Mr. Patterson's right. It's what the Government, the police selected, but that didn't show you everything. It shows you a good deal of it, and the Defense has submitted video of its own. So I ask you, look at the tape and decide. Look at all the tape and decide, because if it was me, I'd play the tape, the whole tape. And I'd play -- I asked that they play a good portion of the tape when I was going to cross-examine Ms. Rodriquez.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

Page 84

I want you to ask yourself some questions. You know that Ms. Rodriguez, for example, was watching this all unfold on her phone. She has an app for that. She then goes to the store. doesn't seem like she's crazy to you nor to me. in their right mind, walks into an armed robbery? Nobody. Who walks into an argument to try and resolve it? Well, the store owner. Right? Who in their right mind acts like Mr. Sanchez when he's trying to resolve things? Nobody. Who in their right mind lets the mother of their child walk in to an armed robbery? Nobody. Now, as you watch the tape, ask yourself if what Mr. Ventura told you is true, that he doesn't speak English, just enough to make a transaction. Watch the tape, and you'll see him gesturing and talking to Mr. Stevens and other people. Well, we know he's not talking to Mr. Stevens in Spanish, because he also told you that the customers don't speak Spanish. He might be talking to Mr. Sanchez at times in Spanish. We don't know. wish there was sound, but there's not. So the evidence is for you to judge, but what I saw on the tape and what I heard from the

witnesses was there was a dispute about money, about

2.3

Page 85

what did or didn't come out of the ATM. And it's for you to decide whether or not Mr. Quinn is trying to pull a fast one or not. That really doesn't concern Mr. Stevens, because he doesn't come into the picture until after that. He would have no knowledge of what Mr. Quinn is up to with regard to the money.

What we do know is that Mr. Quinn is being aggressive, and that then Mr. Ventura, for reasons we don't know, decides to pull out the store gun, puts it down by his side, and later you saw, when Mr. Stevens is trying to explain to Ms. Rodriguez what happens, that he does this (indicating). Even in the time of silent movies, you know, people made gestures, and you know what they meant. It was him trying to tell Ms. Rodriguez, he cocked the gun.

So what happens? Well, not their proudest moment, I'll tell you that. Not something I would do, not something I would convict him over, either, but that's for you to decide. They come back in, and there's a lot of waving of guns. And then the guns get put away, the store clerk gives Mr. Quinn the money, they take the gun away from Mr. Ventura, which is probably a sensible thing to do, after all, he's the guy that pulled the gun and he cocks it. What would you do? Say, "Okay. Yeah. Hold on to your

2.3

Page 86

gun. Keep it in your pocket. No problem." You would disarm him. Why? You don't know what he's going to do. He seems, both on the stand and in the -- on the tape, to be a rather excitable guy who can overreact to things. Now, again, he's put in a pressured situation, no doubt about it. Mr. Quinn is being aggressive; they're being aggressive. It's scary, but it's not a robbery.

And now I want to focus mostly on Mr.

Stevens. He lives on the block, he's well known.

They all identify him, even if they don't know his name. Identity is not a question in this case for anybody, but for Mr. Quinn -- Mr. Stevens, you know, that's his store. That's his neighborhood store, down the block from his house.

Now, he's got to know that there are cameras all over the place so that whatever you do gets recorded. And in fact, everybody knows that, because the screen is right out there in front of the cashier's desk. And it's a good thing, because it tells people, "You're on camera," you know, "We're going to know what you're doing."

So you see him -- and by the way, who stays at a robbery that long? He stays until the end and then some. The police cars go flying by, and we

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Page 87

know from the testimony of the officers they had lights and sirens on. The first officer said he cut his lights and sirens when he got there, but the other officer who you see going back, you know, along the street, they've got lights and sirens on. He sort of turns his head and keeps on talking. Now, if you've just been part of a robbery, don't you beat feet? Don't you leave? Don't you run? Don't you go and hide somewhere? What kind of event is this? It was very interesting that Ms. Martin would say, "Oh, it's not a dispute." You're right. It's not a dispute, it's a full-blown argument, a lot of anger, a lot of people somewhat out of control, but you know, when Ms. Rodriquez comes in, you see Mr. Stevens trying to explain it to her. And you watch the tape, he stayed there. He leans on the ice cream stand. You've just committed a robbery, is that how you behave? You lean on the ice cream stand, you relax? You're somewhat animated and relaxed at the same time? And what did he say? Well, we know some of it, but we don't have the sound. From the witnesses, we know he says, "I'll fix it. I'll get the qun back."

When he goes to Mr. Sanchez, like --

2.3

Page 88

Mr. Sanchez goes like this, his hands up, and it's because, "Hey, take it easy." That's what he said. He's trying to indicate to Mr. Stevens, take it easy, tempers are hot, blood's running hot, take it easy. That's what Mr. Sanchez is trying to say.

Mr. Ventura says, "Yeah. I shook his hand. I wanted to calm him down." If you've just been robbed, do you shake the robber's hand? Does that make any sense at all? Of course not, but if you've had an argument with somebody, what do you often do? You've had arguments, sometimes loud arguments, maybe with the spouse, a neighbor, or friend, a family member. At the end, what do you often do? Well, guys more often than the women, you shake hands. Okay. It's resolved.

The Government has made a great deal out of Mr. Stevens saying, "I'll be back," like Arnold Schwarzenegger in "The Terminator." "I'll be back." And "This is my block. I'm going to shut you down." Well, I asked on cross-examination, and I'll suggest to you now, that we often say things in anger that we don't mean, only half mean. And Mr. Stevens was very angry. You can see that from the tape. There's no disputing that. And he could have behaved better. They all could have. But some of those things that

2.0

2.3

Page 89

are said in anger are not true. Threats issued in anger are not true. They're talk. You can't convict somebody on talk.

Now, the Government said, "Oh, were they ever back?" like that means something. Well, hell, they all got arrested. That's why they're here. We all know they got arrested. Are they going to be back after that? Of course not.

If you had an argument in a store, whether you're right or wrong, and they called the cops, and the cops then arrest you, are you going to go back to that store? No. Why is the Government trying to say that sort of thing? Well, they want you to make an inference, an inference that they had some guilty conscious, when that's not the case at all. That's not why they don't go back.

And you can see the weakness of the Government case -- of the Government's case when they try and make that kind of inference to you. You can see the weakness of the Government's case when they only show you snippets of the tape. You can see the Government's case when they tiptoe to the edge of making inferences they shouldn't and then back away. Like I said, nobody called this a robbery but the cops and the Government, because it wasn't.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

Page 90

In the end, it's going to be up to you to make a decision. I'm not going to talk to you about the law. I'm not going to define reasonable doubt for you, because that's the Judge's job. I'm not going to talk to you about burden of proof. Our proof is the tape. It was introduced by the Government and some by the Defense, by Ms. Meehan. It's there for you, there for you to examine and decide. The Judge will give you the rules of how you proceed and how you weigh evidence, but use your common sense. You know, you can't make a camel and call it a horse. A camel is not a horse. They all -both are on four legs, but one is a radically different animal than the other. You can't call something it's not. You just can't, and you shouldn't. And you shouldn't fall for it. I'm going to ask you to come back with the only reasonable verdict in this case for Mr. Stevens, the man who stayed, the man who tried to explain things, the man who said, "I'll get your gun back. I'm trying to fix it." Now, one thought, if Mr. Stevens is so clever, so Machiavellian that in an instant, he

switches from a robber to a guy who's trying to work

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Page 91

it out as a way of covering it up. No. Maybe you see that in the movies. Maybe that's some thought of a Hollywood script writer, but this is real life, and in real life, people don't act like that. They don't flip the switch like that. What you see on that tape, plus what we showed you and when you see the whole tape, even from the snippets that the Government showed you, is a man who's not involved in a robbery.

Now the Government makes a great deal about what might have happened outside, and Mr. Patterson makes an excellent point, where is the tape? Wouldn't it be nice to have the tape from that camera? We don't. And if you watch the tape, the whole tape, you're going to see customers in the store. people were there for the whole -- what kind of robbery is it where the customers stay? What kind of robbery is it where people go up to pay for goods? You can make an inference yourselves, if you want, as to why those people aren't here. And I'll be honest with you, sometimes it's because they don't want to That's normal. Sometimes it's because get involved. what they have to say won't be in the favor of the Government, and you can make that conclusion.

Mr. Patterson said, "Wouldn't you like to have that guy in the gray sweatshirt who stays for

1 the whole thing?" He's not a part of any argument.

He's just standing there, right? People come and go.

The Defendants come and go.

This is so far from a robbery, and it's so much like an argument. A robbery, you run in, "Give me the money," get all the cash you can, and you split. By the way, did you notice that all the money that was in the register? Mr. Ventura said, "I don't really know. There were 10s, there were 5s, there were 20s, there were 1s." All that was given back is the \$100 that Mr. Quinn claims he's owed from the ATM.

Did people handle this the right way?

No. Was this a crime? Was this a robbery? No.

Now, I suggest to you if you find not guilty on the Hobbs Act robbery, you have to find not guilty on the gun, because the way it works is the gun has to be used in a crime of violence. And if there's no crime of violence, then it's got to be not guilty on the gun, too.

So in the end, deliberate as long as you need to. Deliberate well and wisely, but I ask on behalf of my client, Abid Stevens, return a verdict of not guilty on both counts.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wittels.

2.3

2.2.

2.3

Page 93

It's almost 12:30, ladies and gentlemen. I think we'll recess for lunch now rather than having Ms.

Meehan have to, "Fight the clock." We won't do that.

But let's try to get back just a little early, and I'll tell you what we're going to do this afternoon. We'll hear closing argument from Ms.

Meehan, and then the Government will have a short rebuttal, and then I will instruct you on the law.

And we'll get all of that done this afternoon.

You will begin deliberating this afternoon, and normally, we recess for the evening at quarter of 5:00 or so. Today is a different day. We may recess at a quarter of 5:00, but if you've started deliberations and want to continue deliberations, you'll ask me whether you can do that, and I'll talk to counsel. In all probability, we would say "yes," and you can remain for a time. If you choose to have dinner sent in, we can arrange to have dinner sent in. You won't be required to stay any particular length of time. When you choose to leave, you'll send us a note and tell us that "We're tired, we want to go home, we'll start again tomorrow," and we would permit that.

I'm telling you this now so that you can let folks at home know that tonight might be a slightly different evening with respect to your

2.2.

2.3

Page 94

schedule, but you will be the ones to call the shots. In other words, we won't keep you here if you decide at the end of the charge, for example, that you want to go home. You'll go home.

But again, if you wish to stay, we can work that out. And if you stay and miss a ride, or a train, or a bus and have an unreasonable delay, we would arrange to send you home. I'd better describe this carefully. I don't want you thinking that individual stretch limousines to go home. No, but we'll arrange to send you home if you miss a ride, or a bus, or a train and have an unreasonable wait. We don't want you hanging around the city late at night.

I tell you this just so you can -well, first of all so you will know what the schedule
will be, and number two, so that you can let folks at
home that you might be a little late.

And again, I want to end on the note if you wish to go home at the end of the charge or any other time, you'll send us a note, and you'll go home.

All right. Is there anything else we have to say before we excuse the jury? Government?

(Chorus of no)

THE COURT: Okay. Let's try to get back around quarter after 1:00. It's 12:30 now.

Page 95 THE CLERK: All rise. 1 2 (Jury out) 3 THE COURT: Be seated everyone. There are some things that we have to attend to. 4 stipulations, Ms. Meehan, you're going to get me a 5 corrected stipulation? 6 7 MS. MEEHAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And then I will file the 8 9 three stipulations. We need to talk briefly about a 10 procedure for viewing the video. Have you agreed on a 11 procedure? 12 MR. ECKERT: I believe that we have, 13 Your Honor, and that would be that the jurors would have their own laptop, so they wouldn't have to come 14 15 back and forth. We've provided them with the CD. And 16 I believe one of the folks from the IT Department in 17 the courthouse would go back there at the beginning of the deliberations and just show them how it worked. 18 19 And then, of course, the part -- they'd be free to -the jury would be free to view it as much or as little 20 21 as they need. 2.2. THE COURT: Is that agreeable to 2.3 everyone? Mr. Patterson? 24 MR. PATTERSON: It is, yes, Judge. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Wittels?

	Page 96
1	MS. MEEHAN: Yes, Your Honor.
2	MR. WITTELS: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Ms. Meehan? Fine. I'll
4	take care of that.
5	Exhibits. Has the Government compiled
6	a book of the exhibits that were received in evidence?
7	MR. ECKERT: We have, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: And there's an exhibit
9	list?
10	MR. ECKERT: We will get that up we
11	will get that up.
12	THE COURT: Fine. And we'll give that
13	to the jury. And, Ms. Meehan, you're the only other -
14	- well, the only other party who's offering any
15	exhibits.
16	MS. MEEHAN: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: And what do you have?
18	MS. MEEHAN: I think what I have
19	what we reviewed the other day, Your Honor, which was
20	D 1-A, which consisted of D 1 through 6, which was the
21	entire video. Your Honor, if you'd bear with me for a
22	moment. I'm sorry. D9, 10, and 11.
23	THE COURT: Do you have an exhibit list
24	for those exhibits?
25	MS. MEEHAN: 8, 9, and 10. I'm sorry.

	Page 97
1	I thought that the Court had that, but I can
2	THE COURT: No.
3	MS. MEEHAN: I can get that together.
4	THE COURT: I have what you gave me for
5	trial purposes, but that's not an exhibit list that
6	I'm going to give to the jury.
7	MS. MEEHAN: Very well.
8	THE COURT: I need an exhibit list that
9	will go out with the jury. For example, they'll get a
10	disk they won't know what it is.
11	MS. MEEHAN: Right.
12	THE COURT: You need an exhibit list
13	covering the, I think, the three photos that were
14	received in evidence and the two disks. I need those
15	five exhibits and an exhibit list.
16	MS. MEEHAN: Very well. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: All right. Then we're in
18	recess until quarter after 1:00. You may go about
19	your business.
20	THE CLERK: All rise.
21	(At 12:33 p.m., proceedings recessed
22	for lunch.)
23	
24	* * * * *
25	

Page 98 AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2. (1:24 p.m.)3 THE CLERK: All rise. THE COURT: Be seated, everyone. 4 All right. Ms. Meehan, are you ready 5 6 to proceed with your closing? 7 MS. MEEHAN: Yes, I am, Your Honor. THE COURT: We will hear your closing. 8 9 MS. MEEHAN: Good afternoon. Welcome 10 back. I represent Mr. Quinn. 11 The Government is trying to prove 12 something here that just didn't happen. They're 13 offering you a buffet of versions and theories, plural, you heard that in the Government's closing. 14 15 But we all know what a robbery looks 16 Somebody or more than one person goes in, 17 they're wearing a mask, maybe a hoodie, glasses, hiding their identity, they're in and out as fast as 18 19 possible, they take as much money as possible -- take 20 -- they take as much money, anything and everything. 21 You know that's not what happened on 2.2. March 22nd. Mr. Quinn is at this store every day, 23 sometimes multiple times a day. You know that from 24 Ms. Rodriguez, and sort of, kind of, you know that 25 from Mr. Ventura. And but for what happened on March

2.2.

2.3

Page 99

22nd, he would've been back the following day, and the day after that, and the day after that, using the ATM, buying cigarettes, doing what he's done every single day.

And you'll recall Ms. Rodriguez said,
"Always seemed like a nice guy." She told you that.
"He always seemed like a nice guy." So this was out of character for Mr. Quinn this day.

So on March 22nd, after using the ATM in the store several times that day, and you'll have G20 -- G49, excuse me -- you can look at that, and you heard from the bank witness, that Mr. Quinn had actually taken out \$220, not 20, 200: 100, 100, and then 20; and, of course, that doesn't include the bank fees on both sides, Mr. Quinn's bank and the store that makes some money from that.

So he took out \$220, and he tries to buy cigarettes, and Mr. Ventura tells him -- Mr.

Ventura says, "This isn't real money. This is fake."

He won't take Mr. Quinn's money in order to sell the cigarettes to him. And Mr. Quinn says, "But this money came from your machine," and he spreads it out, and you'll see this on -- this is actually on camera 8 at 4:51:51. So he did throw it down, and then he spreads it out for him, "Look, this is from your

machine."

2.2.

2.3

I don't know if that obviously looks like counterfeit, but how would Mr. Quinn know that? So, he's -- they're arguing back and forth, and back and forth, and Mr. Quinn is trying is trying to get reimbursed. He's trying to get reimbursed for the money that came from his account from that store ATM. And every store witness told you that this was about the money from the ATM machine, every store witness: Mr. Ventura, Ms. Rodriguez, Mr. Sanchez. "I want my money, I want my money." So Mr. Quinn wants his money, he wants to be reimbursed.

And don't let the Government argue that this was a scam. First, they said, "Oh, well, this is a scam." There's absolutely no evidence of that. You just saw him trying to show Mr. Ventura, "This is from your machine." And then Government counsel said, "Well, if it's not a scam, then picture this." So, you can't have it both ways.

This was not a scam, and why would Mr.

-- think about this, he's there every day, this is his store, this is his habitat. Why would he try to scam a store that he's in every single day? He seemed -- always seemed like a nice guy. This is not the guy who's trying to scam the store.

2.3

Page 101

If you're going to do that, you go to a store you've never been to before, and you never intend to go back to, and you know that.

So, Mr. Quinn is really upset, and he gets more upset, and Mr. Ventura puts Ms. Rodriguez on the store -- on his phone. I assume it's his personal phone. So, and, again, what robbery -- in what robbery does that happen? So Mr. Quinn gets on the phone, and Ms. Rodriguez is trying to explain to him, "I can't take care of this now, I have to call the ATM guy, and then do this and that." And he's getting more upset, because he can see that he's not getting his hundred dollars back that day.

And make no mistake about it, if in fact the Government thought for one minute that this was a scam, they would've been able to investigate the ATM machine. And they had ample time to do that, and there's no evidence of that.

So, Ms. Rodriguez is on her way, she's driving there, and Mr. Quinn is still yelling over and over again, "Give me my money. Give me my money." He goes behind the counter, and this is of some significance, because Mr. Ventura tried to convince you that Mr. Quinn not only was saying, "I want my money, I want my money," but he's also saying, "Give

me the Glock. I want the Glock."

2.3

But I want you to take a look at this, and you'll have this, this is D-1A, and it's got all the cameras. This is -- you'll see camera 7 in the corner here, and the time up there (indicating). Look at Mr. Quinn. He is aggressive and obnoxious, but he is in Mr. Ventura's face. There is no way he is looking over here (indicating). He never looks over there (indicating). And you've seen the exterior of this cubby. You've seen it. There's all kinds of clutter. You can't possibly see what's in those cubbies as a customer. And we know he'd never been behind the counter before March 22nd.

So, and then he backs up. So there is just absolutely no way -- how would you possibly know where the gun is, and that it's a Glock? So that is just not very credible.

And you'll remember Mr. Sanchez was on the witness stand, and he was asked, I believe, on direct, "Did you hear everything?" and he said, "Yes, I could hear everything." And he told you, Mr. Quinn, over, and over, and over again, "I want my money. I want my money." You never heard from Mr. Sanchez that Mr. Quinn said, "Give me the Glock." And that's really significant. And you should be questioning

that about Mr. Ventura's testimony.

2.3

So, and you'll recall, just as an aside, you saw Mr. Ventura as a witness, and I understand, and I'm not disrespecting him. I'm sure he doesn't -- maybe he doesn't speak good English, but he had an interpreter on both days of his testimony. And I asked him, "Do the customers call you Jay, or Papi?" And that was a 10-minute answer. So think about his demeanor on the witness stand when he was testifying. That exchange, "I want the Glock," just simply never happened. That is fiction.

So, Mr. Quinn goes behind the counter, and Mr. Stevens comes in the store, and Mr. Stevens, as you know, is another frequent customer, and he is trying to figure out what's going on, why is his friend, Mr. Quinn, yelling, what's going on. He wasn't there for the ATM discussion. He wasn't there when Mr. Quinn was on the phone, on speaker phone, with Ms. Rodriguez. He doesn't know what's going on.

But there are other customers in the store. Everybody's milling about, and you'll recall not a single customer, not a single customer called the police. No one called 911. Because what they were watching was a very upset and angry Mr. Quinn in an argument, in -- engaged in an argument with Mr.

Ventura, trying to get his money back that was from his bank account from the store's ATM.

And then things escalate, and Mr.

4 Ventura pulls the gun out from the cubby. You saw Mr.

Quinn step back, remember you saw that, and then

you'll see on the same video, that Mr. Quinn is on the

side trying to exchange -- you'll see him on the side

of the counter, if you watch the whole video, he's

still trying to pass the money to Mr. Ventura, the

hundred dollars in exchange for the money from the

11 | register. And he's still very frustrated.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

18

24

25

And then he leaves when -- after Mr.

13 | Ventura pulls out the gun, he says, "I'll be back."

So what? He's still angry, as you know. You saw him,

and he comes back into the store -- well, he leaves

the store at 16:54:54. Mr. Stevens is still in the

17 store, still trying to figure out what's going on.

And then it's at some point after Mr.

19 Quinn leaves, he's no longer in the store, that Mr.

20 | Ventura cocks the gun. And we're going to look at

21 camera 7, at 16:54:55, when you see this, this motion

22 (demonstrating), and you heard co-counsel, Mr.

23 Patterson, ask the police about how you would ready

the gun to be fired. Mr. Stevens reacts to that, and

he leaves the store at 16:55:14.

2.3

Page 105

And now as Mr. Stevens is leaving -and this timing is really important, and that's why
you saw a chart from Mr. Patterson, and that's why the
sequence on the video, which is really the best
witness, the video. Not stills, because that doesn't
really show the whole story, but what was going on
real-time, second by second, minute by minute.

You'll see here at 16:55, Mr. Stevens leaves -- there he is, 13, this is on camera 13, 16:55:13, he's walking out of the store, and then just one second later, one second later, Mr. Quinn, there he is (indicating), you can see the corner of his sweatshirt there on the left side of the monitor, on the shoulder of Mr. Sanchez. Mr. Sanchez is in the doorway there. Here comes Mr. Quinn, after Mr. Stevens has just stormed out.

Mr. Quinn comes in, and he's back in the store, and here he is (indicating) still yelling at Mr. Ventura, still yelling at him, and he's still mad. Now, watch this part. He's still yelling.

We're at 16:55:29, and, again, you can see the Plexiglas how much stuff there is, remember I had mentioned, you couldn't possibly know where a gun is on the other side of that.

Now, here we are, and it is, I think,

2.

2.2.

Page 106

31 seconds later that Mr. Smith will come into the door. Here he is (indicating), here comes Mr. Smith, 16:55:48, and he, sort of, goes right by Mr. Quinn who, sort of, gives him a passing glance. And right -- look at this, and this is actually also G -- Government 1-C23.

Look at Mr. Sanchez's face in the left corner of the monitor, look at Mr. Quinn. Look at him. He's surprised. He's just as surprised as Mr. Sanchez. This is right at the moment where Mr. Smith has pulled out a gun, or whatever it is. You're to determine that. But he has pulled something out, and look at Mr. Quinn's face. He is just as surprised as the store employee.

This was not a plan. There was no advance knowledge here. There's no evidence. In fact, there's an opposite of advanced knowledge here.

So, then right after -- now, look at Mr. Stevens' face. He's looking over, too. And I'll leave it for you, ladies and gentlemen, to determine what you see on Mr. Stevens' face as well.

So Mr. Stevens comes in, and that's at 16:55:57, and this is all on camera 13, and this is all part of D-1A, and the Government's G-1 as well.

And Mr. Quinn looks down, and you'll see when Mr.

2.2.

2.3

Page 107

Stevens comes in -- and if we could play that -- he practically pushes Mr. Quinn into the Plexiglas, and then Mr. Quinn, sort of, swats at his hand, or tries to push his hand down.

Can we play that again (indiscernible - 1:37:01)? Watch this. And he's trying to, "Hey, don't -- what are you doing? Come on." Remember, Mr. Stevens doesn't know about the ATM machine, and there's no evidence that Mr. Smith knew about what this yelling was all about from -- by Mr. Quinn.

So, Mr. Stevens -- so you see Mr. Quinn go into the Plexiglas and then at some point -- so this right here (indicating), this is evidence of spontaneity. That's what that was. That was spontaneous. There's no advance plan, there's no advance knowledge.

And the Government is trying to argue that these three must've concocted this somehow outside. But this is why the timing is so important, because you saw Mr. Stevens and Mr. Quinn, like, cross each other in a second or two. I think the Government said two. It's over a second or two. And then Mr. Smith isn't in the store at any moment, but, oh, something must've happened outside.

Well, that's just absurd, because you

heard from Detective O'Brien (phonetic), and his exact 1 words were -- but it's your recollection that controls, "Everything happened on the interior. Everything happened on the interior. I made a judgment call."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

So he made a judgment call to get all of the interior cameras, and we know they were exterior cameras, and then he and his fellow police had 20 days, 20 days, to review this evidence, and say, "Oh, there must've been something going on outside, let's get that evidence." There is no That's why it's not before you, that's not evidence. -- that's why you haven't seen any of that. This was entirely spontaneous.

So then Mr. Smith, and it's Mr. Smith alone who advances on Mr. Ventura, for whatever reason, and it's for you to determine whether it was his wife's safety and so forth. But it's Mr. Smith who goes over to Mr. Ventura, and he takes the store qun from Mr. Ventura, and Mr. Quinn wants no parts of And this is important.

I want you to take a look at -- this is camera 6, at 16:56:31, take a look at what Mr. Quinn He physically lifts Mr. Smith up, physically. Lifts him up, lifts him up, and puts him, sort of,

Page 109 away from Mr. Ventura. And then he's, sort of, 1 pushing him, "Get out of here." And he's pushing, 2 he's telling Mr. Stevens and Mr. Smith, "Just go. I'm 3 just -- this is my issue. Just go." 4 This is the opposite of aiding and 5 6 abetting. This is the opposite of accomplice 7 liability, and the opposite of a conspiracy. So Mr. Quinn then goes to the register, 8 and he's acting like an idiot. He puts his sleeve 9 10 over his hand, and he tries to get into the register, 11 and he's unable to. And then you hear Mr. Ventura 12 when he testified -- and Government counsel asked him, 13 "Why did you open the register?" And I believe he twice said, "Because he was asking me to do it." 14 15 Asking. That was his word. "He was asking me to do that." "He was asking for his money." I believe that 16 was a direct quote. "He was asking for his money." 17 18 And then I think Government counsel 19 asked him -- and then he said -- I'm sorry -- "the problem had to do with the hundred dollars." The 20 problem. Problems are not robberies. Robbery is not 21 22 a problem, and a problem is not a robbery. "I gave him his money." "The problem had to do with the ATM, 2.3 with the hundred dollars." 24

25

So then Mr. Ventura gives him exactly

2.2.

2.3

Page 110

\$100, and you'll see, this is on camera 4, and I -you've seen this before where Mr. Ventura -- and you
know there's more money in the register. There's a
dollar bill at the top of the register that remains
there the whole time. Mr. Ventura counts out exactly
five \$20 bills. And the point is, that Mr. Quinn did
not rob, he didn't take. He was being reimbursed for
the money that came from the store's ATM machine.

Was he aggressive? Yes. Was he obnoxious? Yes. Was he -- did he maybe do a simple assault when he was overly aggressive, physical, with Mr. Ventura? Maybe. But he's charged with armed robbery. This was no robbery.

And then as he's leaving, he leaves -right after this, he leaves. Doesn't take another
thing. He has his hundred dollars, the hundred
dollars that he was asking for, as Mr. Ventura told
you, and as he's leaving, he passes Ms. Rodriguez on
the way out of the door, and I think Ms. Rodriguez
said something to him, and he was very crude, and
said, you'll recall, "Fuck you all, I got my money."

And then he walked, walked, up Ross

Street. He didn't go to Sharpneck, he walked up Ross

Street, because this was not a robbery.

And then remember Mr. Sanchez saying --

2.3

Page 111

and this is, again, very odd -- something odd to say if you're in the middle of a robbery. Mr. Sanchez testified that he was saying, "Calm down. There's no need for this. There's no need for this. There's no need for all this drama over the money from the ATM machine." And he also said, "I didn't want the argument." He called it an argument. The Government witness called it an argument. I believe Ms.

Rodriguez called it an argument as well. "I didn't want the argument to get out of hand."

So as to Count I, robbery, the

Government -- the Court, pardon me, the Court will

instruct you on the fact that there must be unlawful

taking. Mr. Quinn did not unlawfully take anything.

We know he could've taken everything in the store, we
know that. He was reimbursed the money that he was

owed.

And they want you to find that Mr.

Quinn aided and abetted Mr. Smith in the taking of the store gun. Again, there's no evidence that. How would he have known that Mr. Smith was going to take Mr. Ventura's gun? There's simply no evidence of that, and you saw the opposite where he physically picks up Mr. Ventura -- Mr. Smith, rather, and moves him away from Mr. Ventura. We just saw that.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 112

So how do you reconcile the facts with the law? You are the factfinders, you can determined what -- determine what happened here based on what you see on the video, what you determine is credible on the witness stand, and what you believe really happened.

And the Government spent hours and hours on Thursday with various witnesses that had very little to do with what happened on the interior of the store. And they'll argue, or they may argue in rebuttal, that because Mr. Quinn -- there was a lot of telephone testimony, remember, from the T-Mobile witness, Mr. Sierra, that Mr. Quinn had called Mr. Smith, I think, six or seven times at sometime after 5:30, I think it was 5:46.

That is no evidence of a plan, and you can imagine what the conversation was between the two, Mr. Quinn saying, "What were you doing?" Mr. Smith saying, "I was trying to help my wife," and back and forth. But we know that Mr. Quinn and Mr. Smith did not speak on March 21st, and they did not speak on March 22nd until well after Mr. Quinn and all of this incident was over.

So if there's no taking, which is the essence of robbery, there's no robbery, then Mr. Quinn

is quilty.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Do not be persuaded by the false argument that the Government made on their closing that Mr. Quinn was desperate. You'll see -- you can have -- you can take a look at the bank records, that was G49, you will see that Mr. Quinn's bank account fluctuated. There were many times where he was in a negative balance. March 22nd was nothing unusual. It would fluctuate, he would get a paycheck, he was working, and his bank account would be recovered.

And again, we know that he was a regular customer. Ms. Rodriguez said, "He always seemed like a nice guy." This was not -- he was not there to take anything from the store that was not his. So don't let that be -- that's just not a credible argument from the Government.

So if he's not guilty of robbery, you should find him not guilty of Count II, because there's no crime of violence if there's no robbery.

In Count II, Mr. Quinn is charged with aiding and abetting Mr. Smith and Mr. Stevens using or carrying a firearm in relation to a crime of violence, and we already talked about whether this was a crime of violence or not. And the key question is did Mr. Quinn have advanced knowledge that Mr. Smith or Mr.

Stevens would come into the store with a gun.

2.2.

2.3

Advanced knowledge means before they entered the store. They must prove that beyond a reasonable doubt. So what would you want to see to show evidence of advanced knowledge? Well, if they spoke inside the store before guns were used, if there was some evidence that there was a conversation, or some commands, or orders, that would be some evidence of advanced knowledge maybe. There's no evidence of that.

In fact, Mr. Quinn, you just saw, appears very surprised when Mr. Smith goes across the store and pulls out a gun. And then he pushes Mr. Stevens' hand away after Mr. Stevens come in, and literally pushes him.

If they spoke outside the store, there's no evidence of that. And you know all about that, you've heard all three of us argue that you would've seen something from the outdoor cameras if there had been evidence of advanced knowledge.

The Government can't simply claim that something must've gone outside -- gone on outside, therefore there was advanced knowledge. They need to show you evidence. They need to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt. There has to be evidence.

2.2.

2.3

Page 115

So, and you know these three Defendants were at cross purposes. No one knew what anything -- what happened with Mr. Quinn, with the ATM, Mr. Quinn may not have realized that Mr. Smith's wife was in the store, there were all sorts of things going on, and you can see this on the video, and I urge you to watch the entire video, just, in one sitting, and not in clips.

So, Mr. Stevens comes into the store for his own reasons, perhaps. Perhaps because Mr. Ventura cocked the gun, and not because of Mr. Quinn's argument. You saw Mr. Stevens on the video -- and we can watch that again, explaining to Ms. Rodriguez -- now, here we are on camera 13 (indicating), this is at 16:59, here comes Ms. Rodriguez in the door, and he's trying to explain. Look at him, look at this motion (indicating). Why would he do that? Why would he do that, if he wasn't trying to explain to her that something had happened? So he was mimicking exactly what you saw Mr. Ventura doing behind the counter.

And then on camera 12, at 17:04:33, he makes that same -- run it back again. Sorry. He makes that same motion again, there it is, same motion. Trying to explain to her why things escalated, why did they get to where they were. And

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 116

again, you heard Mr. Sanchez say that Mr. Stevens was saying, "What happened? How did it get here? Why -- why is -- why did this happen this way?"

Mr. Quinn is then turning to leave the store -- another thing about advance knowledge, Mr. Quinn is turning to leave the store just before Mr. Smith pulls out a gun, and I -- this is really important, because this really cuts against any, any planning or advance knowledge. This is 16:55:51, again, on camera 13, look at this (indicating). He's backing up, he's about to leave, the doors open.

Can we show that again?

Backing up, backing up, and then all of a sudden, this (indicating). Surprise. And then you know, you saw Mr. Quinn try to physically move Mr. Smith out of the way.

There is evidence that Mr. Quinn did not want firearms involved in this argument. He did not have advance knowledge that guns would be involved in this.

And finally, the Judge -- part of the instruction on accomplice liability on Count II for Mr. Quinn would be if the Defendant -- and this is only a portion of the instruction, and of course, the Court's instructions control -- if the Defendant

2.0

2.3

Page 117

continues to participate in a crime, and in this case the crime would be robbery. And I've already gone through all the reasons this is not a robbery -- after a gun is displayed or used.

This conduct isn't right. Mr. Quinn was out of line, he was obnoxious, he was aggressive. But he's charged with armed robbery, and you know that this is not an armed robbery, and I ask you to find him not guilty on Counts I and II. Thank you.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Meehan.

Yes. The Government is now given an opportunity to rebut the arguments made by defense counsel.

Mr. Eckert?

MR. ECKERT: Thank you, Your Honor.

So the theory of this case is that if tonight, you go into Acme, or you go into ShopRite, you go into CVS, you go into Walgreens, any business in the world with an ATM, and that ATM gives you \$80 instead of \$100, or it gives you a \$20 bill that is fake, you have the right to go to the cash register, try to get her money, when the person at the cash register says, not, "Go away. I'll see you in six weeks," says, "Let me call my boss. Let me call my

manager so that they can come down here, and try to work out the situation."

2.3

Because what is the clerk supposed to do? "Oh, you were just at my ATM, and you told me you gave me fake money, so here's \$100 hundred bucks."

They would be fired in 15 seconds. He called his boss and said, "Can you come down here, because there's a situation." And his boss said, "Okay, great." And tells him, "I'm going to come down there." Not, "See you later. Goodbye. No way." "Sure, okay. I'll come down there, and I'll try to work it out." And he says, "No, absolutely not."

What he does is he goes and gets two other people, whether -- however they got in the store, it really doesn't matter. The fact of the matter is that two other people are then in the store, both of whom have guns, both of whom put the guns in the face of Joel, and that's why he gets the money.

How do you know this is aiding and abetting? The most important reason for that is because Mr. Quinn tries to get his money first, and he was not successful. He tries to get the money, and Joel says, "No. I need to call my boss. I need to look into this," right? And then he enlists the help of two other people, aiding and abetting.

2.3

Page 119

Aiding is a legal word for help.

That's all it means. It means that he's getting two other people to help him. And that's why he's successful, because Mr. Stevens and Mr. Smith come back with the guns, and that's how they get the register. That's how they get the store gun, and that's how they get the register opened.

Oh, another thing. The \$100. You've heard a lot of arguments about, "Well, this was about \$100. This was at \$100." The only reason he doesn't empty that register is because he can't figure out how to get it open. Donnie Smith, right next to him, two feet away, "Take everything. Take it all. Take everything." And Quinn's trying to get the register opened, but he doesn't know how, because he doesn't work there. He doesn't know. There's 30 buttons on the register, and he's not going to know which one it is that opens it up. That's the only reason he doesn't clean out the register. And that's why it's about \$100, because he then has to back out, and Joel has to go back in again, being forced to give the money.

There was a lot of discussion about justification, and when it's -- the concept of justification has not really been discussed yet. You

2.2.

2.3

Page 120

heard the phrase from counsel for Mr. Smith, that he ushered his wife out of the store. And the Government would ask that you remember that. Because when he ushered his wife out of the store, he ushered any justification defense right out of this case. The second the wife leaves the store, there's no more justification.

What justification means is that you're taking actions to alleviate the threat, to eliminate the threat. If you believe there's a threat to his wife, so be it. But once she leaves the store, there goes any justification defense.

The question is not is he justified in going in the store. The question is not is he even maybe justified in taking his gun out. That's ludicrous, for a number of reasons, but assume for the sake of argument that that would be okay. It's 30 seconds later that they take the gun. It's even more time after that they take the money out of the register.

Remember that Donnie Smith puts his gun in the face of Joel Ventura multiple times. Not once, not twice, distinct, separate times. After they get the store gun, after Donnie Smith gets the store gun and puts it in his pocket, even after that, he again

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 121

puts his gun in Joel's face to facilitate the robbery, to aid and assist in helping the robbery happen.

There is no way, absolutely no way, that that action is at all in any way, shape, or form related to his wife. His wife's been out of the store for plenty of time at that point.

If you actually look at the elements of justification, there are four elements of justification, and he fails each one. The immediate, unlawful threat of death, or seriously serious bodily injury. That's gone when she leaves the store.

Second, had a well-rounded reasonable belief that the threat would be carried out if he did not commit the offenses. Even if you believe he has to go in the store, the offense of robbery has nothing to do with that.

Third, that Mr. Smith's criminal action was directly caused by the need to avoid the threatened harm, and that Donnie Smith had no reasonable lawful opportunity to avoid the threatened harm without committing the offenses. He could've walked out of the store. If it's all about his wife, the second he comes in the store and pulls the firearm, he walks out with his wife. Everything that happens after that has nothing to do with

justification, and we'd ask you to reject that defense.

2.2.

2.3

The 911 call. So someone did call 911
-- 911 in this case. Isalisa Rodriguez, she called
911, because she talked to Joel, and Joel told her a
little bit of what's going on. She talks to Mr.
Quinn, and then she is able to briefly watch a little
bit of that video on her phone. She called 911. So,
the idea that all these people in the store didn't
call 911 doesn't have to do with anything.

Speaking of things that don't have to do with anything. You've heard all kinds of argument about racking the charging handle, about whether -- first of all, it's not in the video. But even if you believe that happened, when you look at the elements of the offenses, and the elements of the offenses are the most important thing, that's what the Judge will tell you. To find a person guilty, you have to find the Government's proven each and every element beyond a reasonable doubt.

Racking the charging handle, or chambering a round, has nothing to do with any of the elements of the offenses. Why? First, because that happened when one person was in the store, when Mr. Stevens was in the store. There is no evidence before

Page 123 you whatsoever that Mr. Quinn or Mr. Smith would've 1 even known about that. 2 Second, it doesn't negate anything. 3 Ιt doesn't negate whether this was a taking. It wasn't -4 5 - whether that -- whether there was a round in the chamber or not has nothing to do with anything, but 6 it's a great way to distract you, and to make you -to somehow try to dirty Joel up, that somehow he's the 8 bad quy. That when you watch that video, you should 9 10 be mad at his conduct. But remember that Joel never raised 11 12 that gun, not one time. His gun never left his side. 13 At one point, he puts it in his pocket. When Donnie Smith goes in the store, his hands are literally like 14 15 this (indicating), up in the air, because the gun's in 16 his pocket. 17 The quote was that Joel is a rather excitable guy, who overreacts to things. That's what 18 19 you're told. 20 Pull up 1C 43, please. 21 (Asides) 2.2. MR. ECKERT: All right, we'll get back to that in a second -- we'll get back to -- for that. 2.3 24 I apologize for that about the photo. But some of the

things that were discussed, "He's asking me for

25

2.2.

2.3

Page 124

money." That Mr. Quinn's counsel argues to you that somehow because he said the term, "Well, he's asking me for money." The reason he's asking me for money is because he's failed two times before. He went back there himself, wasn't successful. He went back there the second time after -- by himself, without Mr. Ventura, the clerk, after the other two people are in the store with guns, and he was unsuccessful.

So yes, the third time when he's back there, he's demanding his \$100. Asking, demanding, it makes no difference. The fact of the matter is that the only reason that was successful is because guns were introduced by Smith and Stevens in this case.

You can use the term "asking,"

"demanding," "taking," whatever you want in common

English, but the only reason that that happened was

because the guns were brought to that store by Stevens
and Smith.

The advance knowledge. A lot of discussion about advance knowledge. The quote that the Government would submit to you, you're going to hear from the Court is, "Advanced knowledge means knowledge beforehand, such that the Defendant had a realistic opportunity to leave the scene of the robbery after learning that a firearm would be used or

carried."

2.2.

2.3

He had a realistic opportunity to leave the scene. How many times did Mr. Quinn have a chance to walk away when he sees the guns, when Donnie first pulled -- when Smith first pulls out his gun, when Stevens pulls out a gun? There's ample time for him to say, "Oh, I didn't know they were coming out. I'm going to leave the scene." That doesn't happen. He absolutely had advanced knowledge before the robbery actually happens.

One final thing for each of the

Defendants. For Mr. Stevens, they would have you

believe that he's the peacemaker here, that he's

coming in there, and he's just going to work

everything out. He was holding the peacemaker in his

right hand, and that was the firearm that he put in

Joel's face. That's the peacemaker that Mr. Stevens

was. How do you know that? Because what happens

afterwards? "I'll shut you down. This is my

neighborhood." He's telling Mr. Rodriguez, "Don't

talk to the police, don't make this a police matter,

because I own the neighborhood."

Second, Donnie Smith. This has nothing to do with his wife. How do you know that? Because you were told -- the argument was made to you, "Who

Page 126 brings their wife into an armed robbery?" 1 Government has no idea. But you know who leaves their 2 wife at an armed robbery? You know who -- even though 3 this was all about his wife, the second Officer 5 Ferrero (phonetic) walks in front of the car, he pulls 6 away. 7 This has nothing to do with his wife. Absolutely nothing whatsoever. The wife is a 8 9 smokescreen to distract you from the real issues in 10 the case. 11 Finally, Mr. Quinn. It all starts with 12 Mr. Quinn and the cash register. And the only reason 13 the only way he's able to get anything out of that cash register is because two people come back and help 14 15 him out with guns. That's it. It's really that 16 simple. 17 Thank you for your time. 18 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Eckert. 19 MR. ECKERT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, now 2.0 THE COURT: 21 that you've heard all of the arguments, you've heard and seen all of the evidence, it's my opportunity to 2.2. 2.3 instruct you on the law. 24 You have two duties as a jury. Your 25 first duty is to decide the facts from the evidence

2.2.

2.3

Page 127

that you've heard and seen in the courtroom. That is your job and yours alone. I play no part in finding the facts. You should not take anything I may have said or done during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence, or what I think about what your verdict should be.

Your second duty is to apply the law that I give you to the facts. You must apply my instructions carefully. Each of the instructions is important, and you must apply all of them. You must not substitute or follow your own notion, or opinion about what the law is, or ought to be. You must apply the law that I give you, whether you agree with it or not.

Whatever your verdict, it will have to be unanimous. All of you will have to agree on it, or there will be no verdict. In the jury room, you will discuss the case among yourselves, but ultimately each of you will have to make up his or her own mind. This is a responsibility that each of you has, and that you cannot avoid.

During your deliberations, you must not communicate with, or provide any information to anyone by any means about the case. You may not use any electronic device or media such as the telephone, a

2.2.

2.3

Page 128

cell phone, smart phone, iPhone, blackberry, or a computer, the Internet, any Internet service, any text or instant messaging service, any Internet chat room, blog, or website such as Facebook, MySpace, or Linked In, YouTube, or Twitter to communicate to anyone any information about the case, or to conduct any research about the case until I accept your verdict at the end of your deliberations.

In other words, you cannot talk to anyone on the phone, correspond with anyone, or electronically communicate with anyone about the case. You can only discuss the case in the jury room with your fellow jurors during deliberations.

You may not use these electronic means to investigate or communicate about the case, because it is important that you decide the case based solely on the evidence presented in the courtroom. You're only permitted to discuss the case with your fellow jurors during deliberations, because they have seen and heard the same evidence you have.

In our judicial system, it is important that you are not influenced by anything or anyone outside of this courtroom.

Perform these duties fairly and impartially. Do not allow sympathy, prejudice, fear,

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 129

or public opinion to influence you. You should also not be influenced by any person's race, or color, religion, national ancestry, gender, sexual orientation, profession, occupation, celebrity status, economic circumstances, or position in life or the community.

The Defendants pled not guilty to the offenses charged. They are presumed to be innocent. They started the trial with a clean slate with no evidence against them. The presumption of innocence stays with them unless and until the Government has presented evidence that overcomes that presumption by convincing you that they are guilty of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt.

The presumption of innocence requires that you find the Defendants not guilty unless you are satisfied that the Government has proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

The presumption of innocence means that the Defendants have no burden, or obligation, to present any evidence at all, or to prove that they are not guilty. The burden, or obligation, of proof is on the Government to prove that the Defendants are guilty, and this burden stays with the Government throughout the trial.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 130

In order for you to find the Defendants guilty of the offenses charged, the Government must convince you that the Defendants are guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. That means that the Government must prove each and every element of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt.

A definition may not be -- a Defendant -- pardon me, a Defendant may not be convicted based on suspicion or conjecture, but only on evidence proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt, or to a mathematical certainty. Possible doubts or doubts based on conjecture, speculation, or hunch are not reasonable doubts.

A reasonable doubt is a fair doubt based on reason, logic, common sense, or experience. It is a doubt that an ordinary, reasonable person has after carefully weighing all of the evidence, and is a doubt of the sort that would cause him, or her, to hesitate in matters of importance in his or her own life. It may arise from the evidence, or from the lack of evidence, or from the nature of the evidence.

If having now heard all of the evidence you are convinced that the Government proved each and

every element of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt, you should return a verdict of guilty for that offense.

2.2.

2.3

However, if you have a reasonable doubt about one or more of the elements of the offense charged, then you must return a verdict of not guilty of that offense.

Now, what is the evidence from which you will decide the case? First of all, it is only the evidence that you saw and heard in the courtroom. Do not let rumors, suspicions, or anything else that you may have seen or heard outside of court influence your decision in any way.

The evidence from which you are to find the facts consists of the following: first, one, the testimony of the witnesses; two, documents and other things received in received in -- received as exhibits; and three, any fact or testimony that was stipulated. That is, formally agreed to by the parties.

The following are not evidence: one, the indictment; two, statements and arguments of the lawyers for the parties; three, questions by the lawyers, and questions that I may have asked. The evidence is the question and the answer taken

2.2.

2.3

Page 132

together. Four, objections by lawyers, including objections in which the lawyers stated facts; five, any testimony that I struck, or told you to disregard; and finally, anything you may have seen or heard outside of the courtroom.

You should use your common sense in weighing the evidence. Consider it in light of your everyday experience with people and events, and give it whatever weight you believe it deserves. If your experience and common sense tell you that certain evidence reasonably leads to a conclusion, you may reach that conclusion.

As I told you in my preliminary instruction, the rules of evidence control what can be received into evidence. During the trial, lawyers objected when they thought that evidence was offered that was not permitted by the rules of evidence. These objections simply meant that the lawyers were asking me to decide whether the evidence should be allowed under the applicable rules.

You should not be influenced by the fact that an objection was made. You should also not be influenced by my rulings on objections, or any sidebar conferences that you may have overheard.

When I overruled an objection, the

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 133

question was answered, or the exhibit was received as evidence, and you should treat that testimony or exhibit like any other. When I allowed the evidence, the testimony, or the exhibits for a limited purpose only, I instructed you to consider that evidence only for that limited purpose, and you must do that.

When I sustained an objection to a question, the question was not answered, or the exhibit was not received as evidence, you must disregard the question or the exhibit entirely when that occurred. Do not think about or guess what the witness might have said in answer to the question, and do not think about or guess what the exhibit might have shown.

Sometimes a witness may have already answered before a lawyer objected, or before I ruled on the objection. If that happened, and if I sustained the objection, you must disregard the answer that was given.

Also, if I ordered that some testimony or other evidence be stricken, or removed from the record, you must disregard the evidence. When you are deciding the case, you must not consider or be influenced in any way by the testimony or other evidence that I told you to disregard.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 134

There was a little of that in the case, ladies and gentlemen, and you'll remember when I gave you that instruction.

Now, although the lawyers may have called your attention to certain facts or factual conclusions that they thought were important, what the lawyers said is not evidence, and is not binding on you. It is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls your decision in this case.

Also, do not assume from anything I may have done, or said, during the trial that I have any opinion about any of the issues in the case, or about what your verdict should be.

Now, I told you in my preliminary jury instructions that there were two types of evidence, direct evidence and circumstantial, or indirect, evidence. You may use both types of evidence in reaching your verdict.

Direct evidence is simply evidence which, if believed, directly proves a fact. An example of direct evidence occurred when a witness testifies about something a witness knows from his or her own senses something the witness has seen, touched, heard, or smelled.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 135

Circumstantial evidence is evidence which, if believed, indirectly proves a fact. It is evidence that proves one or more facts from which you could reasonably find, or infer, the existence of some other fact, or facts. A reasonable inference is simply a deduction or conclusion that reason, experience, and common sense lead you to make from the evidence.

A reasonable inference is not a suspicion or a guess. It is a reasoned, logical decision to find that a disputed fact exists on the basis of another fact.

For example, and you've heard this several times, but I'll repeat it, if someone walked into the courtroom wearing a wet rain coat and carrying a wet umbrella, that would be circumstantial or indirect evidence from which you could reasonably find, or conclude, that it was raining outside. You would not have to find that it was raining, but you could.

Sometimes, different inferences may be drawn from the same set of facts. The Government may ask you to draw one inference, and the Defense may ask you to draw another. You, and you alone, must decide what reasonable inferences you will draw based on all

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 136

the evidence, and your reason, experience, and common sense.

You should consider all of the evidence that is presented in the trial, direct and circumstantial. The law makes no distinction between the weight you should give to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to the evidence.

Also, as I said in my preliminary instructions at the beginning of the trial, in deciding what the facts are, you must decide what testimony you believe, and what testimony you do not believe. You are the sole judges of the credibility or believability of the witnesses. Credibility refers to whether a witness is worthy of belief. Was the witness truthful? Was the witness's testimony accurate? You may believe everything a witness says, or only part of it, or none of it.

You may decide whether to believe a witness based on his or her behavior and manner of testifying, the explanations the witness gave, and all of the other evidence in the case, just as you would in any important matter where you are trying to decide if a person is truthful, straightforward, and accurate in his or her recollection. In deciding the question

of credibility, remember to use your common sense, your good judgment, and your experience.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

Now, in deciding what to believe, you may consider a number of factors, and I'll mention some of them. One, the opportunity and ability of the witness to see, or hear, or know the things about which the witness testified. Two, the quality of the witness's knowledge, understanding, and memory. Three, the witness's appearance, behavior, and manner while testifying. Four, whether the witness has an interest in the outcome of the case, or any motive, bias, or prejudice. Five, any relation the witness may with a party in the case, and any effect the verdict may have on the witness. And six, whether the witness said or wrote anything before trial that was different from the witness's testimony in court. Seven, whether the witness's testimony was consistent or inconsistent with other evidence that you believe. And eight, any other factors that bear on whether the witness should be believed.

Inconsistencies, or discrepancies in a witness's testimony, or between the testimony of different witnesses, may or may not cause you to disbelieve a witness's testimony. Two or more persons witnessing an event may simply see or hear it

2.0

2.3

Page 138

differently. Mistaken recollection, like failure to recall, is a common human experience. In weighing the effect of an inconsistency, you should also consider whether it was about a matter of importance or an insignificant detail. You should also consider whether the inconsistency was innocent or intentional.

You are not required to accept testimony, even if the testimony was not contradicted, and the witness was not impeached. You may decide that the witness is not worthy of belief because of the witness's bearing and demeanor, or because of the inherent improbability of the testimony, or for other reasons that are sufficient to you. After you make your own judgment about the believability of a witness, you can then attach to that witness's testimony the importance or weight that you think it deserves.

The weight of the evidence to prove a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testified, or the quantity of the evidence that was presented. What is more important than numbers or quantity is how believable the witnesses were, and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

Now, while the Government is required

2.2.

2.3

Page 139

to prove the Defendant's guilt -- guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the Government is not required to present all possible evidence related to the case, or to produce all possible witnesses who may have some knowledge about the facts of the case. In addition, as I've explained, the Defendants are not required to present any evidence or produce any witnesses.

In this case, the Defendants, or one
Defendant presented evidence, and one Defendant
presented a witness. The Defendants are not required
to present all possible evidence related to the case,
or to produce all possible witnesses who might have
some knowledge about the facts of the case.

During the trial, you saw and heard audio and video recordings of the Defendants and others made without their knowledge. These recordings were made with the consent and agreement of one of the parties to the audio and visual recordings. The use of this procedure to gather evidence is lawful, and the recordings may be used by either party.

Now, the rules of evidence ordinarily do not permit witnesses to state their own opinions about important questions in a trial, but there are exceptions to those rules. In this case, you heard testimony from several witnesses who offered an

opinion. Because of their knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education, they were permitted to offer an opinion, and the reasons for that opinion. These witnesses are called expert witnesses.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

The opinion these witnesses stated should receive whatever weight you think appropriate, given all the other evidence in the case. In weighing this opinion testimony, you may consider the witness's qualifications, the reasons for the witness's opinions, and the reliability of the information supporting the witness's opinions, as well as the other factors discussed in these instructions for weighing the testimony of witnesses.

You may disregard the opinions entirely if you decide that their opinions are not based on sufficient knowledge, or skill, or experience, or training, or education. You may also disregard the opinions if you include that the reasons given in support of the opinions are not sound, or if you conclude that the opinions are not supported by the facts shown by the evidence, or if you think that the opinions are outweighed by other evidence.

During the trial, you heard testimony of witnesses and arguments by counsel that the

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

Page 141

Government did not use specific investigative techniques regarding the recovery of surveillance footage at the store. You may consider these facts in deciding whether the Government has met its burden of proof, because as I told you, you should look to all of the evidence, or lack of evidence, in deciding whether the Defendants are quilty. However, there is no legal requirement that the Government use any of these specific investigative techniques, or all possible techniques to prove the case. There is no requirement to use specific investigative techniques regarding the recovery of surveillance camera footage at the store. Your concern, as I have said, is to determine whether or not the evidence admitted in the case proves the Defendants' guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, the Government and the Defendants have agreed that certain stipulated facts are true. You should -- and you've heard those stipulations, they've been presented. You should, therefore, treat these stipulations -- stipulated facts as having been true. You are not required to do so, however, since you are the sole judges of the facts.

You heard the testimony of a number of law enforcement officers. The fact that a witness is

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 142

employed by a law -- as a law enforcement officer does not mean that his or her testimony necessarily deserves more or less consideration, or greater or lesser weight than that of any other witness. At the same time, it is quite legitimate for defense counsel to try to attack the believability of a law enforcement witness on the ground that his or her testimony may be colored by a personal or professional interest in the outcome of the case. You must decide, after reviewing all of the evidence, whether you believe the testimony of the law enforcement witness, and how much weight, if any, it deserves.

Also with respect to the believability of a witness's testimony, if you believe that a witness knowingly testified falsely concerning any important matter, you may distrust the witness's testimony concerning other matters. You may reject all of the testimony, or you may disregard -- no, let me start that again. You may reject all of the testimony, or you may accept such parts of the testimony that you believe are true, and give it such weight as you think it deserves.

The Defendants did not testify in this case. A Defendant has an absolute constitutional right not to testify. The burden of proof remains

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 143

with the prosecution, the Government, throughout the entire trial, and it never shifts to the Defendant. A Defendant is never required to prove that he is innocent. You must not attach any significance to the fact that the Defendants did not testify. You must not draw any adverse inference against them because they did not take the witness stand. Do not consider for any reason at all the fact that the Defendants did not testimony (sic), and do not discuss that fact during your deliberations, or let it influence your decision in any way.

Now, you have heard testimony that after the crime was supposed to have been committed, Donnie Smith ran from the police officers. If you believe that Donnie Smith ran from the police officers, then you must consider this conduct, along with all of the other conduct, in deciding whether the Government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that he committed the crimes charged.

This conduct may indicate that he thought he was guilty of the crimes charged, and was trying to avoid punishment. On the other hand, sometimes an innocent person may run from the police for some other reason. Whether or not this evidence causes you to find that Donnie Smith was conscious of

2.2.

2.3

Page 144

his guilt of the crimes charged, and whether that indicates he committed the crimes charged, is entirely up to you as the sole judges of the facts.

Those are my general instructions, and now I will instruct you on the charges against the Defendants in the two counts of the indictment. And before I do, I'll remind you that although I'm reading these instructions to you, I will give you copies of my instructions, and the instructions will have a table of contents that should enable you to, if you have any questions, to check the table of contents, re-read what I said on the issue, and hopefully that will answer your question. But if it doesn't, you can send me a note asking a question that you are unable to answer yourselves. I will then present it to counsel, and we'll decide how to handle it, call you back into the courtroom, and give you an answer.

So I don't want you to be concerned now and think, "Oh, this is an awful lot for me to remember, my heavens." You'll get a lot of assistance, including copies of the charge.

The Defendants are charged in an indictment with violating the law, violating federal law. Count I of the indictment charges Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn with robbery, which

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 145

interferes with interstate commerce, and aiding and abetting in violation of a provision -- two provisions of the United States Code, 18 United States Code, Sections 1951 and 2.

The robbery will be referred to in these instructions as robbery, which interferes with interstate commerce, or Hobbs Act robbery. Hobbs Act, because that's the name of the statute under which the indictment was brought.

Count II of the indictment charges the three Defendants with using, carrying, and brandishing a firearm during, and in relation to, a crime of violence, and aiding and abetting in violation of 18 United States Code, Sections 924(c) and 2.

The crime of violence to which reference is made in Count II of the indictment is the Hobbs Act robbery that was charged in Count I of the indictment.

As I explained at the beginning of the trial, an indictment is just the formal way of specifying the exact crime the Defendants are accused of committing. An indictment is simply a description of the charge against a Defendant. It is an accusation only. An indictment is not evidence of anything, and you should not give any weight to the

2.2.

2.3

Page 146

fact that the Defendants have been indicted in making your decision in this case.

The indictment charges that the offenses were committed on or about a certain date, that date is March 22nd, 2019. The Government does not have to prove with certainty the exact date of the alleged offense. It is sufficient if the Government proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense, or offenses, were committed on a date reasonably near the date alleged.

Now, in this case, there are multiple Defendants charged with the same offenses. The Defendants, Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn are all charged with more than one offense. Each offense is charged in a separate count of the indictment.

The number of offenses charged is not evidence of guilt, and this should not influence your decision in any way. Also, in our system of justice, guilt or innocence is personal and individual. You must separately consider the evidence against each Defendant on each offense charged, and you must return a separate verdict for each Defendant on each offense.

For each Defendant and offense, you must decide whether the Government has proved beyond a

2.3

Page 147

reasonable doubt that the particular Defendant is guilty of the particular offense. And this will be individuated for you by three separate verdict sheets. I'll explain that at the end of these instructions.

Your decision or on any one Defendant, or any one offense, whether guilty or not guilty, should not influence your decision on any of the other Defendants or offenses. Each offense and each Defendant should be considered separately.

Now, I will instruct you on Hobbs Act robbery. In order to sustain its burden of proof for the crime of interstate commerce -- of -- let me start that again. In order to sustain its burden of proof for the crime of interfering with interstate commerce by robbery, which I will also refer to as Hobbs Act robbery, as charged in Count I of the indictment, the Government must prove the following three essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt: first, that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn took from employees of RD Grocery the property described in Count I of the indictment. And I -- that property was \$100, and a gun described as a Glock, and described in more detail in the indictment.

Second, that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn did so knowingly and

willfully by robbery.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

And third, that as a result of Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn's actions, interstate commerce, specifically an item moving in interstate commerce, was obstructed, delayed, or affected.

Now, in connection with the description of Hobbs Act robbery, and specifically the definition of the term robbery, which is the second element of the crime. Robbery is the unlawful taking of personal property from the person, or in the presence of another against his will, by means of actual or threatened force or violence, or fear of injury, whether immediately or in the future, to his person or property, or property in his custody or possession, or the person or property of a relative, or member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the taking or obtaining.

Now, with respect to the first element of the crime of Hobbs Act robbery, unlawful taking by force, violence, or fear, I'll give you some guidance, I'll define that term.

The Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn unlawfully took property from employees

2.2.

2.3

Page 149

of the RD Grocery against their will by actual or threatened force, violence, or fear of injury, whether immediately or in the future. You must determine whether Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn obtained the property by using any of these unlawful means as set forth in the indictment.

The Government need not prove that force, violence, and fear were all used or threatened. The Government satisfies its burden of proving an unlawful taking if you unanimously agree that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn employed any of these methods. That is, the Government satisfies its burden only if you all agree concerning the particular method used by Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Ouinn.

In considering whether Donnie Smith,

Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn used or threatened to
use force, violence, or fear, you should give these
words their common and ordinary meaning in
understanding -- and understand them as normally -- as
you normally would.

A threat may be made verbally, or by physical gesture. Whether a statement or physical gesture by Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn actually was a threat depends upon the

surrounding facts.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

And I'm going to define for you the term "fear of injury," part of the first element of the crime of Hobbs Act robbery.

Fear exists if a victim experiences anxiety, concerns, or worry over expected personal, physical harm. The fear must be reasonable under the circumstances, existing at the time of the Defendants' actions. Your decision whether Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn used or threatened fear of injury involves a decision about the state of mind of Joel Ventura and Emmanuel Sanchez at the time of Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn's actions.

It is obviously impossible to prove directly a person's subjective feeling. You cannot look into a person's mind to see what his state of mind is or was, but a careful consideration of the circumstances and evidence should enable you to decide whether Joel Ventura or Emmanuel Sanchez were in fear, and whether this fear was reasonable.

Looking at the situation and the actions of the person, or persons, in question may help you determine what his state of mind was. You can consider this kind of evidence, which is called

2.2.

2.3

Page 151

circumstantial evidence, in deciding whether Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn obtained property through the use of, or threat -- the use of threat or fear -- let me repeat that last sentence.

You can consider this kind of evidence, which is called circumstantial evidence, in deciding whether Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn obtained property through the use of threat or fear.

You've also heard the testimony of Joel Ventura and Emmanuel Sanchez describing their state of mind, that is, how they felt about giving up the property. This testimony was allowed to help you decide whether the property was obtained by fear. You should consider this testimony for that purpose only. You may also consider the relationship between Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn on the one hand, and Joel Venture and Emmanuel Sanchez on the other in deciding whether the element of fear exists. However, even a friendly relationship between the parties does not preclude you from finding that fear exists.

Now, the final element of the crime of Hobbs Act robbery is interstate commerce. The third element that the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in order to obtain a conviction for

Hobbs Act robbery is that the conduct of the Defendants must affect, or could have affected, interstate commerce.

2.2.

2.3

Conduct affects interstate commerce if it in any way interferes with, changes, or alters the movement, or transportation, or flow of goods, merchandise, money, or other property in commerce between, or among, the states. The effect can be minimal. It is not necessary to prove that Defendants intended to obstruct, delay, or interfere with interstate commerce, or that the purpose of the alleged crime was to affect interstate commerce.

Further, you do not have to decide whether the effect on interstate commerce was to be harmful or beneficial to a particular business, or to commerce in general. You do not even have to find that there was an actual effect on interstate commerce. All that is required to prove this element is that the natural consequences of the offense potentially caused an effect on interstate commerce to any degree, however minimal, or slight.

And now, because I've been talking for 45 minutes, we're going to do what I traditionally do in the case where the instructions on the law, my charge, are long. We're going to have a standup.

Page 153 We're going to stop, we're going to stand up, shake 1 2 the kinks out, and hopefully refresh yourselves. And we'll do that now. Everybody. Besides I'm getting a 3 little tired. 4 5 MR. WITTELS: Judge, do you mind if I run out for second? I'll be right back. One minute. 6 7 THE COURT: Not exactly. But I quess I'll have to say yes. 8 9 Maybe we should do this. Does anyone need a break? I don't get votes in open court from 10 11 jurors, but if anyone needs a break, one hand is 12 enough, and we'll recess for 10 minutes. 13 I see no hands. We'll give you a break a little later, around midafternoon. 14 (Asides) 15 THE COURT: I might add, while we're 16 waiting, that I got the idea of the standups from the 17 movie Crocodile Dundee. I don't know whether anyone 18 19 saw this movie. He didn't do standups, he did what he referred to as a walkabout, and this is my equivalent 20 of a walkabout, shake the kinks out and clear the head 21 2.2. a little bit. MR. WITTELS: Thank you, Your Honor. 2.3 24 THE COURT: Oh, you're welcome. And 25 now we can return to the charge.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 154

You've heard argument regarding accomplice liability, it's also referred to as aiding and abetting. A person may be guilty of an offense because he personally committed the offense himself, or because he aided and abetted another person in committing the offense. A person who has aided and abetted another person in committing an offense is often called an accomplice. The person whom the accomplice aids and abets is known as the principal.

In this case, the Government alleges

that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn aided and abetted someone, including a co-Defendant, in committing the crime of Hobbs Act robbery as charged in the indictment. In order to find a Defendant guilty of Hobbs Act robbery, because they aided and abetted someone in committing this offense, you must find that the Government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following four requirements.

One, that someone, including one of the Defendants, committed the offense charged by committing each of the elements of the offense charged as I've explained those elements to you in these instructions, and those would be all of the elements of Hobbs Act robbery.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 155

Second, that the Defendant knew that the offence charged was going to be committed, or was being committed by someone, including a co-Defendant.

Third, that the Defendant knowingly did some act for the purpose of aiding, or assisting someone, including a co-Defendant, in committing the specific offense charged, and with the intent that someone, including a co-Defendant, commit that specific offense.

And forth and finally, that the Defendant performed an act in furtherance of the offense charged.

In deciding whether the Defendant had the required knowledge and intent to satisfy the third requirement for aiding and abetting, and that is the requirement of proving that aider and abettor aided or assisted someone else in committing the offense, you may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence, including the Defendant's words and actions, and the other facts and circumstances.

However, evidence that the Defendant merely associated with persons involved in a criminal venture, or was merely present, or was merely a knowing spectator during the commission of the offense is not enough for you to define -- for you to find

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 156

that the Defendant was guilty as an aider and abettor. If the evidence shows that the Defendant knew the offense was being committed, or was about to be committed, but does not also prove beyond a reasonable doubt that it was that Defendant's intent and purpose to aid or assist, or otherwise associate themselves with the offense, you may not find that Defendant guilty of the offense as an aider and abettor.

The Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant in some way participated in the offense committed by someone, including a co-Defendant, as something that Defendant wished to bring about, and to make succeed in order for that Defendant to be an aider and abettor.

To show that the Defendant performed an act in furtherance of the offense charged in order to satisfy the fourth requirement, the Government needs to show some affirmative participation by the Defendant, which at least encouraged someone, including a co-Defendant, to commit the offense charged. That is, you must find that Defendant's act did in some way aid or assist someone, including a co-Defendant, to commit the offense.

The Defendant's act need not further aid or assist every part of the offense charged. It

2.2.

2.3

Page 157

is enough if the Defendant's act further aids or assists only one or some part, or phase of the events. Also, the Defendant's acts need not themselves be against the law.

And that's a second way of establishing guilt. The Government can establish guilt if it proves beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements of Hobbs Act robbery, and all of the elements that I have just instructed you on with respect to accomplice liability, or liability as an aider and abettor.

There is in this case a third way a Government can prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and it's referred to -- well, it's involved in offenses committed by co-conspirators.

Count I of the indictment charges that on or about March 22nd, 2019, in this district,

Defendants Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice

Quinn committed a robbery, which interferes, or interfered, with interstate commerce. The Government may prove Defendants Abid Smith -- I'm sorry Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn guilty of the offense by proving that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn personally committed the crime of Hobbs act robbery.

The Government may also prove

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 158

Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn guilty of the offense based on the legal rule that each member of a conspiracy is responsible for crimes and other acts committed by the other members, as long as those crimes and acts were committed to help further, or achieve, the objective of the conspiracy, and were reasonably foreseeable to the Defendants Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn as a necessary, or natural consequence of the agreement.

In other words, under certain circumstances, the act of one conspirator may be treated as the act of all. This means that all the conspirators may be convicted of a crime committed by any one or more of them, even though they did not all personally participate in that crime themselves.

In order for you to find Donnie Smith,
Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn guilty of Hobbs Act
robbery as charged in Count I, based on this legal
rule, the legal rule regarding the liability as a
conspirator, you must find that the Government proved
beyond a reasonable doubt each of the four
requirements that follow.

First, that a conspiracy existed between Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn, and that Donnie Stevens (sic), Maurice Quinn, -- I'm

sorry, and that Donnie Stevens, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn were members of that conspiracy.

2.2.

2.3

So the first element is the Government must prove the conspiracy existed, and that Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn were members of that conspiracy.

Second, that while Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn were still members of the conspiracy, one of them committed the offense charged in Count I by committing each of the elements of that offense as I've explained those elements to you.

Third, that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, or Maurice Quinn committed this offense within the scope of the unlawful agreement between them, and to further or -- and to help further or achieve the objective of the conspiracy.

And fourth, that this offense was reasonably foreseeable to, or reasonably anticipated by Defendants Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn as a necessary or natural consequence of the unlawful agreement. The Government does not have to prove that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn specifically agreed, or knew that this offense would be committed. However, the Government must prove that the offense was reasonably foreseeable to

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 160

Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn as a member of the conspiracy, and within the scope -- and within the scope of the agreement, as Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn understood it.

It is a federal crime for two or more persons to agree or conspire to commit any offense against the United States, even if they never actually achieve their objective.

A conspiracy is a kind of criminal partnership. In order for you to find Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn guilty of conspiracy to commit an offense against the United States, you must find that the Government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following four elements:

First, that two or more persons agreed to commit an offense against the United States as charged in Count I of the indictment. That is the Hobbs Act robbery. I've explained the elements of that offense in these instructions.

Second, the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn were parties to, or members of, that agreement.

Third, the Government must prove that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn joined

2.2.

2.3

Page 161

the agreement, or conspiracy, knowing of its objective to commit an offense against the United States, and intending to join together to achieve that objective. That is, that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, or Maurice Quinn shared a unity of purpose, and the intent to achieve a common goal, or objective, to commit an offense against the United States.

And fourth and finally, that at some point during the existence of the agreement, or conspiracy, at least one of its members performed an overt act in order to further the objectives of the agreement.

Now, I will explain each of these elements in more detail.

The first element of the crime of conspiracy is the existence of an agreement. The Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that two or more persons knowingly, and intentionally, arrived at a mutual understanding, or agreement, either spoken or unspoken, to work together to achieve the overall objective of the conspiracy to commit the offense of interfering with interstate commerce by robbery.

The Government does not have to prove the existence of a formal or written agreement, or an

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 162

expressed oral agreement, spelling out the details of the understanding. The Government also does not have to prove that all of the members of the conspiracy directly met, or discussed between themselves their unlawful objective, or agreed to all of the details, or agreed to what the means were by which the objective would be accomplished.

The Government is not even required to prove that all the people named in the indictment were in fact parties to the agreement, or that all members of the alleged conspiracy were named, or that all members of the conspiracy are even known. What the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that two or more persons in some way or manner arrived at some type of agreement, mutual understanding, or meeting of the minds to accomplish a common and unlawful purpose.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding whether the Government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that an agreement or mutual understanding existed.

You may find that the existence of a conspiracy based on reason -- you may find the existence of a conspiracy based on reasonable inferences drawn from the actions and statements of

2.0

2.2

2.3

Page 163

the alleged members of the conspiracy, from the circumstances surrounding the scheme, and from evidence of related facts and circumstances, which prove that the activities of the participants in the criminal venture could not have been carried out, except as the result of a preconceived agreement, scheme, or understanding.

Now, if you find that a criminal agreement or conspiracy existed, then in order to find Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn guilty of conspiracy, you must also find that the Government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn knowingly and intentionally joined that agreement, or conspiracy, during its existence.

The Government must prove that

Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn knew the goal or objective of the agreement, or conspiracy, and voluntarily joined it during its existence, intending to achieve the common goal or objective, and to work together with the other alleged conspirators toward that goal or objective.

The Government need not prove that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn knew everything about the conspiracy, or that they knew

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 164

everyone involved in it, or that they were a member from the beginning. The Government also does not have to prove that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn played a major or substantial role in the conspiracy.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding whether Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn joined the conspiracy, knew of its criminal objective, and intended to further that objective. Evidence which shows that Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn only knew about the conspiracy, or only kept bad company by associating with members of the conspiracy, or was only present when it was discussed, or when a crime was committed is not sufficient to prove that Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn were members of the conspiracy, even if they approved of what was happening, or did not object to it.

Likewise, evidence showing that

Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn may have done

something that happened to help a conspiracy does not

necessarily prove that they joined the conspiracy.

You may, however, consider this evidence with all of the other evidence in deciding whether the Government proved beyond a reasonable

doubt that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn joined the conspiracy.

The third element of the conspiracy is the mental state or states of the Defendants. In order to find Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn guilty of conspiracy, you must find that the Government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn joined the conspiracy knowing of its objective, and intending to help further, or achieve, that objective.

That is, the Government must prove:
one, that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice
Quinn knew of the objective, or goal, of the
conspiracy; two, that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and
Maurice Quinn joined the conspiracy, intending to help
further, or achieve, that goal, or objective; and
three, that Defendants Smith, Stevens, and Quinn, and
at least one -- no. That Defendants Smith, Stevens,
and Quinn shared a unity of purpose toward the
objective of that goal.

Let me --

(Pause)

2.2

2.3

THE COURT: There was a phrase that was supposed to have been deleted. It's applicable only when there is only a single Defendant, and we'll

delete it in the copy that is presented to the jury.
We'll talk about that at sidebar when I finish.

2.3

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence, including Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn's words or conduct, and other facts and circumstances in deciding whether Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn had the required knowledge and intent.

With regard to the fourth element of conspiracy, overt acts, the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that during the existence of the conspiracy, at least one member of the conspiracy performed at least one of the overt acts described in the indictment for the purposes of furthering, or helping to achieve, the objective of the conspiracy.

Take a look at the paragraph of the charge that follows. It does not seem to me that that is applicable, and that was to have been deleted, but we can go to sidebar and give the jury another standup.

I'm on page 36, and it's the second paragraph. Take a look at it, if there's agreement that it should not be read, I won't read it. If there's disagreement, we go to sidebar.

Does anyone disagree that paragraph

Page 167 two, the second paragraph on page 36 should not be 1 read because it's inapplicable? 2 3 (Pause) MR. ECKERT: I think we're in agreement 4 5 that it should not be read, Your Honor. THE COURT: Everyone? Does anyone 6 7 disagree with that? (Chorus of no) 8 9 THE COURT: All right. And it just 10 slipped into the charge. It was supposed to have been removed. It applies when there's a single -- well, it 11 12 applies when there's a different set of circumstances. 13 It doesn't apply here. 14 Next, with respect to conspiracy, the 15 Government is not required to prove that any of the 16 members of the conspiracy were successful in achieving 17 any or all of the objectives of a conspiracy. 18 You may find Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn guilty of conspiracy if you 19 find that the Government proved beyond a reasonable 20 doubt the elements I've explained, even if you find 21 22 that the Government did not prove that any of the conspirators actually committed any other offense 2.3 24 against the United States. Conspiracy is a criminal offense 25

separate from the offense that was the objective of the conspiracy. Conspiracy is complete without the commission of that offense.

Next, with respect to conspiracy.

Evidence has been admitted in this case that certain persons who were -- who are alleged to be co-conspirators --

(Pause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: No, I'm going to modify that charge as well. I'm deleting the first sentence of that charge.

The acts or statements of any member of a conspiracy are treated as the acts or statements of all the members of a conspiracy if these acts or statements were performed or spoken during the existence of the conspiracy, and to further the objectives of the conspiracy.

Therefore, you may consider as evidence against Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn any acts done or statements made by any members of the conspiracy during the existence of, and to further the objectives of the conspiracy. You may consider these acts and statements even if they were done and made in Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn's absence and without their knowledge.

As with all the evidence presented in the case, it is for you to decide whether you believe this evidence and how much weight to give it.

That concludes the charge on Count I,
Hobbs Act robbery, including aiding and abetting Hobbs
Act robbery and conspiracy. I'm now going to turn to
Count II, which charges a separate crime against the
three Defendants, using or carrying a firearm during
any crime of violence. And I think we'll take another
break. Let's stand up.

You know what I think we'll do, we'll take more than a standup. Let's recess for 10 minutes.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

(Jury out)

2.2.

2.3

of those deletions was required, because the Government did not charge conspiracy in the indictment, and the other involved the statement of a co-conspirator other than the Defendants. It's really designed for a single Defendant charge. And we'll delete what I said we'd delete. When we go to sidebar, I'll go over it so that you know what it was we deleted.

And now we'll move into Count II. And

```
Page 170
     on that note, we are in recess.
1
                    THE BAILIFF: All rise.
 2
                    THE COURT: Ten minutes.
 3
          (Recessed at 3:18 p.m.; reconvened at 3:40 p.m.)
 4
 5
                    THE CLERK: Please be seated.
          (Pause)
 6
 7
                    THE CLERK: All rise.
                    THE COURT: Be seated, everyone.
8
                    All right. We will continue with the
9
10
     charge.
                    I'm now going to charge you on Count II
11
12
     of the indictment. Count II of the indictment charges
13
     the Defendants Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice
     Quinn with using and carrying a firearm during a crime
14
15
     of violence, which is in violation of federal law.
16
                    The offense charged in Count I of the
17
     indictment, the Hobbs Act robbery, is a crime of
     violence. In order to find that Donnie Smith, Abid
18
19
     Stevens, and Maurice Quinn quilty of the offense
     charged in Count II of the indictment, you must find
20
21
     that the Government has proved each of the following
2.2.
     three elements beyond a reasonable doubt:
2.3
                    First, that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens,
     or Maurice Ouinn committed the crime of Hobbs Act
24
25
     robbery as charged in Count I of the indictment.
```

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 171

And second, that during and in relation to the commission of the crime, an individual Defendant knowingly used or carried a firearm.

The term "uses or carries a firearm"

means having a firearm or firearms available to assist

or aid in the commission of the crime of interference

with interstate commerce by robbery. "Use" means more

than mere possession of a firearm by a person who

commits a crime. To establish use, the Government

must show active employment of the firearm.

If the Defendant did not either disclose or mention the firearm, or actively employ it, the Defendant did not use the firearm.

"Carry" means that the Defendant had the firearm on his person.

Third, the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt -- this is the third element, that an individual Defendant used or carried the firearm during and in relation to the crime of interference with interstate commerce by robbery, that is Hobbs Act robbery.

"During and in relation to" means that the firearm must have had some purpose or effect with respect to interference with interstate commerce by robbery. The firearm must have at least facilitated,

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 172

or had the potential of facilitating interference with interstate commerce by robbery.

In determining whether an individual Defendant used or carried a firearm in relation to the interference with interstate commerce by robbery crime, you may consider all of the factors received in evidence in the case, including the nature of the underlying crime, interference with interstate commerce by robbery, how close that Defendant was to the firearm in question, the usefulness of the firearm to interference with interstate commerce by robbery, and the circumstances surrounding the presence of the firearm.

If -- the Government is not required to show that an individual Defendant actually displayed or fired the weapon, however the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the firearm was in that Defendant's possession, or under their control at the time of the Hobbs Act -- at the time the crime of Hobbs Act robbery was committed, and that the firearm facilitated, or at least had the potential in facilitating the interference with interstate commerce by robbery.

Now, the term "firearm" means any weapon which will expel, or is designed to, or may

readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive. The term includes the frame or receiver of any such weapon.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Now, I charged you with respect to accomplice liability, or aiding and abetting with respect to Count I, the Hobbs Act robbery Count. I'm now going to charge you on accomplice liability with respect to Count II, the using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence count.

A person may be guilty of an offense because he personally committed the offense himself, or because he aided and abetted another person in committing the offense. A person who has aided and abetted another person in committing an offense is often called an accomplice, and the person whom the accomplice aids and abets is known as the principal. Same instructions that I gave you with respect to Hobbs Act robbery, it gets a little different as we proceed.

In this case, the Government alleges that Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn aided and abetted someone, including a co-defendant, in committing the crime of using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as charged in the indictment in Count II.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 174

In order to find the Defendant guilty of using and carrying a firearm during a crime of violence, because they aided and abetted someone in committing this offense, you must find that the Government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following four requirements.

First, that someone, including one of the Defendants, committed the offense charged by committing each of the elements of the offense charged as I've explained those elements to you, and those elements would be the Hobbs Act robbery elements, the crime of violence.

Second, that the Defendant knew that the offense charged was going to be committed, or was being committed by someone, including a co-defendant.

Third, that the Defendant was an active participant in using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to a robbery that interfered with interstate commerce, and also had advanced knowledge that one of the principles would use a firearm during and in relation to that robbery.

And fourth, that the Defendant performed an act in furtherance of the offenses charged.

To find that the Defendant was an

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 175

active participant in using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, you must find that the Government proved that the Defendant knowingly did some act for the purpose of aiding or assisting someone, including a co-Defendant, in committing the Hobbs Act robbery, and with the intent that someone, including a co-Defendant, commit that offense.

To find that the Defendant had advanced knowledge that one of the principals would use or carry a firearm during and in relation to the interference with interstate commerce by robbery, you must find that the Government proved that the Defendant had knowledge of the firearm at a time when they could do something with that knowledge, such as walking away from the criminal venture.

"Advanced knowledge" means knowledge beforehand such that the Defendant had a realistic opportunity to leave the scene of the robbery after learning that a firearm would be used or carried.

In deciding whether the Defendant had the required knowledge and intent to satisfy the third requirement for aiding and abetting, you may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence, including the Defendant's words and actions, and the other facts and

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 176

circumstances. However, evidence that the Defendant merely associated with persons involved in a criminal venture, or was merely present, or was merely a knowing spectator during the commission of the offense is not enough for you to find that the Defendant was guilty as an aider or a better.

If the evidence shows that the Defendant knew that the offense was being committed, or was about to be committed, but does not also prove beyond a reasonable doubt that it was that Defendant's intent and purpose to aid or assist, or otherwise associate themselves with the offense, you may not find that Defendant guilty of the offense as an aider and abettor.

The Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant in some way participated in the offense committed by someone, including a co-Defendant, as something that Defendant wished to bring about, and to make succeed in order for that Defendant to be an aider and abettor.

To show that the Defendant performed an act in furtherance of the offense charged to satisfy the fourth requirement, the Government needs to show some affirmative participation by the Defendant, which at least encouraged someone, including a co-Defendant,

to commit the offense. That is, you must find that the Defendant's act did in some way aid or assist someone, including a co-Defendant, to commit the offense.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

The Defendant's act need not further aid or assist every part of the offense charged, it is enough if the Defendant's act further aid or assist only one or some part, or phase of the offense.

Also, the Defendant's acts need not themselves be against the law, thus the Defendant's act -- acts need not further aid or assist the use or carrying of a firearm. It is enough if the Defendant's acts further aid or assist the underlying crime of using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence.

Now, I'm going to charge you on motive. Motive is not an element of the offenses with which the Defendants are charged. Proof of bad motive is not required to convict. Further, proof of bad motive alone does not establish that the Defendants are guilty, and proof of good motive alone does not establish that the Defendants are not guilty. Evidence of the Defendant's motive may however help you find the Defendant's intent.

Intent and motive are different

2.0

2.3

Page 178

concepts. Motive is what prompts a person to act.

Intent refers only to the state of mind with which the particular act is done. Personal advancement and financial gain, for example, our motives for much of human conduct. However, these motives may prompt one person to intentionally do something perfectly acceptable, while prompting another person to intentionally do an act that is a crime.

Now, I will instruct you on the defense of justification. It's applicable only to one Defendant, Donnie Smith.

Donnie Smith has raised the defense that he was justified by necessity in committing the offenses charged in Counts I and II of the indictment.

If you find that the Government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that Donnie Smith committed the offenses charged, then you must consider whether Donnie Smith's actions were justified by necessity as I will define that for you.

If you find that the Government proved that Donnie Smith committed the offenses charged, and you also find that Donnie Smith proved that he was justified by necessity in committing the offenses, then you must find Donnie Smith not guilty of the charges.

2.2.

2.3

Page 179

To find that Donnie Smith's actions were justified by necessity, and therefore that he is not guilty of the offenses charged in Counts I and II of the indictment, you must find that Donnie Smith proved by a preponderance of the evidence each of the following.

First, that Donnie Smith was under an immediate unlawful threat of death or serious bodily injury to himself or to others.

Second, that Donnie Smith had a well-rounded reasonable belief that the threat would be carried out if he did not commit the offenses.

Third, that Donnie Smith's criminal action was directly caused by the need to avoid the threatened harm, and that Donnie Smith had no reasonable lawful opportunity to avoid the threatened harm without committing the offenses. That is, that Donnie Smith had no reasonable lawful opportunity both to refuse to do the criminal act, and also to avoid the threatened harm.

And fourth, that Donnie Smith had recklessly placed himself in a situation -- I'm sorry. Wrong. Fourth, strike that, disregard it.

Fourth, that Donnie Smith had not recklessly placed himself in a situation in which it

was probable that he would be put in a position of having to choose whether to engage in criminal act.

2.0

2.3

Now, Donnie Smith has the burden of proving the defense of justification necessity by a preponderance of the evidence.

Preponderance of the evidence is a lower standard than proof beyond a reasonable doubt. To prove something by a preponderance of the evidence, means to prove that it is more likely true than not true. If you put all of the credible or believable evidence that is favorable to Donnie Smith, and the credible evidence that is favorable to the Government on opposite sides of a scale, the scale would have to tip somewhat in Donnie Smith's favor in order for you to find that Donnie Smith is not guilty because of justification necessity.

However, if the scale tips in favor of the Government, or if the credible evidence appears to be equally balanced, or if you cannot say on which side the credible evidence is heavier, then you must decide that Donnie Smith has not proved the defense of justification necessity by a preponderance of the evidence.

In making this determination, you should consider all of the evidence presented during

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Page 181

the trial regardless of who offered it. You should evaluate the evidence and its credibility in accordance to the instructions I gave you earlier. You should also remember that the fact that Donnie Smith asserts this defense does not relieve the Government of the burden of proving all of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt. Now, we're just about at the end of my charge. I'm going to instruct you now on deliberations. That concludes my charge explaining the law regarding the testimony and other evidence in the two offenses charged. Now, let me explain some things about your deliberations in the jury room, and your possible verdicts. First, the first thing you should do in the jury room is to choose someone to be your foreperson. This person will speak for you here in court. He or she will also preside over your

court. He or she will also preside over your discussions. However, the views and vote of the foreperson are entitled to no greater weight than those of any other juror.

Second, I want to remind you that your

verdict, whether it is guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. All of you must agree. To find that

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 182

Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn are guilty of an offense, every one of you must agree that the Government has overcome the presumption of innocence with evidence that proves each element of that offense beyond a reasonable doubt.

To find Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn not guilty, every one of you must agree that the Government has failed to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt.

Third, if you decide that the Government has proved Donnie Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn guilty, then it will be my responsibility to decide what the appropriate punishment should be. You should never consider the possible punishment in reaching your verdict.

Fourth, as I have said before, your verdict must be based only on the evidence received in this case and the law as I am giving it to you. You should not take anything I may have said or done during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence, or what I think your verdict should be.

What the verdict should be is the exclusive responsibility of you, the jurors.

Fifth, now that all the evidence is in, the arguments are completed, and once I have finished

2.2.

2.3

Page 183

these instructions, you're free to talk about the case in the jury room. In fact, it is your duty to talk with each other about the evidence, and to make every reasonable effort you can to reach unanimous agreement. Talk with each other. Listen carefully and respectfully to each other's views, and keep an open mind as you listen to what your fellow jurors have to say.

Do not hesitate to change your mind if you are convinced that other jurors are right and that your original position was wrong. But, do not ever change your mind just because other jurors see things differently, or just to get the case over with.

In the end, your vote must be exactly that, your own vote. It is important for you to reach a unanimous agreement, but only if you can do so honestly and in good conscience.

Listen carefully to what other jurors have to say, and then decide for yourself if the Government has proven the Defendants guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

No one will be allowed to hear your discussions in the jury room, and no record will be made of what you say. You should all feel free to speak your minds.

2.3

Page 184

Remember, if you elected to take notes during the trial, your notes should only be used as memory aids. You should not give your notes greater weight than your independent recollection of the evidence. You should rely upon your own independent recollection of the evidence, or lack of evidence, and you should not be unduly influenced by the notes of other jurors. Notes are not entitled to any more weight than the memory or impression of each juror.

Six, once you start deliberating, do not talk, communicate with, or provide any information about the case, by any means, to the court officials, or to me, or to anyone else except each other.

During your deliberations, you may not use any electronic device or media such as telephones, cell phones, smart phone, iPhone, blackberry, or computer, the Internet, any Internet service, or any text or instant messaging service, or any Internet chat room, blog, or website, such as Facebook, MySpace, LinkedIn, YouTube, or Twitter to communicate to anyone any information about the case, or to conduct any research about the case.

Seventh, if you have any questions or messages, your foreperson should write them down on a piece of paper, sign them, and then give them to the

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 185

court official, who will give them to me. I will first talk to the lawyers about what you have asked, and I will respond as soon as I can. In the meanwhile, if possible, you should continue your deliberations.

If you want to see any of the exhibits that are admitted in evidence and not provided to you, you may send me a message, and if I can legally do so, I will have those exhibits provided to you.

One more thing about messages, do not ever write down, or tell me, or tell anyone how you or anyone else voted. That should stay secret until you have finished your deliberations. If you have occasion to communicate with me while you are deliberating, do not disclose the number of jurors who have voted to convict or acquit on any offense.

And now, the verdict forms. There are three verdict forms and they have an interrogatory, which is a question. You should take these forms to the jury room. When you've reached your unanimous verdicts, the foreperson should write the verdicts on the forms, date and sign them, and return them to the courtroom. And you do this by notifying the court officer, who will be in attendance just outside the jury room.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 186

If you decide the Government has proved the Defendants' guilty of any or all of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt, say so by having your foreperson mark the appropriate place on the forms.

If you decide that the Government has not proven the Defendant guilty of some or all of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt, say so by having the foreperson mark the appropriate place on the forms.

Now, the forms are not very complicated. There's a form for each Defendant, so you'll have a separate verdict form for each Defendant. And these verdict forms will -- well, they do provide, and I'm quoting, "The jury unanimously agrees to the following verdict for Counts I and II of the indictment, as to Defendant," and there's one for Dante (sic) Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn.

And then there's a description of Count I, and it's in the block to the left of the form, and it reads, "On Count I of the indictment, charging robbery which interferes with interstate commerce by"

-- "which interferes with interstate commerce, and aiding and abetting, on or about March 22nd, 2019, we, the Jury, unanimously find the Defendant" -- and,

2.3

Page 187

again, it's each one, Dante Smith, Abid Stevens,
Maurice Quinn, there's one for each of them -- "guilty
or not guilty." There are two columns, guilty or not
guilty, and two lines, in whichever line you find
applicable.

And then there's a description of Count II. "On Count II of the indictment, charging using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, and aiding and abetting, on or about March 22nd, 2019, we, the Jury, unanimously find the Defendant," and again, Dante Stevens -- I'm sorry Dante Smith, Abid Stevens, and Maurice Quinn, there's a separate verdict form for each of them, and, again, the same two columns, guilty and not guilty.

When you answer those two questions, you'll find an instruction at the bottom of the first page. And it reads, "If you find," and again, it's each of the Defendants, "not guilty of Count II," that's the count that charges using or carrying a firearm in connection with a crime of violence, "your deliberations are concluded," and it says, "you should sign the verdict form." That's wrong. The foreperson should sign the verdict form, and we'll correct these verdict forms.

If you find the Defendants guilty -- if

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Page 188

you find any of the Defendants guilty of the crime charged in Count II, you must answer an additional question called an interrogatory, and that interrogatory, again, it's applicable just to Count II, and it reads, "Do you unanimously find that the Government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendants," and again, there's one for each of them, "brandished a firearm when committing this offense?" And this offense refers to the offense charged in Count II, using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence. And in order to answer this interrogatory, there are two columns, yes or no, and you check the applicable place.

After you have completed, and if after the foreperson has completed this jury verdict form in accordance with my instructions, the foreperson should sign and date the form, and the jury should notify the court official, who will be outside the jury room door, that you're ready to return to the courtroom.

I should add that in answering this interrogatory, this question, about brandishing, as in deciding your verdict, you must be unanimous, and in order to find that offense involves certain conduct, you must all be satisfied that the Government proved that conduct beyond a reasonable doubt.

2.2.

2.3

Page 189

And I'll go over this question, or the interrogatory, in just a little more detail. The jury interrogatory asks whether if Defendant is guilty of using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, whether he brandished the firearm in a course of committing this offense.

To "brandish" means to display all or part of the firearm, or otherwise make the presence of the firearm known to another person in order to intimidate that person, regardless of whether the firearm is directly visible to that person. You should answer "yes" or "no" to this question.

And now I have -- I'm just about at the end.

You should also be aware that after you complete your deliberations as I have instructed you, there may be some additional evidence presented very, very brief, and, I mean, measured in minutes rather than hours, an additional matter which you -- on which you will have to deliberate.

All right. That concludes my instructions. I now must go to sidebar to find whether the attorneys have any other instructions they wish me to give you.

In the meanwhile, why don't you take

Page 190 another stretch, standup, if you care to. 1 (Sidebar on the record) 2 THE COURT: First of all, we've 3 substituted pages -- for the pages on which I told 4 5 you, on which you agreed, that there were problems. On page 35, conspiracy element three, mental state, 6 7 the end of the first paragraph, we deleted "and at least one other alleged conspirator." 8 9 Second, page 36, fourth element of the conspiracy charge, overt acts. We deleted the second 10 11 paragraph. 12 And third, page 38, acts and statements 13 of co-conspirators. I deleted the first sentence because it was inapplicable. And those pages will be 14 15 substituted. There's no objection to any of that, no? Am I correct, Mr. Eckert? 16 17 MR. ECKERT: No. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Patterson? 19 MR. PATTERSON: No. 2.0 MS. MEEHAN: Not to the changes, but to 21 the overall Pinkerton charge. 2.2. THE COURT: Yeah. MS. MEEHAN: (Indiscernible - 4:15:42) 2.3 24 to that, yes, (indiscernible - 4:15:43). 25 THE COURT: There was an issue on page

```
Page 191
     39, something didn't work. I have some (indiscernible
1
     - 4:16:02). Ryan, anything?
 2
                    MR. ECKERT: I have.
 3
                    THE COURT: I made one other
 4
5
     correction.
                    MR. ECKERT: I don't have an objection.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: Well, I thought -- I think
     it's -- I think it's this, the second paragraph,
8
     quilty of the offense charged, it should be Count II
9
     of the indictment. I think that better explains.
10
11
                    All right. Now, let's talk about any
12
     other issues with respect to the indictment.
13
                    Mr. Eckert, do you have any objections
     -- I'm sorry. I said, "the indictment," I meant the
14
15
     charge. Do you have any other issues with respect to
16
     the charge?
17
                    MR. ECKERT: Your Honor, I think the
18
     Counsel (indiscernible - 4:17:18) the flight
19
     instruction. The Court read "must" instead of "may,"
     so (indiscernible - 4:17:22) -- we'd defer to Mr.
20
21
     Patterson, but I believe we would ask that
22
     (indiscernible - 4:17:26).
2.3
                    MR. PATTERSON: That's correct, Your
24
     Honor, jury instruction number 14, first paragraph.
                                What page?
25
                    THE COURT:
```

	Page 192
1	MS. MEEHAN: 18.
2	MR. PATTERSON: Page 18.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	MR. PATTERSON: Second paragraph, the
5	first line. Your Honor said, "must," rather than,
6	"may."
7	THE COURT: Oh, it's "may."
8	MR. PATTERSON: Right. And I would
9	I'd be okay with just rereading that first line if
10	Your Honor doesn't want to reread the whole charge.
11	THE COURT: I'll redo that.
12	MR. PATTERSON: And one other minor
13	thing, on page 51, just when Your Honor was when
14	Your Honor was reviewing the verdict form with the
15	jury, at one time you referred to Donnie Smith as
16	Dante Smith. I would just ask that that be clarified.
17	That's all.
18	THE COURT: Okay. I can do that.
19	Yeah. Okay.
20	MR. ECKERT: And of course there'd be
21	no objection to that, Your Honor.
22	MR. PATTERSON: And I have nothing
23	further.
24	THE COURT: And you're okay on
25	everything else except for this (indiscernible

	Page 193
1	4:18:57).
2	MR. PATTERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	MR. WITTELS: Well, I think we renew
5	our challenge to the Pinkerton charge, Judge, which we
6	have to do at this point.
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. WITTELS: We believe that there was
9	no evidence against of a conspiracy upon which to base
10	the Pinkerton charge, and even if there was some
11	scintilla of evidence, that giving a Pinkerton charge
12	is unduly confusing a burden to the jury, and
13	therefore should not have been given.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	MS. MEEHAN: I join in that.
16	MR. PATTERSON: And I would join in
17	also, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Right. I won't tell you
19	where I stand.
20	Any other objections?
21	MR. PATTERSON: No.
22	MR. WITTELS: No.
23	MS. MEEHAN: Yes, Your Honor.
24	Accomplice liability as to that 924(c), on behalf of
25	Maurice Quinn, I would renew my objection for the

Page 194 reasons we stated on the record -- well, during 1 several charge conferences, and the only thing I would 2 3 note, Your Honor, when we submitted the joint instruction, the Government and Mr. Quinn, as to one 4 5 of the elements, it says, "You must unanimously agree on the identity of the principal or principals," which 6 7 is not part of the current charge that Your Honor --THE COURT: That's not part of the law 8 9 either. 10 MS. MEEHAN: Well, I'm not --11 THE COURT: At least as the Third 12 Circuit (indiscernible - 4:20:20). 13 MS. MEEHAN: So, well, I'll just add that for my objection to that, and that I think that 14 15 the aiding and abetting as the 924(c) in its current 16 forms is a little bit --17 THE COURT: It's what? 18 MS. MEEHAN: -- confusing. It's 19 confusing. Well, I added -- I tailored 20 THE COURT: 21 it to your objection. Your objection was based 22 primarily on the opportunity to withdraw, whether he was a knowing participant in conduct involving guns, 2.3 24 and I took from your proposed charge the paragraph 25 that I thought was most important.

Page 195 I found that the rest of that charge 1 2 was inapplicable to the case in view of the Government's position that they were not saying that 3 Quinn was the only aider and abettor, and that others 4 5 could be other aiders and abettors. In any event, I'll address that. 6 7 MS. MEEHAN: Very well. And then the verdict slip, Your Honor, we went through this before 8 9 that our position -- my position is that if Mr. Quinn -- if the jury finds unanimously Mr. Quinn not quilty 10 11 of Count I, that they should be instructed that they 12 cannot move to Count II, and Your Honor addressed that 13 earlier. THE COURT: Well, in thinking about 14 15 that, it seems to me that if no one is convicted of Count II, there's no crime of violence and no one can 16 17 be convicted -- I'm sorry. 18 MS. MEEHAN: Of Count I. 19 MR. ECKERT: Count I. THE COURT: If no one is convicted of 2.0 21 Hobbs Act robbery in Count I --2.2. MS. MEEHAN: Right. THE COURT: -- then there is no crime 2.3 24 of violence, and I don't think the Government can

prevail. But that's not where we are now.

25

Page 196 While I'm on that issue, do you agree 1 2 with that, Mr. Eckert? MR. ECKERT: I would just ask for a set 3 -- or, an hour to look it over. I think it make sense 4 5 what the Court's saying (indiscernible - 4:22:06) --THE COURT: Well, it's not really in 6 7 the case, yet. MR. ECKERT: Right, right. 8 9 THE COURT: Now, it might be. And then 10 I'll have to come to grips with it. It's a very 11 interesting point, but as I read the law, I don't 12 think the Government has to find, and this is 13 hypothetically, Maurice Quinn quilty of Count I in order to be able to consider his quilt or innocence of 14 15 the crime charged in Count II. Someone, in my judgment, must be found 16 17 quilty of Hobbs Act robbery in order to find anyone quilty of the crime of using or carrying a firearm 18 19 during and in relation to the Hobbs Act robbery. That's the problem with 2.0 MS. MEEHAN: 21 that issue of who is the principal to what? 2.2. THE COURT: I'm sorry? That is the problem with 2.3 MS. MEEHAN: 24 the jury being confused about which Defendant is which principal in which offense. 25

Page 197 THE COURT: Well, it doesn't matter. 1 It seems to me that if anyone is convicted of Hobbs 2 Act robbery, they can all be convicted of using or 3 carrying a firearm during and in relation of that 4 5 robbery. But it's an issue presented by the way 6 7 the Government tried the case. I have made my position clear --8 9 MS. MEEHAN: Very well. 10 THE COURT: -- on that, and your objection is noted. 11 12 MS. MEEHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Now, those two forms that you handed out didn't get anywhere except into my file 14 15 there. They're not filed. 16 In other words, you handed out two 17 forms with inked corrections regarding your charge. 18 At one point for charge it was the -- well, it was the 19 point on aiding and abetting Count II, using or carrying a firearm. Do you -- have you filed 20 21 (indiscernible - 4:23:59)? 2.2. MS. MEEHAN: I can file those, Your 2.3 Honor. THE COURT: File them. You have them. 24 25 MS. MEEHAN: The problem was that I was

Page 198 going to file it as a joint -- a joint recommendation 1 to the Court as to the aiding and abetting accomplice 2 3 liability on 924(c), and then when we got to court and the Government said, "Oh, no, we're not agreeing," I 4 didn't know how to file that, but I'll just file it as 5 6 Defendant's proposed --7 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. MS. MEEHAN: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 THE COURT: I have one other thing. As 10 I read this, the instruction at the bottom of page 1 of the verdict form, and this is for all. First of 11 12 all, it says, "You should sign the verdict form." It 13 should read, "Your foreperson should sign the verdict form." 14 15 And then it should read, "After your foreperson has completed this jury verdict form, the 16 17 foreperson should sign and date." I'm going to change it to reflect that. 18 19 Any objection to that? I'm sorry. (Chorus of no) 20 21 THE COURT: All right. And what we'll 2.2. do, we'll make arrangements. I don't know whether they're going to want -- they're not going to want to 2.3 24 stay tonight. 25 MR. ECKERT: No.

Page 199 THE COURT: We'll make arrangements 1 2 tomorrow morning to have that (indiscernible -3 4:25:53), the IT person, instruct them on how to use the laptop in the (indiscernible - 4:26:00). And I'll 4 5 let them go, after we administer the oath to the court officer, and then we'll chat about some follow-up. 6 7 MR. ECKERT: Okay. THE COURT: Anything else we have to 8 9 discuss now? 10 MR. ECKERT: No, Your Honor. 11 MR. WITTELS: No. 12 MR. PATTERSON: No. 13 THE CLERK: Yes, yes. We -- just one quick thing to think about in the middle of your 14 15 (indiscernible - 4:26:20). Your Alternate, our 16 Alternate that's remaining has expressed a wish to 17 kind of be released from the building. She does live in Philadelphia and she can be on call where she would 18 19 not be permitted to go to work, but will be available, and therefore, she would get paid. So I just wanted 2.0 21 to throw that out there to see how you wanted to 2.2. handle the Alternate. It's actually since I don't 2.3 expect the jury to even stay tonight. 24 THE COURT: It's okay with me. 25 MR. ECKERT: I don't have any

	Page 200
1	objections.
2	MS. MEEHAN: That's fine.
3	THE COURT: I've done it this way in
4	the past. It's not the conservative way to handle it.
5	Oh, I don't mean I'm not talking politics at all,
6	but, if that's agreeable to everyone, we'll instruct
7	her that she can't read or do anything dealing with
8	the case, and she has to be available in the event
9	another juror has a good reason for abstaining himself
10	or herself.
11	THE CLERK: And she
12	MR. ECKERT: Sorry.
13	THE CLERK: and she has to
14	specifically be instructed that she cannot report to
15	work.
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MR. ECKERT: (Indiscernible - 4:27:34)
18	all the defendants bring no objection to that
19	procedure.
20	MR. WITTELS: No objection.
21	MR. PATTERSON: No objection.
22	THE COURT: I didn't hear you.
23	MS. MEEHAN: No objection. I'm sorry.
24	THE COURT: I should tell you, when you
25	speak at the lectern, your habit is to move the mic

Page 201 up, which is great. It might give you some feeling of 1 comfort, or what have you, but it makes it very hard 2 3 to hear. MS. MEEHAN: Oh, sorry. I always hit 4 5 the mic with my gestures, so it's always just --THE COURT: All right. I'll instruct 6 7 the jury as (indiscernible - 4:28:06). MR. ECKERT: Okay. 8 9 (Sidebar concluded) 10 THE COURT: Counsel has suggested one 11 minor correction and they're right. I misread 12 something, and it concerns --13 (Asides) THE COURT: -- two minor corrections. 14 15 The first, it concerns consciousness of guilt, and it's page 18. I read that if you believe that Donnie 16 17 Smith ran from police officers, then you must consider 18 this conduct along with all the other evidence in 19 deciding whether the Government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that he committed the crime charged. 20 21 My use of the word "must" was wrong. 2.2. It should read, "If you believe that Donnie Smith ran from the police officers, then you 2.3 24 may, " not must, "then you may consider this evidence, 25 or this conduct, along with all the other evidence in

Page 202 deciding whether the Government has proved beyond a 1 reasonable doubt that he committed the crime charged." 2 That's the first correction. 3 The second correction, I've been told 4 5 that in repeating Donnie Smith's name as many times as I repeated it, on one occasion I identified him as 6 That was a mistake. The Defendant is 7 Dante Smith. Donnie Smith, not Dante Smith. So that should be 8 9 corrected. 10 Other than that, the charge as I read 11 it is going to be presented to you as soon as we make 12 the one or two minor changes that need to be made. 13 All of the exhibits received in evidence will be given There will be a court officer -- is there a 14 15 court officer who will be sworn, Ms. Hall? 16 Yes, sir. THE CLERK: 17 THE COURT: Where is --THE CLERK: He's in the back of the 18 19 courtroom. Oh, he's here. 2.0 THE COURT: Let's 21 administer the oath to the court officer while the 22 jury is here. (Court officer sworn) 2.3 24 THE CLERK: Please state your full 25 name, and spell your last name for the record.

Page 203 OFFICER JORDAN: CSO Michael Jordan, J-1 2 O-R-D-A-N. 3 THE CLERK: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. Court Security 4 5 Officer Jordan will be in attendance outside the jury room door. He might be succeeded by other court 6 7 officers, but there will always be a court officer in attendance. 8 9 And now, you have a decision to make. 10 It's 4:30, and I told you that at the end of the day 11 we would decide whether you wanted to stay and begin 12 deliberations, or wait until tomorrow morning. And 13 what I'm going to ask you to do, this will not be a vote in open court. We don't do that very often. I 14 15 think we've had the last of those votes in open court. 16 But I want you to go to -- go back to the jury room 17 and decide among yourselves, quickly, so that we can decide what to do, decide quickly whether you wish to 18 19 remain tonight or come back tomorrow morning and resume deliberations as soon as all of you are 20 21 together. 2.2. Is there anything else that needs to be done at this stage of the proceedings? 2.3 MR. ECKERT: No, Your Honor. 24 25 MR. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor. Thank

```
Page 204
1
     you.
 2
                    MR. WITTELS: No, Your Honor.
 3
                    MS. MEEHAN: No, Your Honor.
                    THE COURT:
                                 All right. Let's usher the
 4
 5
     jury into the jury room.
                    THE CLERK: All rise.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: You'll get back to me right
8
     away.
9
          (Jury out)
10
                    THE COURT: Be seated everyone.
                                                      We'll
11
     wait for the jury.
12
          (Pause)
13
                    THE COURT: I'll read the note. "The
     juror team has elected a foreperson, and has decided
14
15
     as a group to begin deliberations tomorrow morning."
                    Let's call them back. I want to tell
16
17
     them what they should do. And there's no objection to
18
     that, I'm going to let them do that, which is what I
19
     expected.
          (Pause)
20
21
                    THE CLERK: All rise.
22
          (Jury in)
2.3
                    THE COURT: Be seated, everyone.
24
                    First, we got your note.
                                               You wish to
25
     go home and start deliberations tomorrow morning, and
```

Page 205

that's fine, and that's what we're going to do. I want you all here at 9:30, by 9:30 tomorrow morning. You cannot begin your deliberations, however, until all 12 of you, there will be 12 of you deliberating.

2.2.

2.3

I'm going to excuse the Alternate juror, I'll explain that to her, but she'll be on standby in case one of you gets ill, or is otherwise unable to participate.

We have arranged with the IT people here in the courthouse to bring to the jury room a laptop and a television set, and that person will explain to you how to work it. I'm sure some of you know how, but we want to be sure you know how to work this equipment so that if you choose to, you can play all or part of the videos that are in evidence, and those will be provided to you. But that will happen early tomorrow morning.

Also, we're going to have to make some minor changes in the verdict forms in the charge, and they will be provided to you early tomorrow morning as will the exhibits.

Now, you know all the evidence. You've heard all the evidence, you've heard the closing arguments, you've heard my charge. Now, it's your time to begin the oh so important task of jury

Page 206

deliberations.

2.2.

2.3

Keep in mind that you cannot deliberate until all 12 of you are there, and that if, for example, during deliberations someone wants to leave to use the restroom or whatever, you've got to stop. You cannot deliberate in groups. You've got to deliberate as a jury of 12 people.

I'm going to repeat very briefly, anyone asks you about what you did today at home, tell them nothing. Don't listen to anything that might be broadcast over the radio dealing with the case, and don't view anything that might be broadcast on television, don't read anything that might be printed in any newspaper dealing with the case, and just don't discuss the case with anyone other than your fellow jurors.

The reason I've told you over and over again you could probably give this instruction yourselves now, but the reason is you've got to decide the case based solely on the evidence presented in the courtroom and not on anything else.

Be sure you leave your juror notebooks in the jury room, and we will not call you back into the courtroom tomorrow morning. We'll check on you to make sure all is well. As soon as all 12 of you are

Page 207 there, you may begin deliberations. Okay. 1 2 Now, Alternate juror has to retrieve 3 her belongings. We have to do that first, yes? You're looking at me with that face. 4 5 And she really knows what she's doing. She's telling me I'm doing something. And I'll talk --6 7 (Asides) THE COURT: On the question whether you 8 9 can all go back into the jury room including the 10 alternate, and the alternate can bring her belongings 11 out, Melan Hall and Deputy Wince (phonetic), you can 12 all go back into the jury room, we don't have to send 13 her back first, but don't discuss the case at all. And I'll have some instructions for the alternate 14 15 juror, and I want her to come back into the courtroom. The rest of you may go home, and I'll 16 17 see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. THE CLERK: All rise. 18 19 (Jury out) 2.0 THE COURT: Be seated everyone. I want 21 to give the alternate juror the alternate juror 22 instructions just in case we have a need to call her. (Pause) 2.3 24 THE CLERK: Are you ready for the alternate? 25

	Page 208
1	THE COURT: I am.
2	THE CLERK: All rise.
3	(Alternate Juror in)
4	THE COURT: Ms. Hall, will you show her
5	to the first
6	Be seated, everyone.
7	I'm not going to refer to you by name.
8	I haven't done that throughout the trial, but that's
9	not to be impersonal. That's because I don't think
10	it's necessary to broadcast your name throughout the
11	court courtroom.
12	I understand you have requested to be
13	released from having to return to the courthouse
14	tomorrow morning. Is that correct?
15	ALTERNATE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: I have no objection to
17	that, but it still is possible for a juror to call in
18	sick tomorrow, or for something else to happen to a
19	juror. So your services might still be needed.
20	Now, it's appropriate to release a
21	juror, an alternate juror, subject to call, and that's
22	what I'm doing to you. You may
23	ALTERNATE JUROR: But it means I can't
24	go to work tomorrow.
25	THE COURT: I don't know whether that

Page 209

pleases you are not. The smile on your face tells me that that might not be so bad.

2.2.

2.3

ALTERNATE JUROR: No, I kind of want to go back. I'm a teacher, so I want to go back to my students.

THE COURT: I'm sure you do.

ALTERNATE JUROR: Yeah.

THE COURT: But we've got to keep you so that you can substitute quickly. If a juror isn't here at the appropriate time at 9:30, we'll want to call you, and have you substitute. So you'll have to get back to the courthouse.

ALTERNATE JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: And we'll keep you advised.

If the jury reaches a verdict, we'll certainly let you know. Number one.

Number two, it's very important for you not to read anything that might be written about the case, or to listen to anything broadcast on radio, or view anything broadcast on television. It's as though you're subject to the same restrictions as the rest of the jury until the jury reaches the verdict. And then we'll call you, and if you want to come back -- I don't know how far away you are, but if you want to come back and join in what happens at the end of the

Page 210 trial, you'd certainly be welcome to do that. 1 2 But for now, I'm releasing you subject 3 to call, if a juror calls in and is unable to participate in jury deliberations. And it's very 4 5 important, that role is a very important one, because the rules provide that in a criminal case, the jury 6 7 must include 12 members, have 12 members, in order to return a true verdict. 8 9 So, do you think there's anything else that needs to be said, Mr. Eckert? 10 MR. ECKERT: No, Your Honor, thank you. 11 12 THE COURT: Patterson? 13 MR. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor. Thank 14 you. 15 MR. WITTELS: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Wittels? 17 MS. MEEHAN: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: No. So, I'm going to excuse you for the evening. Ms. Hall? 19 THE CLERK: All rise. 20 21 THE COURT: Do we have jurors, Ms. 2.2. Hall? 2.3 THE CLERK: Yeah. Yes. 24 THE COURT: Contact information? 25 THE CLERK: Yes.

Page 211 THE COURT: Good. Thank you. 1 2 (Alternate juror out) 3 THE COURT: All right. Be seated everyone. 4 5 Something that has to be done that 6 doesn't require all of you, I must go over the charge 7 for Count III very briefly with the Government and Mr. Patterson. Same is true of the verdict form. 8 9 I don't think there's anything else. I have the stipulations. We've talked about the 10 11 procedure for instructing the jury on the use of the 12 laptop and television set to view the video. 13 Oh, yes. I've issued a formal order bifurcating Counts I and II from Count III. I issued 14 15 an oral order at the beginning of the trial, but I 16 decided it was necessary to issue a formal order. 17 It's dated today. I decided not to file it for reasons that I'll not go into in open court. I don't 18 19 think it's necessary to file it, and I think it's in Mr. Smith's interest that that not be filed. 2.0 21 We'll file it after the jury reaches a 2.2. verdict on Count III, but not until. All right. Is there anything else? 2.3 24 The Government exhibits, where are those books -- where is the book? 25

Page 212 MR. ECKERT: They're right here, Your 1 2 Honor. THE COURT: Give the exhibit book and 3 the exhibit list to Mr. Cosgrove (phonetic), and he'll 4 5 give it to the jury tomorrow morning. MR. ECKERT: Very well, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Ms. Meehan, where are your exhibits and the exhibit list? 8 9 You do? All right. Well, that needs 10 to be done. Then the only other thing that I can 11 think of, I want to go over the charge and the verdict 12 form for Count III. Is there anything else the 13 Government believes needs to be done? MR. ECKERT: No. Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Well, Patterson is here and Mr. Smith can remain or not. We're going to talk 16 17 about the charge on Count III, and the verdict sheet 18 on Count III. Judge, I assume you want 19 MR. WITTELS: us in attendance while the jury is deliberating? Or 20 21 not? 2.2. THE COURT: How far away are you, Mr. Wittels? 2.3 24 MR. WITTELS: My office is at 1429 25 Walnut Street.

```
Page 213
                    THE COURT:
                                I don't know how long
1
 2
     they'll be, and as long as we have contact
     information.
 3
                    MR. WITTELS: Yeah. I'll give that to
 4
 5
     Ms. Hall.
                    THE COURT: I don't think -- I don't
 6
7
     think it's necessary for you to be here at 10 o'clock.
                    MR. WITTELS: All right. You'll call
8
     me if they have a question, and I'll be here in 20
9
10
     minutes.
11
                    THE COURT: We'll call you if the jury
12
     has a question. We've eliminated one issue, and that
13
     is the laptop and the --
14
                    MR. WITTELS: Yeah.
15
                    THE COURT: -- television set. Ms.
16
     Hall?
17
                    THE CLERK: Are there any other
     exhibits that need to be -- need to go back to the
18
19
     jury? I just want that agreed to if you're not going
     to be here in the morning, so that I know.
20
21
                    THE COURT: Well, we have the
2.2
     Government exhibits.
2.3
                    MR. ECKERT: I'm happy to let Mr.
     Wittels look at the binder.
24
25
                    MR. WITTELS: No, I trust you.
```

	Page 214
1	THE COURT: Mr. Patterson?
2	MR. PATTERSON: I'm 65 miles away. So
3	I'll be here.
4	THE COURT: Yes. Good idea. I was
5	thinking more about the exhibits.
6	MR. PATTERSON: Oh, I'm sorry, Judge. I
7	thought you meant
8	THE COURT: Is there any issue with
9	regard to the Government exhibits in the binder? Only
10	the exhibits that were received in evidence should be
11	included, along with an exhibit list. And counsel
12	should satisfy themselves before they leave tonight
13	that that is so. All right. Any other issues Mr.
14	Wittels? You've signed off on the exhibits?
15	MR. WITTELS: No. Mr. Eckert looks
16	like a trustworthy guy.
17	MR. PATTERSON: I'm okay with them, for
18	the record, Your Honor.
19	MS. MEEHAN: We're fine, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. I don't think
21	there's anything else. Anyone have anything else to
22	present to the Court, other than Patterson and Eckert,
23	and they'll stay, and Ms. Martin will stay.
24	MR. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor.
25	MR. ECKERT: No, Your Honor.

```
Page 215
                    THE COURT: All right.
                                             Then I'm going
1
 2
     to excuse everyone other than Mr. Patterson, Mr.
     Eckert, and Ms. Martin, and --
 3
                    MR. PATTERSON: And, Your Honor, Mr.
 4
 5
     Smith can go back. He does not wish to be here for --
 6
                    THE COURT: Fine.
 7
                    MR. PATTERSON: -- these --
                    THE COURT: That's all right. That's
8
9
     fine. All right.
                        Why don't we recess for just a few
10
     minutes, Michael? I'll sit -- stay on the bench.
11
                    Michael? We're in recess to let them
12
     go.
13
                    THE CLERK: All rise.
14
          (Recessed at 4:56 p.m., reconvened at 4:57 p.m.)
15
                    THE COURT: These are the three
     stipulations.
                    I don't think I have any copies.
16
17
     They're not signed, but I think they're all right.
18
                    All right. We have a very short
19
     verdict form, and a very short charge. After that
     very long charge.
20
21
                    Any objections to the jury charge on
2.2
     Count III?
2.3
                    MR. ECKERT: We do not have any, Your
24
     Honor.
                    MR. PATTERSON: None on behalf of Mr.
25
```

Page 216 Smith, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: I thought there was an 3 issue on justification. I don't know that it works, but I thought you were raising one. 4 5 MR. PATTERSON: I was going to raise 6 it, but I think if we get to charge III, that would 7 assume that they would discount my justification on Counts I and II. For purposes of --8 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what's happening, Michael? 10 (Pause) 11 12 THE COURT: What do you think that was? 13 THE CLERK: We're getting feedback from one of the microphones. It's been resolved. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. 16 Patterson. 17 MR. PATTERSON: I originally advised your clerk, Your Honor, that it may have been my 18 intent to ask for another justification charge on the 19 persons not to possess, however, since -- if we get to 20 Count III, I would assume that -- well, we're going to 21 get to Count III no matter what, but if my client is 22 convicted on Count I and II, that I would assume the 2.3 24 jury has discounted my justification defense in the first case the chief. 25

Page 217 So with that being said, I will not be 1 asking for justification charge. I think I made my 2 reasons clear on the record for future review. 3 THE COURT: All right. Let's look at 4 5 the verdict form. MR. PATTERSON: And I do have a minor 6 7 objection to that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 8 9 MR. PATTERSON: I believe -- and I left 10 mine at home, but I believe it says that my client 11 possessed a qun. I think that the Glock was charged 12 in the indictment. I think it should say, "the store 13 gun, " or, "the Glock, " either one. I believe, "the store qun, " would be easier for the jury to make that 14 15 16 THE COURT: I think what we'll do is 17 identify it as it's charged, and then put in parens, "the store qun." 18 MR. PATTERSON: And that'll be fine 19 20 then. That would be acceptable. 21 THE COURT: Do you agree to that, Mr. 2.2 Eckert? 2.3 MR. ECKERT: Of course, Your Honor. 24 Thank you. 25 THE COURT: That's not a problem. And

Page 218 I'm going to change the instruction at the bottom of 1 the form to read, "After the foreperson has completed 2 3 this jury verdict form in accordance with the instructions, the foreperson should sign and date the 5 form below, and the jury should return to the courtroom." We'll do it that way. 6 7 All right. I don't think we have anything, and I see no issue with you, Mr. Wittels, 8 and -- where's Ms. Meehan? Is she -- she's going? 9 10 MR. WITTELS: She left, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Being available by 12 We have contact information. Well, I know telephone. 13 we have it for Ms. Meehan. Mr. Wittels, do we have your contact information? 14 15 MR. WITTELS: I'll make sure Ms. Hall 16 has it. 17 THE COURT: Good. 18 MR. WITTELS: I think she has my main 19 number, and I'll give her my cell. Your Honor, and I think 2.0 MR. PATTERSON: 21 I believe I misspoke. I'm not getting my thoughts clear on the record. 2.2. If my client is acquitted of Counts I 2.3 24 and II, and then we go to Count III, then yes, I would request the justification charge. So, if I can argue 25

```
Page 219
     that now for the reasons why I believe it should be
1
     included.
                    THE COURT: Does the Government object?
 3
                    MR. ECKERT: We don't, Your Honor.
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: Pardon me?
                    MR. ECKERT: I don't think so. I mean,
 6
7
     I hadn't really thought about, because I just --
                    THE COURT: It's a little different.
8
9
                    MR. ECKERT: Yeah. Actually, may I
     just have a second, Your Honor, on that?
10
11
                    THE COURT: Because -- he has it.
12
                    MR. ECKERT: He's in use. Right.
13
     Right.
14
                    THE COURT: He has a gun.
15
                    MR. ECKERT: Right. Yeah, actually, I
16
17
                    THE COURT:
                                Although he's challenging
     the -- well, the fact that it's a real gun. And
18
19
     you've argued that why would you leave the real gun in
     the car, and take the toy gun? But --
20
21
                    MR. ECKERT: I need to be able to
2.2.
     present argument on that tomorrow morning, Your Honor.
     I just want to think about that for second, because I
2.3
24
     think it works slightly different with the position of
25
     his wife as to whether the justification argument's
```

Page 220 been made in relation to this case. I think for Count 1 III it works slightly different, given that it's 2 3 continuing defense to the time he leaves the store, up until the time he crashes the car, which is a good 4 5 distance away. So, I hadn't really thought of it in 6 7 this context, so I just act if the Court will permit it, if --8 9 THE COURT: Well, that raises an interesting issue. Like, why didn't he leave the gun 10 11 behind, or throw it away --12 MR. ECKERT: Right. 13 THE COURT: -- or do something like 14 that. 15 MR. ECKERT: Right. 16 MR. PATTERSON: T mean --17 MR. ECKERT: I didn't meant to talk I just -- I think that I -- right, I think 18 over you. there is with the -- I haven't read this case law in 19 some time, but the felon in possession of 20 justification case law deals with -- there are certain 21 22 requirements that have to be met, such as you can only 2.3 possess the qun for the limited purpose of whatever the offense -- or whatever the other issue is. 24 25 he leaves the area, I think it wouldn't apply, but I

Page 221 would just ask for --1 2 THE COURT: I am not familiar with that law at all. I've never had it. So, I know I'm 3 looking out for hard work tonight, but I'm going to 4 5 need something, cases. 6 MR. ECKERT: Sure. 7 THE COURT: I don't need a law review article. But I need some case authority if there's 8 going to be an objection. 9 MR. PATTERSON: I would be --10 11 MR. ECKERT: Understood, Your Honor. 12 MR. PATTERSON: -- I would be referring 13 to the case that's cited in the comments to the standard jury instructions for the third charge. 14 That's -- that would be United States v. Dodd, United 15 States v. Plo (phonetic), P-L-O, and I believe --16 17 yeah, those two cases. And those are right in the 18 comments. 19 MR. ECKERT: Okay. Yeah, I have your file. 20 21 MR. PATTERSON: Okay. 2.2. THE COURT: All right. 2.3 MR. ECKERT: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: I don't think there's 24 anything else we have to do, unless you just want to 25

	Page 222
1	hang out until
2	MR. PATTERSON: I've got a long drive,
3	Judge. I would appreciate that.
4	THE COURT: Ms. Martin has registered
5	by her smile the fact that she doesn't want to hang
6	out.
7	MR. WITTELS: Judge, I've offered Mr.
8	Patterson
9	THE COURT: All right. Then we're in
10	recess. No one has to appear tomorrow at 9:30. You
11	can, or you do if you
12	MR. PATTERSON: Yeah, I've got to
13	I've got to drive.
14	MR. WIITELS: Judge, I've offered him
15	the use of my conference room.
16	MR. PATTERSON: And I might take him up
17	on that.
18	MR. WITTELS: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: You can do that.
20	MR. WITTELS: We only we charge
21	premier rates. We charge CJN rates.
22	MR. PATTERSON: Get a discount.
23	THE COURT: Or you can use the witness
24	room if you want to come down here. I don't want
25	MR. PATTERSON: Okay. I have some work

```
Page 223
     to catch up on. So I'll keep myself busy.
 1
 2
                     THE COURT: But you don't have to sit
 3
     in the courtroom.
                     MR. PATTERSON: Okay. That'll be good.
 4
           (Asides)
 5
           (Proceedings recessed at 5:06 p.m., February 3,
 6
     2020, to reconvene at 9:30 a.m., February 4, 2020.)
 7
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

Page 224 1 CERTIFICATION 2. We, Katherine A. Peterson and Sharon 3 Woodward, certify that the foregoing is a correct 4 5 transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled 6 7 matter. 8 9 10 KATHERINE A. PETERSON, APPROVED TRANSCRIPTIONIST 11 12 Sukrel 13 SHARON WOODWARD, APPROVED TRANSCRIPTIONIST 14 15 16 Dated: November 19, 2020 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

[**& - 5:05**]

		T	T-0 1-0 1-1
&	1301 1:18	2	38 190:12
& 1:16	14 191:24	2 1:3 4:21 19:9	39 191:1
0	1429 1:17 212:24	145:4,14	3:18 170:4
	15 118:6	20 10:11,13 11:6	3:40 170:4
, ,	16:52:50 59:1	12:9 16:23 28:13	4
1	16:54:53 59:12,19	29:18 70:15,15,18	4 110:1 223:7
1 1:2 83:14 96:20	16:54:54 104:16	99:13,14 108:9,9	40 39:24 46:18
96:20 106:6,24	16:54:55 104:21	110:6 117:21	42 11:10
198:10	16:55 105:8	213:9	43 123:20
1-888-777-6690	16:55:13 105:10	200 99:13	44 18:22 27:10
1:25 2:25	16:55:14 104:25	2010 39:12 41:23	45 3:4 152:23
10 11:15 18:14,24	16:55:29 105:21	2019 4:25 5:2	47 20:22,23,24,25
79:12,16 96:22,25	16:55:47 59:19	11:14 41:17 146:5	4:15:42 190:23
103:8 153:12	16:55:48 106:3	157:16 186:24	4:15:43 190:24
169:12 213:7	16:55:51 116:9	187:10	4:16:02 191:2
100 10:22 11:11	16:55:56 59:1	2020 1:5 223:7,7	4:17:18 191:18
12:9,17 29:15	16:55:57 106:23	224:16	4:17:22 191:20
63:18,21 71:12	16:56:31 108:23	20s 92:10	4:17:26 191:22
73:21,22 92:11	16:59 115:15	215 41:9	4:18:57 193:1
99:13,13 110:1	16th 4:24	21st 112:21	4:20:20 194:12
117:21 118:5	1743 41:17	22 28:23 79:10	4:22:06 196:5
119:8,10,10,20	17:04:33 115:21	220 99:13,17	4:23:59 197:21
124:10 147:22	18 145:3,13 192:1	22nd 11:14 98:22	4:25:53 199:3
10:05:22 9:11	192:2 201:16	99:1,9 102:13	4:26:00 199:4
10:49:54 41:21	1800 1:24 2:24	112:22 113:8	4:26:20 199:15
10s 92:9	1801 1:24 2:24	146:5 157:16	4:27:34 200:17
11 37:3 96:22	18045 1:15	186:24 187:10	4:28:06 201:7
117 3:5	19 224:16	23 65:13	4:30 203:10
11:17:54 61:11	191 2:24	24 75:10	4:47 10:11 11:7
11:33:29 72:22	19102 1:18	27th 4:24	4:51:51 99:24
11:43 79:18	19103 1:24	2:19 1:2,3,3	4:56 215:14
12 81:14 115:21	19106 1:12 2:5	3	4:57 215:14
205:4,4 206:3,7,25	1951 145:4		5
210:7,7	1:00 94:25 97:18	3 1:3,5 19:9 223:6	
1250 1:12	1:24 98:2	30 119:16 120:17	50 39:23,24 40:8
126 3:7	1:37:01 107:6	31 106:1	40:11 43:11
12:00 79:11	1a 102:3 106:24	35 190:6	51 192:13
12:30 93:1 94:25	1c 123:20	3513 1:14	540 2:3
12:33 97:21	1s 92:10	36 41:18 166:21	5:00 93:12,13
13 105:9,9 106:23		167:1 190:9	5:05 1:5
115:14 116:10			
	Varitant I ac		

[5:06 - act] Page 2

5:06 223:6	9:30 205:2,2	151:16 154:11	accomplished
5:07 40:13	207:17 209:10	157:17,20,21,22	162:7
5:29 40:13	222:10 223:7	158:8,17,24 159:1	accord 80:12
5:30 112:15	9:49 1:5	159:7,12,19,22	account 11:10,17
5:43 39:19 41:1		160:1,4,11,21,25	63:7 100:7 104:2
5:46 112:15	a	161:4 163:10,24	113:6,10
5:57 41:2	a.m. 1:5 79:18	164:3 165:1,5,12	accountable 25:19
5:58 41:5	223:7	165:14 166:4,7	accurate 136:17
5s 92:9	abets 154:9 173:16	167:18 168:19,24	136:24
6	abetted 111:19	170:13,18,23	accusation 145:24
	154:5,7,12,16	173:21 182:1,6,11	accused 145:21
6 96:20 108:23	173:12,14,22	186:18 187:1,12	achieve 28:3 158:6
601 2:4	174:3	ability 137:5	159:15 160:8
615 1:11	abetting 25:16	able 80:24 81:5	161:3,6,20 163:20
65 214:2	66:22 109:6	101:16 122:7	165:10,16 166:15
68 11:16	113:21 118:20,25	126:13 196:14	achieving 167:16
685 34:15	145:2,13 154:3	219:21	acme 117:18
686-3128 41:9	155:15 169:5	absence 168:25	acquit 185:16
6:00 41:7	173:5 175:23	absolute 142:24	acquitted 218:23
7	186:24 187:9	absolutely 19:12	act 22:17,18 25:14
7 102:4 104:21	194:15 197:19	29:3 33:8,23	31:24,25 91:4
700 11:13 34:14	198:2	70:10 100:15	92:15 145:7,7,17
8	abettor 31:25 32:4	102:15 118:12	147:10,15 148:8
8 3:3 96:25 99:23	155:16 156:1,8,14	121:3 125:9 126:8	148:20 150:4
80 3:4 29:16	157:10 176:14,20 195:4	abstaining 200:9	151:23 152:1
117:20	abettors 195:5	absurd 107:25	154:13,15,25
81 74:24	abid 1:4 8:24	accept 12:4,5	155:5,11 156:16
82 74:25 75:8	12:23,25 13:6	128:7 138:7	156:21,24 157:1,8
77:18	14:10,12,15,18	142:20	157:24 158:11,12
9	15:15,24 18:15,20	acceptable 24:16	158:17 160:18
	18:20 19:10,11	178:7 217:20	161:11 169:5,6
9 96:25	20:4,4 21:19,19,21	accidentally 34:16	170:17,24 171:20
911 39:19 40:3,19	21:22,24 22:6	accomplice 25:22	172:19,20 173:6
40:21 41:1,10,10	25:5 27:4,5,7 30:2	26:5 30:25 109:6	173:18 174:11,23
43:12 67:1,5,7,10	33:5,15 34:10,25	116:22 154:2,8,9	175:4,6 176:22
72:24 103:23	35:5,24 44:5 80:2	157:9 173:5,7,15	177:2,5,7,11 178:1
122:3,3,4,5,8,10	92:22 144:25	173:16 193:24	178:3,8 179:19
924 145:14 193:24	146:13 147:19,24	198:2	180:2 195:21
194:15 198:3	148:3,24 149:4,11	accomplish 25:25	196:17,19 197:3
98 3:5	149:14,17,24	37:7 162:16	220:7
	150:9,13 151:2,7		
	, , , , , , , ,		

[acting - answer] Page 3

10 6 20 2	-1 W 65 1 66 0	26 15 02 0	-10 2 102 15
acting 19:6 20:2	adult 65:1 66:8	agreed 26:15 82:8	air 18:3 123:15
27:12 30:25 48:10	67:1	95:10 131:19	alert 38:19
50:1 109:9	advance 36:6,7,7,7	141:18 159:23	alibi 39:19 43:13
action 75:16 121:3	36:18 106:16	160:15 162:5,6	77:5,9
121:17 173:2	107:15,16 116:5,9	190:5 213:19	alleged 146:7,10
179:14	116:19 124:19,20	agreeing 198:4	152:12 162:11
actions 9:10 10:2	advanced 13:20	agreement 37:1,4	163:1,21 168:6
43:19 120:9 148:3	35:12,13,14,16	139:17 158:9	190:8
150:9,14,23	106:17 113:25	159:14,21 160:3	allegedly 63:18
155:19 162:25	114:2,5,9,20,23	160:23 161:1,9,12	65:15 76:25
175:25 178:18	124:22 125:9	161:16,19,25	alleges 154:10
179:1	174:19 175:9,17	162:1,10,15,21	173:20
active 171:10	advancement	163:6,9,14,18	alleviate 120:9
174:16 175:1	178:3	166:22 167:4	allow 9:22 10:3
actively 171:12	advances 108:16	183:5,16	128:25
activities 163:4	advancing 13:9	agrees 186:16	allowed 132:20
actors 46:10	19:3,4 27:13	ahead 216:15	133:3 151:12
acts 84:9 157:3	adverse 143:6	aid 121:2 156:6,22	183:22
158:3,5 166:10,13	advised 209:14	156:25 171:6	allows 31:8
168:12,13,14,20	216:17	176:11 177:2,6,7	alternate 199:15
168:23 177:9,11	advocating 83:4	177:11,13	199:16,22 205:5
177:13 190:10,12	affairs 48:11	aided 111:19	207:2,10,10,14,21
actual 22:25 23:11	affect 24:11 152:2	154:5,6,12,16	207:21,25 208:3
24:17 148:12	152:12	155:16 173:12,13	208:15,21,23
149:1 152:17	affirmative 156:18	173:22 174:3	209:3,7,13 211:2
add 153:16 188:20	176:24	aider 32:3 155:16	alters 152:5
194:13	afraid 23:20 58:1	156:1,8,14 157:10	america 1:2
added 194:20	afternoon 79:24	176:6,13,20 195:4	ample 101:17
addition 139:5	79:25 93:6,9,11	aiders 195:5	125:6
additional 188:2	98:9	aiding 25:16 66:22	ancestry 129:3
189:17,19	aggressive 85:8	109:5 113:21	anger 87:13 88:21
address 44:22	86:7,7 102:6	118:19,25 119:1	89:1,2
195:6	110:9,11 117:6	145:1,13 154:2	angle 61:9,9
addressed 195:12	ago 45:13 46:2,2	155:5,15 169:5	angles 72:5
administer 199:5	agree 6:13 127:13	173:5 175:5,23	angry 10:22,22
202:21	127:16 149:10,13	186:24 187:9	88:23 103:24
admit 64:12	160:6 181:25	194:15 197:19	104:14
admitted 141:14	182:2,7 194:5	198:2	animal 90:15
168:5 185:7	196:1 217:21	aids 154:9 157:1	animated 87:19
ads 83:1,2,3,5	agreeable 95:22	173:16 184:3	answer 103:8
	200:6		131:25 133:12,18

[answer - attorneys] Page 4

144:13,15,17	area 61:17 68:22	arrest 89:11	assists 157:2
187:15 188:2,12	76:15 220:25	arrested 89:6,7	associate 156:6
189:12	argue 82:19	arrive 34:11	176:12
answered 64:13	100:13 107:17	arrived 161:19	associated 155:22
133:1,8,16	112:10,10 114:18	162:14	176:2
answering 64:11	218:25	article 221:8	associating 164:12
188:20	argued 219:19	ashley 1:10	association 2:2
anticipated 159:18	argues 124:1	aside 74:23 78:17	assume 5:16 101:6
anxiety 150:6	arguing 100:4	103:3	120:16 134:11
anybody 14:2 49:3	argument 45:25	asides 123:21	212:19 216:7,21
68:24 73:1 74:13	46:1,9,17 53:18	153:15 201:13	216:23
74:13 75:9 86:13	77:8 82:8 83:11	207:7 223:5	assuming 67:3
apologize 123:24	84:7 87:12 88:10	asked 34:18 49:2	76:17
app 84:4	89:9 92:1,5 93:6	53:6,7,12 54:16	atf 66:17
apparently 71:11	103:25,25 111:7,7	62:6,14,18 64:12	atlantic 1:23 2:23
appear 222:10	111:8,9,10 113:3	67:20 79:6 82:7	atm 10:11 11:6,25
appearance 137:9	113:16 115:12	83:23 88:20	12:2,8 29:15 85:1
appearances 1:9	116:18 120:17	102:19 103:7	92:11 99:2,9
2:1	122:12 125:25	109:12,19 131:24	100:7,9 101:10,17
appears 60:16	154:1 219:22	185:2	103:17 104:2
114:12 180:18	argument's	asking 47:5 56:3	107:8 109:23
applicable 37:25	219:25	68:1,9,10 73:2,16	110:8 111:5 115:3
132:20 165:24	arguments 3:3	109:14,15,15,16	117:20,20 118:4
166:18 178:10	5:16 7:11,14,15	109:17 110:17	attach 138:15
187:5 188:4,13	8:8,12,21 88:11,12	123:25 124:2,3,10	143:4
applied 58:12	117:13 119:9	124:14 132:19	attack 142:6
applies 167:11,12	126:21 131:22	144:14 217:2	attempt 31:9 76:4
apply 32:20 48:17	140:25 182:25	asks 189:3 206:9	attempts 39:19,20
55:20 63:15 127:7	205:24	assailant 39:21	attend 95:4
127:8,10,12	arm 19:10 69:5	41:25 43:14	attendance 185:24
167:13 220:25	armed 70:14	assault 110:11	203:5,8 212:20
appoint 46:14	72:12 73:1,23	asserts 181:5	attention 7:19
appreciate 80:13	84:6,11 110:12	assist 121:2 156:6	43:7 77:22 80:7
222:3	117:7,8 126:1,3	156:22,25 171:5	80:13 134:5
appreciates 80:14	arms 19:10	176:11 177:2,6,7	attentive 80:9
appropriate 140:7	arnold 88:17	177:11,13	attorney 46:7
182:13 186:4,9	arrange 93:18	assistance 144:21	54:15 59:2,5,6
208:20 209:10	94:8,11	assisted 155:17	76:8 77:17
approved 164:17	arranged 205:9	assisting 155:5	attorney's 1:11
224:10,14	arrangements	175:5	attorneys 46:11
	198:22 199:1		59:3 189:23

[audio - beyond] Page 5

andia 41.16.42.0	122.22 22 124.4 5	120.16 120.0 14	102.9 201.16 22
audio 41:16 43:9	123:22,23 124:4,5 124:9 126:14	128:16 130:8,14 130:17 135:25	193:8 201:16,22 217:9,10,13
64:3,22 81:10			, ,
139:15,18	144:17 153:6	136:20 140:16	218:21 219:1
authority 221:8	202:18 203:16,19	158:2,18 162:23	221:16
automatic 53:2	204:7,16 206:23	162:24 182:17	believed 134:21
available 4:23	207:9,12,13,15	194:21 206:20	135:2 137:20
171:5 199:19	209:4,4,12,23,25	basis 37:9 135:12	believes 212:13
200:8 218:11	213:18 215:5	bear 54:13 82:21	belong 40:24
averaged 11:13,16	background 15:19	96:21 137:19	belongings 207:3
avoid 121:18,20	18:15 25:3	bearing 138:11	207:10
127:21 143:22	backing 24:21	beat 71:8 87:7	bench 56:14 58:3
179:14,16,19	61:8 116:11,13,13	beginning 58:25	215:10
aware 189:15	backs 14:3 16:18	80:21 81:23 95:17	beneficial 152:15
awful 144:19	102:14	136:10 145:19	best 105:4
b	backup 16:22 20:2	164:2 211:15	better 4:13 83:2
b 59:4 68:7,8 70:8	bad 30:18 39:22	behalf 50:25 92:22	88:24 94:8 176:6
83:14	64:17 123:9	193:24 215:25	191:10
back 7:21 9:1 10:9	164:12 177:18,19	behave 87:18	beyond 47:23
14:9,20 15:8,14	209:2	behaved 88:24	48:18 49:19,24
16:9,11,13,14 17:4	bag 54:24	behavior 136:20	50:7 51:8,14
17:7 18:23,23,23	bagged 54:23	137:9	57:20 58:6,9 63:3
21:2 23:4,5 27:11	bags 25:4	belief 51:7 121:13	66:2 68:2 70:12
27:11,25,25 28:1,1	bailiff 169:14	136:15 138:10	71:23 74:2 78:6,9
29:2,2,6 30:13,21	170:2	179:11	78:18 114:3,24
31:11,21 34:4,4	bails 34:14	believability	122:19 129:14,17
35:1,15 41:6,11	balance 11:10,17	136:14 138:14	130:3,6,10,11,12
44:17 48:15 55:6	113:8	142:6,13	131:1 139:1
61:17 68:8,9	balanced 180:19	believable 138:22	141:15 143:18
69:12,22 70:12,20	bangs 12:16 14:7,7	180:10	146:8,25 147:18
72:23 73:3 77:12	bank 11:9,16 26:7	believe 60:22	148:23 151:24
78:12 79:4 83:14	26:8,10 29:14,24	67:14 71:9 74:7	154:17 156:4,9
85:19 87:4,24	30:1 99:12,14,15	75:8,24 78:3,18	157:7,12 158:21
88:17,18 89:5,8,12	104:2 113:5,6,10	95:12,16 102:19	160:13,21 161:17
89:16,23 90:18,22	barnaby 1:16 80:1	109:13,16 111:8	162:13,20 163:12
92:10 93:4 94:25	barrel 57:22	112:5 120:10	164:25 165:7
95:15,17 98:10	base 193:9	121:14 122:15	166:11 167:20
99:1 100:4,4	based 9:7 25:17	125:13 132:9	170:22 171:16
101:3,13 104:1,5	35:6 51:18,19,19	136:12,13,17,19	172:17 174:5
104:13,15 105:17	63:6 65:14 67:6	137:3,18 142:11	176:10,15 178:16
112:19 115:22	70:3,17 77:25	142:14,21 143:15	180:7 181:7 182:5
112.19 113.22	78:23,25 112:3	169:2 191:21	182:8 183:20
117.3,20,21			

[beyond - capture] Page 6

186:3,8 188:6,25	boss 117:25 118:6	buffet 98:13	108:5,6 117:25,25
201:19 202:1	118:8,23	building 199:17	118:23 122:3,3,10
bi 11:12	bottom 49:17	bulk 24:3	144:16 199:18
bias 137:12	58:21 187:16	bum 29:16	204:16 206:23
biased 63:7	198:10 218:1	bunch 31:21 35:7	207:22 208:17,21
bifurcating 211:14	bought 42:18	70:4	209:11,23 210:3
big 56:12 65:10	bowl 82:15	burden 8:6 47:18	213:8,11
67:11 68:15	box 6:7,11,21,23	47:20,21,22 48:18	called 49:14 64:9
biggie 52:4	brandish 189:7	50:6,8,9,10,12,14	66:25 81:22 89:10
bill 10:13 28:13	brandished 34:19	51:3,6,8 52:9 78:9	89:24 103:22,23
67:9 110:4 117:21	49:23 58:8,11	78:21,21 90:5	111:7,8,9 112:13
bills 11:2 110:6	66:23 188:8 189:5	129:20,22,24	118:6 122:4,8
bind 4:21	brandishing 4:10	141:4 142:25	134:5 140:4
binder 213:24	57:15,16 145:11	147:11,13 149:9	150:25 151:6
214:9	188:21	149:13 180:3	154:8 173:15
binding 134:7	break 5:12 79:11	181:6 193:12	188:3
bit 4:6 24:7 48:12	79:12 153:10,11	bus 94:7,12	caller 41:6,13 82:2
50:23,25 52:12,20	153:13 169:10	business 22:20	calls 11:19 39:18
122:6,8 153:22	bridge 70:21	23:25 25:12 60:8	40:8,11,19 41:2,11
194:16	brief 8:7 189:18	62:16 97:19	67:5 210:3
black 39:15 76:19	briefly 95:9 122:7	117:19 152:15	calm 88:7 111:3
blackberry 128:1	206:8 211:7	busy 223:1	camel 90:12,13
184:16	bright 72:6	buttons 20:25	camera 61:9,9,10
blaming 70:23	bring 7:3 17:3	43:22 119:16	67:20 68:16,24
blazing 17:4,5	75:12 76:10	buy 10:13 22:21	69:23 73:7 86:21
block 22:11 42:9	156:13 176:19	24:1 28:13 60:3,4	91:12 99:23 102:4
44:12 86:10,15	200:18 205:10	60:5 67:2 99:18	104:21 105:9
88:19 186:20	207:10	buying 24:5 99:3	106:23 108:23
blog 128:4 184:19	bringing 56:19	buys 24:2,3	110:1 115:14,21
blood's 88:4	brings 79:8 126:1	bytes 70:17	116:10 141:12
blown 87:12	broadcast 206:11		cameras 31:3 61:1
blue 25:3	206:12 208:10	c	68:18 69:9 75:17
blueprints 37:2	209:19,20	c 1:13,16 4:1	86:17 102:4 108:7
bodily 52:3 58:14	brought 74:15	145:14 193:24	108:8 114:19
60:14,20 121:10	124:17 145:9	194:15 198:3	canvas 65:24
179:8	bucks 118:5	c23 106:6	canvas 65:25
book 96:6 211:25	buddies 28:1	call 12:2 40:3,19	canvassing 66:7
212:3	29:16	40:21,22 41:1,6,8	68:22
books 211:25	buddy 17:3	41:10 43:12 67:7	capable 32:13
bore 63:12	buddy's 43:23	67:10 72:24 81:23	capture 67:19
5010 U3.12	Suudy 5 TJ.23	90:13,15 94:1	76:14
		101:10 103:7	70.11

[car - charged] Page 7

car 16:5,6,7,10 66:14 68:2,21 caused 12:2 27:2,2 34:13 38:4 74:21,23 77:22,25 152:20 17 38:8,13,17,25 39:3 78:3,6,8,12 79:4 causes 143	0
	9:14 152:5 190:20
38·8 3 7 75 30·3 78·3 6 8 7 70·4 equippe 1/3	
39:10,10,11,14,16 81:16 82:11,23 cautious 3	
39:17,18,21,25 83:10 86:12 89:15 cd 95:15	character 99:8
41:5,15,23 42:18 89:18,18,20,22 celebrity 1	g
43:12,14 126:5 90:19 117:1,17 cell 11:23	
219:20 220:4	,
cards 75:4 124:13 126:10 184:16 21	
care 96:4 101:10 127:18,24 128:6,7 center 2:4	38:15 153:25 166:17
190:1 128:11,12,15,16 82:3	167:10 168:10,11
careful 150:18	81:5 169:4,18,21
carefully 80:11 133:23 134:1,10 certain 33	:23 170:10,11 173:7
94:9 127:9 130:19 134:13 136:22 132:10 13	4:5 177:16 181:9,11
183:5,18 137:11,13 139:3,5 141:18 14	6:4 190:10,21 191:15
cares 75:13 77:10 139:8,11,13,24 158:10 16	191:16 192:10
carlene 40:9,13 140:8 141:10,15 188:23 22	0:21 193:5,10,11 194:2
carried 121:13 142:9,24 146:2,11 certainly	4:18 194:7,24 195:1
125:1 163:5 171:3	197:17,18 202:10
171:18 172:4 157:11 168:5 210:1	205:19,24 211:6
175:20 179:12 169:2 172:7 certainty	130:13 212:11,17 215:19
carries 171:4 173:20 182:18 146:6	215:20,21 216:6
carry 171:14 183:1,13 184:12 certification	n 224:1 216:19 217:2
175:11 184:21,22 195:2 certify 224	4:4 218:25 221:14
carrying 32:7 35:4 196:7 197:7 200:8 chain 54:1	7 222:20,21
35:8 57:17,18 205:7 206:11,14 challenge	193:5 charged 51:16
113:22 135:16 206:15,20 207:13 challenging	55:22 110:12
145:11 169:8 207:22 209:19 219:17	113:20 117:7
170:14 173:8,23 210:6 216:25 chamber 5	53:5,6,13 129:8,14 130:2,5
174:2,17 175:1 220:1,19,21 221:8 53:14 123	:6 131:1,6 143:19,21
177:12,14 187:8 221:13 chambered	54:23 144:1,2,22 145:17
187:19 188:10 cases 221:5,17 55:4	146:12,14,15,17
189:4 196:18 cash 29:18 30:1 chambering	g 54:8 146:22 147:16
197:4,20 31:15 61:18 62:16 55:8 122:2	
cars 86:25 62:16 92:6 117:22 chance 12:	, ,
case 9:5,9,12 10:4 117:23 126:12,14 change 4:1	
15:5 24:8 32:20 cashier's 86:20 183:12 19	
32:23 44:24 47:21 catch 223:1 218:1	170:16,20,25
48:14,24 49:12 cause 6:20 7:18 changed 5	
55:1 56:9 58:12 130:20 137:23 16:21,21	174:14,24 176:22
60:25 63:3 66:11	177:6,18 178:14

[charged - comes] Page 8

1-0 1-01 1-0 1	1	1 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 2	
178:17,21 179:3	chorus 4:4 7:9	118:3 124:7 170:5	205:23
181:7,13 186:3,8	79:25 94:23 167:8	170:7 199:13	closings 22:14
188:2,9 191:9	198:20	200:11,13 202:16	80:11
196:15 201:20	cigarettes 10:13	202:18,24 203:3	clutter 102:11
202:2 217:11,17	28:13 42:9 43:6	204:6,21 207:18	coat 135:15
charges 22:16	62:15 99:3,18,21	207:24 208:2	cocked 85:15
78:16 144:5,24	circles 67:24	210:20,23,25	115:11
145:10 146:3	circuit 194:12	213:17 215:13	cocks 85:24
157:15 169:7	circumstances	216:13,18	104:20
170:12 178:25	72:14 129:5 150:8	clever 90:24	code 145:3,3,14
187:19	150:19 155:20	client 46:13 50:21	coffee 69:17
charging 122:13	158:11 163:2,3	51:16 52:19 55:14	colleagues 53:7
122:21 186:21	166:6 167:12	56:17 57:21,24	63:14
187:7	172:12 176:1	61:1,5,13 62:18	color 80:23 129:2
charlene 40:20	circumstantial	64:15 66:3,23	colored 38:21
chart 105:3	9:14,21 10:6	71:8,12 74:24	142:8
chase 27:3 34:14	32:19,21 57:3,6	75:4,14 76:17	columns 187:3,14
39:7,8	134:17 135:1,16	77:18 78:20 79:3	188:12
chasm 56:17	136:5,7 151:1,6	92:22 216:22	come 16:4 27:25
chat 128:3 184:19	155:18 162:19	217:10 218:23	34:22 38:22 43:13
199:6	164:7 166:4	client's 58:4,5	43:14 44:8,17
check 11:1,15 32:5	175:24	63:23 65:17 80:8	46:13 54:19 57:7
75:3,3 144:11	cited 221:13	clips 115:8	57:25 70:12,25
188:13 206:24	citizens 80:18 81:2	clock 93:3	77:12 79:4 81:20
checks 11:16 12:8	city 94:13	close 6:21 14:25	85:1,4,19 90:18
chest 9:2 18:12	cjn 222:21	75:6 172:9	92:2,3 95:14
19:18,22,23 20:5	claim 114:21	closed 6:23	106:1 107:7 114:1
23:21 27:14	claims 92:11	closely 36:1	114:14 118:1,7,9
chestnut 1:11	clarified 192:16	closest 35:23,23	118:11 119:4
chew 42:5,7	clarify 4:9	36:5	126:14 196:10
chief 216:25	classic 26:7	closing 3:3 5:15	203:19 207:15
child 84:11	clean 119:19 129:9	6:9 7:14,15 8:8,12	209:23,25 222:24
chips 25:4 65:3	cleaned 62:21,22	8:21 44:20,21	comes 9:19 16:11
choice 30:17	72:1	45:24,25 46:1,9,14	17:4 18:23,24
choices 10:3 15:7	clear 31:17 45:17	46:16 47:25 48:20	20:19 25:5 27:11
choked 23:9	72:5 153:21 197:8	49:21 50:3 53:18	28:1,1 29:2 30:6
choose 93:17,20	217:3 218:22	55:24 62:24 63:14	30:13 33:16 35:21
180:2 181:17	clerk 7:5 8:25	64:25 67:14 68:5	35:25 59:22 60:9
205:14	10:12,14 71:16	73:12 76:10 79:8	68:7,8,9 70:8
chooses 39:4	79:14,21 85:21	83:11 93:6 98:6,8	87:14 103:13
	95:1 97:20 98:3	98:14 113:3	104:15 105:15,17
			·

106:2,22 107:1	146:4,9 154:4,21	community 129:6	conclusion 74:1
115:9,15 121:23	155:2,3 156:3,4,11	company 1:23	77:4,20 81:20
comfort 201:2	157:14,18,23	2:23 68:17 148:17	91:23 132:11,12
coming 11:15	158:4,5,13 159:9	164:12	135:6
15:20 18:14 27:11	159:13,24 164:14	compare 33:10	conclusions 134:6
38:2 58:25 59:11	167:23 170:24	34:6 51:20	concocted 107:18
67:13 68:15 69:21	172:20 173:11	comparing 48:16	conduct 117:5
125:7,14	174:8,14,15 176:8	comparing 48.16 comparison 32:25	123:10 128:6
comings 59:9	174:8,14,13 176:8	compiled 83:15	143:16,17,20
68:25	178:21 201:20	96:5	152:1,4 166:5
comma 5:2	202:2	complainant	178:5 184:22
comma 5.2 commands 114:8	committing	39:14 41:4	188:23,25 194:23
commentators	121:21 145:22	complete 168:2	201:18,25
82:18	154:6,7,13,16,22	189:16	conference 222:15
comments 5:20	154.6,7,15,16,22	completed 182:25	conferences
221:13,18	173:13,14,23	188:14,15 198:16	132:24 194:2
commerce 22:21	173.13,14,23	218:2	
22:23 23:23 24:5	· ·		confidently 81:4 confirmed 54:5
	178:13,23 179:17	complicated 186:12	
24:11,13,18 25:11	188:8 189:6		73:6
25:12 145:1,7	common 11:2,5	comport 47:9	conflict 25:15
147:12,14 148:4,5	25:17 29:23 35:6	computer 58:21	confused 196:24
151:23 152:3,4,7	37:7 48:7 56:1,7	128:2 184:17	confusing 193:12
152:11,12,14,16	56:11,12,15 57:9	conceal 76:4	194:18,19
152:18,20 157:19	57:11 68:12,13	concept 10:6	conjecture 130:9
161:22 171:7,20	73:4,4 78:2,7	119:24	130:14
171:24 172:2,5,9	81:19 90:11	concepts 178:1	connection 32:8
172:11,22 174:19	124:15 130:17	concern 85:3	32:10 148:7
175:12 186:22,23	132:6,10 135:7	141:13	187:20
commission	136:1 137:1 138:2	concerned 44:7	conscience 183:17
155:24 168:3	149:19 161:6	144:18	conscious 89:15
171:2,6 176:4	162:16 163:20	concerning 142:15	143:25
commit 35:8	commonwealth	142:17 149:13	consciousness
121:14 155:8	71:18	concerns 150:6	37:16,18 43:16
156:20,23 160:6	commotion 41:24	201:12,15	44:4 74:16,19
160:12,16 161:2,6	43:7,11	conclude 9:22	75:11,15 77:9
161:21 175:7	communicate	10:7 135:18	201:15
177:1,3 179:12	127:23 128:5,11	140:21	consent 139:17
commits 171:9	128:15 184:11,20	concluded 7:11	consequence
committed 26:3	185:14	187:21 201:9	158:9 159:20
26:20 87:17	communication	concludes 169:4	consequences
143:13,19 144:2	40:14	181:11 189:21	152:19

[conservative - count]

Page 10

conservative	166:10,12,12,15	132:14 172:18	191:23 208:14
200:4	167:14,16,17,19	controlled 69:14	224:4
consider 33:11	167:25 168:2,2,4	69:16	corrected 95:6
34:7,7,8 35:12	168:13,14,16,17	controls 108:3	202:9
37:17,21 77:23	168:21,22 169:6	134:9	correction 191:5
132:7 133:5,23	169:18 190:6,10	conversation	201:11 202:3,4
136:3 137:4 138:3	193:9	72:22 112:17	corrections 197:17
138:5 140:9 141:3	conspirator 73:23	114:7	201:14
143:7,16 146:21	158:11,20 169:20	converted 56:25	correspond
150:25 151:5,14	190:8	173:1	128:10
151:15 155:18	conspiratorial	convict 9:7 85:18	corroborated 73:7
162:18 164:6,23	36:22	89:2 177:19	corroborating
166:3 168:18,22	conspirators	185:16	65:7
172:6 175:23	157:14 158:13	convicted 130:8	cosgrove 2:6 212:4
178:17 180:25	163:21 167:23	158:13 195:15,17	could've 111:15
182:14 196:14	168:7 190:13	195:20 197:2,3	121:21
201:17,24	conspire 160:6	216:23	counsel 4:12,22
consideration	conspired 73:10	conviction 151:25	44:21 45:5 93:16
49:11 54:11 78:14	conspiring 76:25	convince 21:25	100:17 104:22
142:3 150:18	constitution 49:4	22:7 101:23	109:12,18 117:14
considered 147:9	constitutional	114:24 130:3	120:1 124:1
considering	49:9 142:24	182:8	140:25 142:5
149:16	contact 5:3 210:24	convinced 130:25	144:16 191:18
consisted 96:20	213:2 218:12,14	183:10	201:10 214:11
consistent 54:7	contacts 40:7,11	convincing 129:13	count 28:4 31:19
55:7 137:17	40:15	cook 12:15 53:4	32:7 111:11
consists 131:15	contd 2:1	cool 55:2	113:18,20 116:22
conspiracy 36:23	contents 144:10	copies 144:8,21	144:24 145:10,16
36:24 37:10 49:22	144:11	215:16	145:17 146:15
66:22 69:24 70:3	context 220:7	cops 89:11,11,24	147:16,21 157:15
70:13 71:3 73:9	continue 93:14	copy 166:1	158:18 159:10
73:15 109:7 158:3	170:9 185:4	cordallis 41:9	160:17 169:4,7,25
158:6,23 159:2,4,6	continues 33:25	corner 9:1 14:4	170:11,12,16,20
159:9,16 160:2,9	117:1	19:4,15,16 27:13	170:25 173:6,6,8,9
160:11 161:1,10	continuing 220:3	102:5 105:12	173:25 186:19,21
161:16,21 162:3	contract 37:2	106:8	187:6,7,18,19
162:11,12,23,24	contradicted	cornered 8:25	188:2,4,10 191:9
163:1,9,11,14,18	138:8	cornering 13:8,10	195:11,12,16,18
163:25 164:5,8,12	control 19:6 27:12	37:6	195:19,21 196:13
164:13,16,21,22	30:24 36:2 71:4,5	correct 6:19 74:6	196:15 197:19
165:2,3,6,9,14,15	87:13 116:25	187:23 190:16	211:7,14,22

[count - cubicle] Page 11

212 12 17 10	115 11 10 100		117101701
212:12,17,18	117:11 124:22	court's 116:25	145:12,15,21
215:22 216:21,22	126:18,20 131:12	196:5	147:12,14 148:10
216:23 218:24	137:16 153:7,10	courthouse 66:17	148:20 150:4
220:1	153:16,24 165:23	80:20 95:17	151:22 152:12
counted 64:25	167:6,9 168:9	205:10 208:13	154:13 157:23
counter 10:17,23	169:16 170:3,8	209:12	158:13,15 160:5
11:4 12:11,25	181:19 184:12	courtroom 9:19	161:15 164:14
13:1,5,7,9 14:11	185:1,23 188:18	46:11 127:1	169:7,9 170:14,17
20:22,22 21:16	190:3,18,22,25	128:17,23 131:10	170:24 171:2,6,9
24:20,21 25:6,8,9	191:4,7,19,25	132:5 135:15	171:19 172:6,8,19
27:24 28:12,17	192:3,7,11,18,24	144:17 185:23	173:9,23,24 174:2
30:5,7 31:9,11	193:3,7,14,18	188:19 202:19	174:12 175:2
71:14 101:22	194:8,11,17,20	206:21,24 207:15	177:14,15 178:8
102:13 103:12	195:14,20,23	208:11 218:6	187:9,20 188:1,11
104:8 115:20	196:6,9,22 197:1	223:3	189:5 195:16,23
counterfeit 100:3	197:10,13,24	covering 91:1	196:15,18 201:20
country 80:17,19	198:2,3,7,9,21	97:13	202:2
counts 44:25	199:1,5,8,24 200:3	cowen's 83:16	crimes 37:9
92:23 110:5 117:9	200:16,22,24	cr 1:2,3,3	143:19,21 144:1,2
144:6 178:14	201:6,10,14	crash 27:2	158:3,5
179:3 186:16	202:14,15,17,20	crashed 39:9,25	criminal 35:19
211:14 216:8	202:21,23 203:4,4	43:15	47:21,22 56:8
218:23	203:6,7,14,15	crashes 220:4	78:8 121:17
couple 24:8 26:21	204:4,7,10,13,23	crashing 43:12	155:22 160:9
82:16	207:8,20 208:1,4	crazy 84:5	163:5,8 164:9
course 23:11	208:11,16,25	cream 87:16,18	167:25 175:16
51:17 61:2 88:9	209:6,8,14 210:12	credibility 64:9,9	176:2 179:13,19
89:8 95:19 99:14	210:16,18,21,24	64:19 136:13,14	180:2 210:6
116:24 189:6	211:1,3,18 212:3,7	137:1 181:2	crocodile 153:18
192:20 217:23	212:15,22 213:1,6	credible 102:17	cross 24:5 47:7
court 1:1,23 2:23	213:11,15,21	112:4 113:16	76:9 83:24 88:20
4:2,5 5:10,13,19	214:1,4,8,20,22	180:10,12,18,20	107:20 115:2
5:25 6:5,13,18,24	215:1,6,8,15 216:2	credit 75:4	crowd 19:6 27:12
7:2,7,10,24 8:1,3,5	216:9,12,15 217:4	crickets 66:13	30:24
8:17,19 45:1,5	217:8,16,21,25	crime 25:18,24	crude 110:20
47:23 48:8 74:24	218:11,17 219:3,5	26:16 32:8 35:8	cso 203:1
79:10,16,22 92:25	219:8,11,14,17	38:15,20 54:25	cubbies 102:12
94:24 95:3,8,22,25	220:7,9,13 221:2,7	55:22 69:19 70:24	cubby 53:11
96:3,8,12,17,23	221:22,24 222:4,9	92:13,17,18	102:10 104:4
97:1,2,4,8,12,17	222:19,23 223:2	113:19,22,23	cubicle 59:23 60:8
98:4,8 111:12,12	,	117:1,2 143:13	
, ,		,	

[cup - definition] Page 12

cup 69:17	days 11:15 28:23	162:19 164:7,24	177:2,5,7,9,10,13
currency 60:3	39:11 40:10,16	166:6 175:21	177:23,24 198:6
current 194:7,15	45:13 46:2 53:4	188:22 201:19	defendants 1:5 4:7
curtis 2:4	70:15,15,18 80:7	202:1	15:5 19:18 24:10
custody 54:17	103:6 108:9,9	decision 18:4	32:5 37:21 92:3
148:15	dea 66:17	30:18 39:22 90:2	115:1 125:12
customer 102:12	dead 71:8	131:13 134:9	129:7,16,20,23
103:14,22,22	deal 12:1 67:11	135:11 143:11	130:1,3 139:6,8,10
113:12	83:19 88:16 91:9	146:2,19 147:5,7	139:15 141:7,15
customers 84:19	dealing 200:7	150:9,11 203:9	141:17 142:23
91:14,16 103:7,20	206:11,14	deduction 135:6	143:5,8 144:6,22
cut 51:23 87:2	deals 220:21	defendant 1:13,16	145:11,21 146:1
cuts 116:8	dealt 54:4	2:2 4:22 49:5 50:9	146:12,13 147:8
cvs 117:19	death 52:3 58:13	116:23,25 124:23	150:8 152:2,9
d	60:14,20 73:2	130:7,8 139:9,9	154:21 157:17,20
d 3:1 4:1 96:20,20	121:10 179:8	142:24 143:2,3	158:1,7 159:4,19
102:3 106:24	deceased 75:3	145:23 146:22,23	163:12,17 164:7
203:2	77:19	146:24 147:1,5,9	164:10,15,20
d9 96:22	decide 48:24 77:25	154:12,15 155:1,3	165:4,8,17,18
dante 40:3,4,8,14	78:3 81:2 82:14	155:4,6,8,11,13,21	169:8,20 170:13
40:19,23 41:7,11	83:21,21 85:2,19	156:1,2,7,10,12,12	174:8 177:18,20
186:18 187:1,11	90:9 94:2 126:25	156:14,15,19,20	177:22 183:20
187:12 192:16	128:16 131:9	156:23 165:25	186:2 187:18,25
202:7,8	132:19 135:24	169:21 171:3,11	188:1,7 200:18
dark 38:21	136:7,11,19,23	171:13,14,18	defender 2:2
darndest 81:17,18	138:9 140:16	172:4,9,15 173:22	defense 54:14 72:4
date 146:4,5,6,9	142:9 144:16	174:1,13,15,16,22	77:17 83:19 90:7
146:10 185:22	146:25 150:19	174:25 175:4,5,7,9	117:13 120:5,12
188:17 198:17	151:13 152:13	175:14,18,21	122:2 135:23
218:4	169:2 180:21	176:1,5,8,13,16,18	142:5 178:9,12
dated 211:17	182:10,13 183:19	176:18,20,21,24	180:4,21 181:5
224:16	186:1,6 203:11,17	176:25 177:3	216:24 220:3
day 1:6 11:13	203:18,18 206:19	178:11 186:7,12	defer 74:6 191:20
12:15 36:24 53:9	decided 4:10,13	186:14,17,25	define 48:8 90:3
63:18 64:14 67:9	49:9 204:14	187:11 189:3	148:22 150:2
70:16 75:7 76:2	211:16,17	196:24 202:7	155:25 178:19
77:1 93:12 96:19	decides 85:9	defendant's 49:3	defined 55:19
98:22,23 99:1,2,2	deciding 133:23	139:1 155:19	58:11
99:4,8,10 100:21	136:11,25 137:3	156:5,21,24 157:1	definition 32:12
100:23 101:13	141:4,6 143:17	157:3 172:18	34:20 48:4 55:20
203:10	151:1,6,18 155:13	175:25 176:10	56:24 130:7 148:8

[degree - distinction]

Page 13

	T	T	T
degree 152:21	deputy 207:11	90:15 93:12,25	discovery 45:20
delay 94:7 152:10	describe 50:13,13	135:21 137:16,23	discrepancies
delayed 148:5	94:8	167:12 173:18	137:21
delete 166:1	described 54:5	177:25 219:8,24	discuss 127:18
169:22,22	147:20,22,22	220:2	128:12,18 143:9
deleted 165:24	166:13	differently 47:14	199:9 206:15
166:18 169:24	describing 151:10	138:1 183:13	207:13
190:7,10,13	description 145:22	difficulty 4:6	discussed 63:19,19
deleting 168:10	148:7 186:19	digital 50:18 68:19	119:25 123:25
deletions 169:17	187:6	dime 50:22,23	140:13 162:4
deliberate 78:13	deserves 132:9	51:4	164:14
92:20,21 189:20	138:17,24 142:3	dinner 93:18,18	discussion 103:17
206:2,6,7	142:12,22	dire 48:23,23 56:3	119:23 124:20
deliberating 48:14	designed 56:24	direct 9:14 10:7	discussions 181:20
93:10 184:10	169:21 172:25	32:19 56:16 76:9	183:23
185:15 205:4	desk 86:20	102:20 109:17	disk 97:10
212:20	desperate 113:4	134:17,20,22	disks 97:14
deliberations 48:6	detail 138:5	136:4,6 155:18	dispatch 41:2,3,10
93:14,14 95:18	147:23 161:14	162:18 164:6	41:11,21 82:3
127:22 128:8,13	189:2	166:3 175:24	dispatcher 41:17
128:19 143:10	details 39:18	directly 67:21	41:20 42:2,4,7,10
181:10,14 184:14	41:14 162:1,5	121:18 134:21	42:13,15,20 43:1,4
185:5,13 187:21	detective 54:4	150:16 162:4	43:8
189:16 203:12,20	108:1	179:14 189:11	display 189:7
204:15,25 205:3	determination	dirty 123:8	displayed 76:15
206:1,4 207:1	58:9 71:10,22	disagree 166:25	117:4 172:15
210:4	180:24	167:7	dispute 84:25
demanding 12:20	determine 32:21	disagreement	87:11,12
124:10,10,15	48:18 59:5 106:12	166:24	disputed 135:11
demeanor 72:11	106:20 108:17	disarm 26:10	disputing 88:24
72:11 74:10 103:9	112:3,4 141:14	61:12 76:23 86:2	disregard 132:3
138:11	149:3 150:24	disarmed 63:22	133:10,18,22,25
demonstrating	determined 112:2	disarms 61:25	140:15,18 142:18
104:22	determining 172:3	62:13	179:23
department 52:25	device 127:25	disbelieve 137:24	disrespecting
66:7,16 69:21	184:15	disclose 171:12	103:4
95:16	difference 56:10	185:15	disrupted 25:11
depend 138:19	56:12 124:11	discount 216:7	distance 220:5
depends 149:25	different 4:14	222:22	distinct 120:23
deposits 11:12,13	16:17 31:22 37:12	discounted 216:24	distinction 136:5
	47:1 48:12 52:12		

[distract - eckert] Page 14

district 102.7	40.15.51.16.50.0	202.6	d 125:00
distract 123:7	49:15 51:16 52:2	203:6	drawn 135:22
126:9	55:10,12 58:14	doors 116:11	162:25
distracting 6:12	59:2,5 60:9,24	doorway 105:15	dressed 59:13
6:16 67:25	78:20 119:12	doubt 47:23 48:5,9	drew 62:9,9
district 1:1,1,7	120:21,24 121:19	48:9,19 49:20,24	drive 1:14 69:3,3,7
157:16	123:13 125:4,23	49:25 50:8,12	222:2,13
distrust 142:16	143:14,15,25	51:15 57:20 58:6	driver 26:9
disturb 54:18	144:24 146:13	58:9 63:3 66:3,20	driving 101:20
dixon 1:16	147:19,24 148:2	68:2 70:12 71:24	dropped 65:2
dna 31:13 43:21	148:24 149:4,10	74:2 78:10,19	dubois 1:7
documents 131:16	149:14,16,24	86:6 90:4 114:4	dundee 153:18
dodd 221:15	150:9,13 151:1,7	114:25 122:20	duties 126:24
doing 16:14 19:5	151:15 154:11	129:14,18 130:4,6	128:24
20:4 37:22 41:22	157:17,20,22	130:10,11,12,16	duty 126:25 127:7
47:3,3 50:21 56:3	158:7,16,24,25	130:16,18,20	183:2
63:12 65:1,14	159:1,7,12,19,22	131:2,4 139:2	dvr 70:16,19
68:24 73:21 81:9	160:1,3,10,21,25	141:16 143:18	e
81:15 86:22 99:3	161:4 163:10,24	146:8 147:1,18	e 1:7,10 3:1 4:1,1
107:7 112:18	164:3 165:1,5,12	148:24 151:25	98:1,1
115:20 207:5,6	165:14 166:4,7	154:18 156:5,10	earlier 24:18
208:22	167:18 168:19,24	157:7,12 158:21	33:15 181:3
dollar 110:4	170:13,18,23	160:14,21 161:17	195:13
dollars 101:13	173:21 178:11,12	162:13,20 163:12	early 28:14 93:5
104:10 109:20,24	178:16,18,21,22	165:1,7 166:11	205:17,20
110:16,17	178:24 179:1,4,7	167:21 170:22	easier 217:14
donnie 1:4 8:23	179:10,13,15,18	171:17 172:17	easily 56:24
9:2 15:16,21,25	179:21,24 180:3	174:5 176:10,16	eastern 1:1
16:11 17:3,4,13,14	180:11,14,15,21	178:16 180:7	eastern 1.1 easton 1:15
18:2,4,7,9,16,17	181:4 182:1,6,11	181:7 182:5,9	easy 88:2,3,4
18:24 19:1,20,21	192:15 201:16,23	183:21 186:3,8	eckert 1:10 3:5
20:3,9,19 21:9,10	202:5,8	188:6,25 201:20	5:24 44:21 95:12
22:5 26:4,20,22,23	donnie's 17:25	202:2	96:7,10 117:15,16
26:25 27:1,15,18	20:9	doubts 130:13,13	123:22 126:18,19
27:19 28:3,6 31:1	door 12:24 14:24	130:15	· ·
31:4,10,14,15 32:2	14:25 15:1,10,16	drag 44:2	167:4 190:16,17
33:4,21,24 34:13	16:8,24 17:1,2,5,5	dragging 38:1	191:3,6,13,17
35:21 37:18,23	17:21 18:2,10	39:5	192:20 195:19
38:3,7,10,17,21	36:5 39:2 59:9,10	drama 111:5	196:2,3,8 198:25
39:1,4,9,11,18	67:20,22 69:1,6	draw 49:8 56:6	199:7,10,25
40:2,9,14,20,24	106:2 110:19	135:23,24,25	200:12,17 201:8
43:10 46:7,7,8,9	115:15 188:19	143:6	203:24 210:10,11
			212:1,6,14 213:23

[eckert - evidence] Page 15

214:15,22,25	182:4 190:6,9	ended 39:8 56:5	essential 147:17
215:3,23 217:22	elements 49:24	ends 27:1 39:9	essentially 24:23
217:23 219:4,6,9	51:22,25 63:10,15	62:25	26:1
217:23 217:4,0,7	121:7,8 122:15,16	enforcement	establish 26:2
220:12,15,17	122:23 131:5	141:25 142:1,7,11	157:6 171:9
221:6,11,19,23	147:18 154:22,23	engage 180:2	177:20,22
economic 129:5	154:24 157:7.8	engaged 103:25	established 23:24
edge 6:8 89:22	159:10,11 160:14	english 11:22,24	establishing 157:5
education 140:2	160:18 161:14	12:12 84:15 103:5	estranged 75:1
140:18	167:21 170:22	124:16	evaluate 181:2
effect 65:22	174:9,10,11,11	enlists 118:24	evening 93:11,25
137:13 138:3	194:5	entered 114:3	210:19
157.15 138.3	eliminate 120:9	entire 20:6 21:9,14	event 83:16 87:9
171:23	eliminated 213:12	29:7 36:8 61:2	
			137:25 195:6
effort 183:4	eluding 77:8	68:20 72:18,24	200:8
eight 52:24 60:1	embedded 58:22	96:21 115:7 143:2	events 59:12 70:16
61:1 137:19	embodies 9:13	entirely 108:14	132:8 157:2
either 31:25 40:10	emmanuel 12:14	133:10 140:15	everybody 53:12
62:8 69:11 85:19	13:3 14:14,22,25	144:2	58:17 67:13 69:25
136:6 139:20	16:16,25 17:19	entirety 4:23	86:18 153:3
161:20 171:11	19:7,9,12 21:13	entitled 29:10,19	everybody's 67:7
194:9 217:13	22:2 23:14,19	43:19 181:21	70:1 103:21
eject 56:25 57:14	25:10 27:7,12	184:8 224:6	everyday 81:1
elected 184:1	28:5 33:9 34:25	entrance 67:22	132:8
204:14	150:12,20 151:10	equally 10:6 32:4	everyone's 25:22
electronic 127:25	151:17	37:24 180:19	36:23
128:14 184:15	employ 171:12	equation 31:8	everything's 82:10
224:5	employed 142:1	equipment 205:14	evidence 7:10,16
electronically	149:11	equivalent 153:20	9:7,14,21 10:6,7
128:11	employee 106:14	escalate 104:3	32:19,21 37:21
element 23:12,24	employees 147:20	escalated 115:25	44:24 45:18,19
32:20 35:3 50:7	148:25	escalates 12:18	46:3,3,21,21,24
51:15 55:18,21	employment	13:2	47:13 48:15,25
63:13 66:2 74:2	171:10	escalation 28:14	50:10,16,24 51:9
122:19 130:5	empty 119:11	escape 7:19 73:1	51:18 54:10,18,24
131:1 148:9,19	enable 144:10	especially 83:5	55:6,25 56:16
150:3 151:18,22	150:19	esq 1:10,10,13,16	57:3,7 58:19 63:6
151:24 152:18	encouraged	2:2	63:20 64:1,2 65:7
159:3 161:15	156:19 176:25	esr 2:6	67:6 69:19 70:3,5
165:3 166:9	encroaching 24:20	essence 112:25	70:14,19 71:11
171:17 177:17			77:7,10,12 78:1,24

[evidence - fact] Page 16

04.00.00.10.00	201 10 24 27	014.11	1 1 21 21
84:23 90:10 96:6	201:18,24,25	214:11	explained 31:21
97:14 100:15	202:13 205:15,22	exhibits 96:5,6,15	32:1 139:6 145:19
101:18 106:16	205:23 206:20	96:24 97:15	154:23 159:11
107:9,13 108:9,11	214:10	131:18 133:4	160:18 167:21
·	evidentiary 7:13	185:6,9 202:13	174:10
, , ,	exact 14:19 24:23	205:21 211:24	explaining 115:13
114:17,20,24,25	108:1 145:21	212:8 213:18,22	181:11
116:17 122:25	146:6	214:5,9,10,14	explains 11:21,24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	exactly 17:8 21:6	existed 158:23	191:10
128:17,20 129:10	48:13 61:4,5	159:4 162:21	explanations
129:12,21 130:9	62:21 63:13	163:9	136:21
130:19,22,23,23	109:25 110:5	existence 135:4	explosive 173:2
130:24 131:8,10	115:19 153:7	161:9,16,25	expressed 162:1
131:14,21,25	183:14	162:22,24 163:15	199:16
132:7,11,14,15,16	examination 76:9	163:19 166:11	exterior 102:9
132:17,19 133:2,3	76:9 88:20	168:16,21	108:8
133:5,9,21,22,25	examine 83:24	existing 150:8	extremely 67:12
134:7,9,16,17,18	90:8	exists 135:11	f
134:18,20,20,22	example 9:15,16	150:5 151:18,21	f 98:1
135:1,1,3,8,17	26:7 29:13 84:2	exit 14:5 21:13,14	face 12:19,20
136:1,3,7,8,22	94:3 97:9 134:22	35:23,24	13:10 19:17 21:3
137:18 138:18,21	135:13 178:4	expect 199:23	22:8 23:6 33:5,6
139:3,7,9,11,19,21	206:4	expected 150:6	34:23 102:7 106:7
140:8,22,23 141:6	excellent 83:11	204:19	106:13,19,21
141:6,14 142:10	91:11	expel 172:25 173:1	118:18 120:22
143:24 145:24	exceptions 139:24	experience 11:3,5	121:1 125:17
146:18,21 150:19	exchange 103:10	56:7 78:3 81:19	207:4 209:1
150:25 151:1,5,6	104:7,10	130:17 132:8,10	facebook 128:4
155:18,21 156:2	exchanging 71:12	135:7 136:1 137:2	
	excitable 86:4	138:2 140:2,17	184:19
164:7,10,19,24,24	123:18	experiences 56:2	facilitate 121:1
166:4 168:5,18	exclusive 182:22	150:5	facilitated 171:25
,	excuse 46:20 81:6	expert 52:22 53:25	172:21
175:24 176:1,7	94:22 99:11 205:5	56:14 67:8 140:4	facilitating 172:1
177:23 179:5	210:19 215:2	expertise 54:3	172:22
	exercise 49:9	explain 25:15	fact 37:17 49:8
	exhibit 58:15	35:13 85:11 87:15	64:5 73:4 81:3
181:2,12 182:4,17	59:20 67:12 83:14	90:21 101:9	82:13 86:18
182:21,24 183:3	96:8,23 97:5,8,12	115:16,18,24	101:15 106:17
184:5,6,6 185:7	97:15 133:1,3,9,10	147:4 161:13	111:13 114:11
189:17 193:9,11	133:13 212:3,4,8	181:13 205:6,12	118:15 124:11
			131:18 132:22

[fact - firearms] Page 17

		I	I
134:21 135:2,5,11	family 14:17 27:10	ferrero 126:5	187:16,17,25
135:12 138:19	30:13 88:13	fiction 103:11	188:1,5,23 189:22
141:25 143:5,8,9	148:17	fifth 182:24	196:12,17
146:1 162:10	far 13:4 39:9 92:4	fight 93:3	finding 4:10 68:23
181:4 183:2	209:24 212:22	figure 103:15	127:2 151:20
219:18 222:5	fashioned 50:18	104:17 119:11	finds 195:10
factfinders 112:2	fast 12:11 54:7	file 5:6 95:8	fine 5:10 6:24 7:1
factors 137:4,19	85:3 98:18	197:14,22,24	96:3,12 198:7
140:13 172:6	fate 80:8	198:1,5,5 211:17	200:2 205:1
facts 9:22 47:11	favor 79:3 91:22	211:19,21 221:20	214:19 215:6,9
55:20 60:25 63:20	180:14,17	filed 197:15,20	217:19
72:13 82:14 112:1	favorable 180:11	211:20	finger 23:18 33:19
126:25 127:3,8	180:12	fill 77:15,17	fingerprints 31:13
131:15 132:2	fbi 66:18	final 125:11	43:21
134:5 135:3,5,22	fear 23:15,22 71:7	151:22	finish 8:8 65:12
136:11 139:5,13	73:2,2 128:25	finally 116:21	166:2
140:22 141:3,18	148:13,21 149:2,8	126:11 132:4	finished 182:25
141:21,23 144:3	149:18 150:3,5,7	155:10 161:8	185:13
150:1 155:20	150:10,20,21	financial 178:4	fire 52:21 56:15
163:3 166:6	151:4,8,13,18,20	find 7:17 12:9	firearm 4:13,14
175:25	february 1:5 4:24	23:12 32:1 36:25	32:7,12,13,20
factual 134:5	223:6,7	37:8 51:1 66:12	49:23 55:19 56:5
failed 124:4 182:8	federal 47:23	78:18 92:14,15	57:15 113:22
fails 121:9	48:11 66:16,17	111:18 113:18	121:24 124:25
failure 138:1	144:23 160:5	117:8 122:18,18	125:16 145:12
fair 130:16	170:15	129:16 130:1	169:8 170:14
fairly 128:24	feedback 216:13	131:14 135:4,11	171:3,4,5,8,10,12
faith 71:2	feeds 76:14	135:18,19 143:25	171:13,15,18,23
fake 10:13,14,16	feel 183:24	152:16 154:14,17	171:25 172:4,10
10:18,21 11:2,4	feeling 150:16	155:25 156:7,21	172:10,13,17,20
28:13 29:22,22	201:1	158:16,20 160:10	172:24 173:8,23
33:14,19,20 34:1,2	fees 99:15	160:13 162:22,23	174:2,17,20 175:1
34:10,11,17 56:20	feet 13:6 14:12	163:8,9,11 165:5,6	175:11,14,20
56:21 99:19	39:5 87:7 119:13	167:18,20,21	177:12,14 187:8
117:22 118:5	fellow 108:8	170:18,20 174:1,4	187:20 188:8,10
fall 90:17	128:13,18 183:7	174:25 175:3,9,13	189:4,5,8,9,11
false 113:2	206:15	176:5,13 177:1,24	196:18 197:4,20
falsely 142:15	felon 220:20	178:15,20,22,24	firearms 4:14
familiar 33:7	felt 151:11	179:1,4 180:15	32:17 54:1 116:18
221:2	ferreira 38:4,8,13	181:25 182:6	171:5
	38:18,25 39:3,5	186:25 187:4,10	

[fired - further] Page 18

fired 32:25 104:24	fl:n 01.5	foreseeable 158:7	frame 173:2
118:6 172:16	flip 91:5 floor 61:14,25	159:18,25	
		/	frantically 14:24
firing 32:14 57:23	flow 24:14 52:1	forgot 55:23	free 95:19,20
first 4:5 7:20,24	152:6	form 22:18 31:23	183:1,24
9:24 17:21 22:17	fluctuate 113:9	121:4 186:12,13	frequent 103:14
26:19 33:16 40:4	fluctuated 113:7	186:20 187:13,22	friday 7:10 41:17
43:24 51:24 52:1	fluid 47:4 72:6	187:23 188:15,17	friend 30:10,12,13
52:12 87:2 94:15	flying 21:2 86:25	192:14 198:11,12	30:22,22 34:12
100:14 118:21	focus 6:20 7:18	198:14,16 211:8	44:2 88:13 103:16
122:14,23 125:4,5	19:5 46:13 48:23	212:12 215:19	friendly 151:19
126:25 131:9,15	86:9	217:5 218:2,3,5	friends 16:22
147:18 148:19	folks 93:24 94:16	formal 52:12	front 38:24 59:9
150:3 158:23	95:16	145:20 161:25	69:1 86:19 126:5
159:3 160:15	follow 49:11	211:13,16	frustrated 60:5
161:15 168:10	127:11 158:22	formally 131:19	104:11
170:23 174:7	199:6	format 4:19	fuck 110:21
179:7 181:16,16	followed 7:20	forms 4:9,11,15	fucking 12:22
185:2 187:16	following 8:11	185:17,18,19,22	13:13,13,14,15
190:3,7,13 191:24	99:1 131:15,21	186:5,10,11,14	28:19,19,20,21
192:5,9 198:11	147:17 154:18	187:24 194:16	30:7,7
201:15 202:3	160:14 170:21	197:13,17 205:19	full 59:18 87:12
204:24 207:3,13	174:6 179:6	forth 95:15 100:4	202:24
208:5 216:25	186:16	100:5 108:18	function 54:3
fist 12:16	follows 5:2 166:17	112:20 149:6	functional 52:19
fit 48:16	foods 24:3	155:10	52:21 54:12 57:2
fits 74:9	foot 33:5 44:10	found 77:19 195:1	57:13,13,21 58:2
five 14:23 15:2,19	footage 141:3,12	196:16	60:1,22 62:10
16:8 40:21 44:10	football 82:21	founding 80:19	66:23 68:18
45:13 71:1 97:15	force 21:4 22:25	four 5:20 16:7	functionality
110:6 132:2	28:18 71:7 74:12	40:10,16 51:22	32:15,16 33:23
137:12	74:12 148:13,21	73:16 90:14 121:8	53:25
fix 5:11 28:15	149:2,8,18	132:1 137:10	functioning 55:16
87:23 90:22	forced 119:21	154:18 158:21	56:13 71:24
flash 58:16 59:21	ford 39:12	160:14 174:6	funny 81:21 82:9
fled 37:18	foregoing 224:4	fourth 156:17	further 152:13
flee 39:23	foreperson 181:18	159:17 161:8	156:24 157:1
fleeing 77:8	181:21 184:24	166:9 174:22	158:5 159:15,15
flight 37:19 74:15	185:21 186:4,9	176:23 179:21,23	161:11 164:9
74:19 75:10,14	187:22 188:15,16	179:24 182:16	165:10,16 168:16
77:8 191:18	198:13,16,17	190:9	168:21 177:5,7,11
	204:14 218:2,4		177:13,19 192:23
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		

[furtherance - going]

Page 19

furtherance	74:18 90:9 92:6	75:2,19,25 78:12	16:3,4,5 17:8,9,10
155:11 156:16	96:12 97:6 101:21	78:19 81:7 82:22	17:11,12,18 19:20
174:23 176:22	101:21,25 102:24	86:25 87:8 89:12	21:3,4,4,22 22:3
furthering 166:14	119:21 127:8,13	89:16 91:17 92:2	22:14 24:9,9,12,14
future 148:14	132:8 136:6,8	92:3 93:21 94:4,4	25:4 26:10 27:8,9
149:3 217:3	142:21 144:8,17	94:10,19,20 95:17	27:9,10,16 30:10
g	145:25 148:21	97:9,18 101:1,3	30:11,12 31:19,23
g 4:1 106:5,24	149:18 153:13	107:12 109:3,4	32:11,12,14,18
g20 99:11	166:19 169:3	110:23 117:18,18	34:18,22 35:10
g49 99:11 113:6	184:3,25 185:1	117:19,19,22,24	36:18,22 37:15,16
gain 178:4	189:24 201:1	119:21 121:15	38:6,7 39:25
game 53:8 82:17	206:18 207:21	166:19,24 169:22	41:24 42:21 44:7
83:3	212:3,5 213:4	169:23 189:1,22	44:11,21,22 45:16
gaps 77:15,17	218:19	199:5,19 203:16	45:18,19 46:9
gaps 77:15,17 garments 59:13	given 30:17 92:10	203:16 204:25	47:4,7 48:2,3,20
gather 139:19	117:12 133:19	207:9,12,16	48:24 49:13 50:2
gather 139:19 gender 129:3	140:8,19 193:13	208:24 209:4,4	51:6,12,12,23
O	202:13 220:2	211:6,18 212:11	52:11 54:6,23
general 144:4	gives 41:14 45:21	213:18 215:5,12	57:25 58:16,25
152:16	63:16 72:20,23	216:15 218:24	59:11,21 60:10,17
gentleman 24:22	78:12 85:21 106:4	goal 28:3 161:6	61:18 63:11 67:13
25:2 80:2,4	109:25 117:20,21	163:17,20,22	69:21 71:7 73:17
gentlemen 45:5	giving 21:6 151:11	165:13,16,20	74:18,22 75:24
93:1 106:20	182:18 193:11	goals 26:1	76:11,23 77:4,24
126:20 134:2	glance 106:4	god 74:19	77:24 79:7 83:13
gesture 149:23,24	glasses 98:17	goes 7:20 11:17	83:24 86:2,22
gestures 85:13 201:5	glock 13:15,15,15	12:10 15:14,14,25	87:4 88:19 89:7
	28:21,21 57:24	16:2,13,14 18:17	89:11 90:1,2,3,5
gesturing 84:17	58:3 60:1 62:2,3,9	20:3,14,21 26:9	90:18 91:14 93:5
getaway 26:9	63:18,19,22 102:1	27:24 30:11,13	95:5 97:6 101:1
getting 13:23 21:5	102:1,16,24	36:1 39:1 61:11	103:15,16,19
30:15 60:4 61:21	103:10 147:22	62:2 63:23 70:6	104:17,20 105:6
68:24 101:11,12	217:11,13	78:6 82:2,3 84:4	108:10 111:21
119:2 153:3	gloves 54:21	87:25 88:1 98:16	115:5 118:9
216:13 218:21	go 13:21 15:8,16	101:22 103:12	119:17 120:14
girl 25:3	17:6 19:19 22:16	106:3 108:19	122:6 124:21
give 10:24 12:22	26:8 28:17 29:14	109:8 114:12	125:8,14 150:2
13:13,13,14,14,15	31:8 35:7 38:4	118:13 120:12	152:23,25 153:1,1
13:15,16 28:18,19	45:16 50:20 56:13	123:14	155:2 168:9 169:6
28:19,20,20,21,21	61:17 62:2 69:6	going 5:15 10:5,24	170:11 173:7
28:21 29:13 30:7	69:11,22 73:3	12:1,8 14:17 15:2	174:14 177:16
30:7 37:17 47:24	37.11,22 73.3	12.1,0 11.11 13.2	27 112 1 277110
	•		

[going - gun] Page 20

181:9 198:1,17,23	111:12 112:7	grabs 13:24	113:1,17,18 117:9	
198:23 202:11	113:3,16 114:21	grail 72:2,3,4	122:18 129:7,13	
203:13 204:18	117:12 120:2	grand 28:24	129:16,17,22,24	
205:1,5,18 206:8	124:21 126:2	gray 65:11 66:10	130:2,3 131:3,6	
208:7 210:18	129:11,17,23,24	68:23 80:4 81:9	139:1 141:7	
212:16 213:19	130:2,4,25 135:22	91:25	143:21 147:2,6,6	
215:1 216:5,21	138:25 139:2	great 12:12 64:12	154:3,15 156:1,8	
218:1,9 221:4,9	141:1,4,8,17 143:1	88:16 91:9 118:8	157:21 158:1,17	
goings 59:9 69:1	143:18 146:5,7,25	123:7 201:1	160:11 163:10	
good 4:2,4 7:2,7,9	147:17 148:23	greater 142:3	165:6 167:19	
10:19 75:18 79:24	149:7,9,12 151:24	181:21 184:3	170:19 173:10	
79:25 83:19,23	154:10,17 156:9	greenberg 1:17	174:1 176:6,13	
86:20 98:9 103:5	156:17 157:6,12	grips 196:10	177:21,22 178:24	
137:2 177:21	157:19,25 158:20	grocery 38:14	179:3 180:15	
183:17 200:9	159:3,21,24	147:20 149:1	181:24,24 182:2,7	
211:1 214:4	160:13,20,24	ground 33:17	182:12 183:20	
218:17 220:4	161:17,24 162:2,8	142:7	186:2,7 187:2,3,3	
223:4	162:13,20 163:11	group 81:14	187:4,14,14,18,25	
goodbye 118:10	163:16,23 164:2	204:15	188:1 189:3 191:9	
goods 22:22 24:1	164:25 165:7,11	groups 206:6	195:10 196:13,17	
24:14 91:17 152:6	166:10 167:15,20	guard 26:11	196:18	
government 1:10	167:22 169:18	guess 56:9 68:3,9	gun 6:7,14 9:3,4	
4:21,23 5:22 6:7	170:21 171:9,16	70:11 78:7,25	13:16,19,24,24	
7:20 8:6,6,14	172:14,16 173:20	133:11,13 135:10	14:1,2,16,16,17	
45:21 46:19 47:5	174:5 175:3,13	153:7	16:8,11,19,20 17:3	
49:19 50:6 51:14	176:15,23 178:15	guessing 56:11,18	17:12,17,22 18:1,3	
56:19 60:12,21	178:20 180:12,18	57:5 78:4	18:5,5,7,8,8,11,13	
63:11 65:5,5	181:6 182:3,8,11	guidance 148:21	18:21,24,25 19:11	
66:16,17 67:14	183:20 186:1,6	guilt 37:16,19	19:11,20,20,21,23	
68:1,5 69:24	188:6,24 194:4	43:16 44:4 74:16	19:25 20:3,5,7,8	
71:19 72:3 74:1	195:24 196:12	74:20 75:11,15	20:10,11,11,19	
75:18,24 78:4	197:7 198:4	77:9 81:2 130:10	21:18,19,23 23:1,7	
80:11 81:8,23	201:19 202:1	139:1 141:15	23:21 26:4,19,20	
82:11,24 83:9,14	211:7,24 212:13	144:1 146:18,20	26:23,25 27:1,8,9	
83:15,17 88:16	213:22 214:9	157:6,6,12 196:14	27:9,11,14,15,17	
89:4,12,18,25 90:7	219:3	201:15	27:18,20 28:6,22	
91:7,9,23 93:7	government's 51:4	guilty 26:14 31:24	30:11,12,13,21	
94:22 96:5 98:11	59:15 64:24 66:1	31:25 32:4 37:9	31:5,6,7,18 32:2,9	
100:13,17 101:15	89:18,20,22 98:14	44:24 51:1 77:13	32:23,24,24 33:3,5	
106:6 107:17,21	106:24 122:19	78:15 79:5 89:15	33:10,17,19,20,22	
109:12,18 111:7	195:3	92:15,16,18,23	33:22,22,24 34:1,1	

[gun - heard] Page 21

0400404444	21 0 12 22 5 1 5 1 5	1071511000	20 7 20 27 20 0
34:2,3,10,10,11,13	21:8,12 23:6,16,16	125:16 143:22	28:7 29:25 30:8
34:15,16,16,17,24	23:20 26:24 29:17	151:17 153:11	58:24 71:14 73:15
35:4,5,22,25 36:2	32:22 33:1,7,8,13	handed 197:14,16	164:17 216:10
36:3,4,16 37:8	33:14,15 34:7,17	handing 34:12	happens 12:23
39:14 43:23,24,25	34:21,23 35:8,10	44:5	14:5,6 17:4 22:6
44:6,6 52:13,14,17	35:13 36:8,15,18	handle 54:21	30:5 38:3,3 59:18
52:19,21 53:9,18	54:3,4 55:14	92:12 122:13,21	85:12,16 121:25
53:24 54:12,21	73:21 85:20,21	144:16 199:22	125:10,18 209:25
55:9,9,10,10,16	114:6 116:19	200:4	happy 213:23
56:13,18,20,21,21	118:17,17 119:5	handled 33:13,14	hard 12:16 70:24
56:23,23,25 57:1,2	124:8,12,17 125:4	33:14 34:8	201:2 221:4
57:12,16,21 58:1,3	126:15 194:23	hands 18:1,2 20:9	harm 121:19,21
58:6,8,11 59:24,24	guy 12:12,14,14	20:10,10 21:11,18	150:7 179:15,17
59:25 60:1,8,15,16	26:9,11,13 60:15	21:19 31:12,13	179:20
60:17,23 61:7,8,12	65:10 66:10 67:5	34:10 43:18 52:20	harmful 152:15
61:12,13,19,21,21	68:23 85:24 86:4	62:7 71:12,16	harsh 64:10
61:23,23,25 62:10	90:25 91:25 99:6	80:9 88:1,15	hat 39:15,15 76:19
62:11,13 63:21	99:7 100:24,24	123:14 153:13	hay 53:1
66:23 70:1,1 71:9	101:11 113:13	hang 222:1,5	he'll 41:12 50:15
71:25 76:21 85:10	123:9,18 214:16	hanging 65:18	212:4
85:15,22,24 86:1	guys 26:8 65:9	72:16 94:13	head 9:2 29:17
87:24 90:21 92:16	88:14	happen 15:2 17:8	36:17 87:6 153:21
92:16,19 102:16	h	44:7 61:3 75:9	heading 38:14
104:4,13,20,24	habit 200:25	82:21 98:12 101:8	hear 22:3 25:23,23
105:23 106:11	habitat 100:22	116:3 121:2 125:8	37:15 38:1 44:3
108:20 111:20,22	hair 81:9	205:16 208:18	46:22 48:2,3
114:1,13 115:11	half 45:13 88:22	happened 9:23	49:18 67:2 72:22
116:7 117:4 119:6		15:9 27:22 37:24	79:12 93:6 98:8
120:15,18,21,24	hall 202:15 207:11 208:4 210:19,22	42:1 49:21,22	102:20,21 109:11
120:24 121:1	213:5,16 218:15	52:7,16 61:4 63:8	124:22 137:6,25
123:12,12 125:5,6	hammer 82:10	64:6 65:20 66:9	183:22 200:22
147:22 217:11,13	hand 11:23 15:20	68:10 69:15 70:9	201:3
217:14,18 219:14	15:21,25 16:1,2,3	70:10 91:10 98:21	heard 11:21 13:12
219:18,19,20		98:25 103:11	13:12 17:17 23:4
220:10,23	18:21 19:6,11,23	107:24 108:3,4	24:2 25:22 27:21
gun's 18:12 19:24	19:25 20:11,12 25:4 34:1 44:6	112:3,6,9 115:3,19	27:22,24 29:7
34:5 61:24 123:15		116:2 122:15,24	34:15 35:2 36:23
gunpoint 64:16	54:6,7 58:10 60:10 61:19 71:25	124:16 133:17	39:10 44:14 45:13
76:18		164:21	45:22,23 46:21,22
guns 9:1 10:7	72:16 88:7,8	happening 10:4	46:22 47:13 51:19
	107:3,4 109:10		77.5 90.7 94.24
19:17 20:15,18	111:10 114:14	14:14 15:12 26:5	77:5 80:7 84:24

[heard - ii] Page 22

	I	I	I
98:14 99:12	70:21 78:10,13,13	94:11,17,19,20	hot 18:14 20:1
102:23 104:22	130:21 183:9	204:25 206:9	88:4,4
108:1 114:18	hesitating 48:21	207:16 217:10	hour 46:19 196:4
116:1 119:9 120:1	70:13	honest 55:3 91:19	hours 75:10 112:7
122:12 126:21,21	hey 41:3 88:2	honestly 183:17	112:8 189:19
127:1 128:20	107:6	honor 5:9,12,18	house 86:15
130:24 131:10,12	hide 87:9	5:24 6:2,15 7:22	how's 64:14
132:4 134:25	hiding 98:18	8:4,16 45:2 47:18	hull 7:2
135:13 139:14,24	high 27:3 34:14	47:24 48:3,4,12	human 80:16
140:24 141:19,24	39:7	50:13 95:7,13	138:2 178:5
143:12 151:9	higher 18:12	96:1,7,16,19,21	hump 66:19
154:1 205:23,23	highest 47:21	98:7 117:10,16	hunch 130:14
205:24	50:12	153:23 167:5	hundred 59:22
hearing 67:3	hit 201:4	191:17,24 192:5	101:13 104:10
heat 59:10	hoagie 24:3	192:10,13,14,21	109:20,24 110:16
heavens 144:20	hobbs 22:17,17	193:2,17,23 194:3	110:16 118:5
heavier 180:20	25:14 31:24,25	194:7 195:8,12	husband 59:17
held 4:5 25:19	92:15 145:7,7,17	197:12,23 199:10	husband's 60:9
33:13 34:8,25	147:10,15 148:8	203:24,25 204:2,3	hypothetically
hell 89:6	148:20 150:4	210:11,13,15,17	196:13
hello 64:14	151:23 152:1	212:2,6,14 214:18	i
help 26:6,16,16	154:13,15,25	214:19,24,25	ice 87:16,18
27:4,5,16 28:7,7	157:8,24 158:17	215:4,24 216:1,18	idea 10:21 122:9
30:10,14,22,22	160:18 169:5,5	217:7,23 218:10	126:2 153:17
31:2 66:11 73:25	170:17,24 171:20	218:20 219:4,10	214:4
112:19 118:24	172:19,20 173:6	219:22 221:11,23	identified 202:6
119:1,3 126:14	173:18 174:11	honorable 1:7	identify 86:11
150:24 151:12	175:6 195:21	hoodie 65:11	217:17
158:5 159:15	196:17,19 197:2	66:10 67:5 68:23	identity 66:8 76:5
164:21 165:10,15	hold 26:13,14	98:17	86:12 98:18 194:6
177:23	34:25 85:25	hook 35:9	idiot 109:9
helped 26:6 31:17	holding 16:24 17:1	hoops 57:10	ii 31:19 32:7
helpful 7:17	17:2 36:16 56:17	hope 8:8 67:16	113:18,20 116:22
helping 27:17,18	125:15	77:13	117:9 145:10,16
30:3,24 121:2	holds 37:13	hopefully 8:10	169:7,25 170:11
166:15	holes 78:5	73:20 144:12	170:12,20 173:8
helps 25:24,25	hollywood 91:3	153:2	170.12,20 173.8
31:6	holy 72:2,3,4	horrible 77:16	179:3 186:16
hesitate 48:8,10,13	home 74:25 75:2	horse 71:8 90:13	187:7,7,18 188:2,5
48:19 50:1 57:20	76:10 77:19 93:21	90:13	188:10 191:9
58:10 66:21 70:21	93:24 94:4,4,8,10		195:12,16 196:15
			173.12,10 170.13

[ii - instructing] Page 23

	T	I	T
197:19 211:14	inches 33:3,4	145:24 146:3,16	influence 129:1
216:8,23 218:24	incident 28:23	147:16,21,23	131:12 143:10
iii 211:7,14,22	65:17 112:23	149:6 154:14	146:18 147:7
212:12,17,18	include 99:14	157:15 160:17	influenced 128:22
215:22 216:6,21	140:19 210:7	162:9 166:14	129:2 132:21,23
216:22 218:24	included 214:11	169:19 170:12,12	133:24 184:7
220:2	219:2	170:17,20,25	information 82:1
imagine 112:17	includes 173:2	173:25 178:14	127:23 128:6
immediate 52:2	including 132:1	179:4 186:17,21	140:11 184:11,21
121:9 179:8	144:21 154:12,20	187:7 191:10,12	210:24 213:3
immediately 8:11	155:3,6,8,19	191:14 217:12	218:12,14
31:5 148:14 149:3	156:12,20,22	indirect 134:17	inherent 138:12
impartially 128:25	166:4 169:5 172:7	135:17	injury 23:15,22
impeached 138:9	173:22 174:7,15	indirectly 135:2	52:3 58:14 60:14
impersonal 208:9	175:5,7,24 176:18	indiscernible 9:11	60:20 73:2 121:11
implore 77:25	176:25 177:3	41:21 61:11 72:22	148:13 149:2
importance 48:11	207:9	107:5 190:23,24	150:3,11 179:9
130:21 138:4,16	incoming 40:22	191:1,18,20,22	inked 197:17
important 15:4	41:8	192:25 194:12	innocence 81:2
45:24 46:17,25	inconsistencies	196:5 197:21	129:10,15,19
49:12 50:1 52:1	137:21	199:2,4,15 200:17	146:20 182:4
53:8 54:13 67:12	inconsistency	201:7	196:14
73:8 105:2 107:19	138:3,6	individual 22:20	innocent 129:8
108:21 116:8	inconsistent	25:21 72:21,21	138:6 143:4,23
118:20 122:17	137:18	94:10 146:20	inside 10:1 31:5
127:10 128:16,21	independent 184:4	171:2,18 172:3,15	114:6
134:6 136:23	184:5	individuals 9:7	insignificant 138:5
138:21 139:23	indicate 88:3	31:24 33:13 36:25	instant 90:24
142:16 183:15	143:20	37:13 44:17 67:4	128:3 184:18
194:25 205:25	indicates 144:2	71:15	instruct 8:10
209:17 210:5,5	indicating 85:12	individuated	22:15 32:11 93:8
impossible 150:15	102:5,8,9 105:12	147:3	111:13 126:23
impressed 81:12	105:18 106:2	indulgence 45:6	144:5 147:10
impression 184:9	107:13 115:14,17	infer 10:3 65:14	178:9 181:9 199:3
improbability	116:10,14 123:15	135:4	200:6 201:6
138:12	127:4 182:20	inference 49:8	instructed 9:12
inapplicable 167:2	indicted 146:1	89:14,14,19 91:18	133:5 157:9
190:14 195:2	indictment 31:20	135:5,9,23 143:6	189:16 195:11
inappropriate	131:22 144:6,23	inferences 89:23	200:14
6:19	144:24 145:9,10	135:21,25 162:25	instructing 211:11
	145:16,18,20,22	·	

[instruction - joel's]

Page 24

instruction 37:15	intentional 138:6	171:24 172:2,5,8	item 25:20 148:4
37:17 47:19 49:6	intentionally	172:11,22 174:19	_
49:11,14,15,16	161:18 163:13	175:12 186:22,23	j
51:14 63:5 64:8	178:6,8	intimidate 34:21	j 2:2 203:1
77:16,16 78:20	interaction 71:14	34:24 35:1 189:10	jacket 9:20
116:22,24 132:14	interacts 22:21	introduced 90:6	jan 1:7
134:3 187:16	interest 137:11	124:13	jay 103:7
191:19,24 194:4	142:9 211:20	investigate 101:16	jd 1:2,3,3
198:10 206:18	interesting 87:10	128:15	jersey 24:3 39:12
218:1	196:11 220:10	investigative	42:19
instructions 3:7	interfere 152:10	141:1,9,11	jig 12:6 28:16
4:9 34:20 46:23	interfered 157:19	involved 19:8,14	jims 24:24
47:19,24 48:17,22	174:18	91:8,21 116:18,19	job 47:12,12 66:1
48:25 49:17 51:2	interference 24:17	155:22 157:13	66:1 69:2 70:20
51:21 55:19 56:1	82:20 171:6,19,24	164:1 169:19	70:24 90:4 127:2
63:16 64:20 78:2	172:1,5,8,11,22	176:2	jobs 71:5
116:25 127:9,9	175:12	involves 150:11	joel 8:25 9:3 10:13
134:16 136:10	interferes 145:1,6	188:23	10:23 11:19 12:11
140:13 144:4,8,9,9	152:5 157:18	involving 194:23	12:17 13:8,17,21
145:6 147:4	186:22,23	iphone 128:1	13:24 14:11,13,22
152:24 154:24	interfering 147:14	184:16	14:24 15:1 16:16
160:19 173:17	161:22		16:19,24 17:12,16
181:3 183:1	interior 108:3,4,7	irrespective 76:23 76:24	17:19,22 18:1,3,5
188:16 189:22,23	112:9	isalisa 11:20,24	18:7,12,17 19:3,17
207:14,22 218:4	internet 128:2,2,3	12:6,18 21:25	19:21 20:5,14,16
221:14	184:17,17,18	22:7 24:2 28:15	20:17,20 21:3,7,13
intend 24:10 27:5	interpretation	34:15 44:10,13	23:6,13,14,17
101:3	46:23 58:19 63:20	122:4	24:20 25:6 26:24
intended 5:8 26:5	71:11 134:8	issue 6:7 49:3	27:8,14,19,23
26:16 28:7 31:2	interpreter 103:6	109:4 144:12	28:18,23 31:7
152:10 164:9	interpreter 103.0	190:25 196:1,21	33:2,6 34:4,23
intending 27:16	185:18 188:3,4,12	190.23 190.1,21	36:6 37:7,8 52:11
161:3 163:19		213:12 214:8	52:13,17,17 53:9
	188:21 189:2,3		55:6,11,12,16
165:9,15 intent 0:25 10:1 3	interstate 22:21	216:3 218:8	59:22 60:7 62:13
intent 9:25 10:1,3	22:23 23:23 24:5	220:10,24	118:18,23 119:20
29:1,1 31:16	24:11,13,18 25:12	issued 89:1 211:13	120:22 122:5,5
155:7,14 156:5	145:1,7 147:12,14	211:14	123:8,11,17
161:5 166:8 175:7	148:4,5 151:23	issues 5:17,23 7:12	150:12,20 151:9
175:22 176:11	152:3,4,11,12,14	7:18 126:9 134:13	151:17
177:24,25 178:2	152:17,20 157:19	191:12,15 214:13	joel's 9:2 18:1
216:19	161:22 171:7,20		121:1 125:17

[jog - know] Page 25

jog 38:7	211:2	jury's 45:6 54:11	209:3
join 35:7 161:3	jurors 7:17 81:1	78:14	kinds 102:10
193:15,16 209:25	95:13 128:13,19	justice 50:17 81:1	122:12
joined 160:25	153:11 182:23	146:19	kinks 153:2,21
163:14,19 164:8	183:7,10,12,18	justification 49:14	knew 10:16 11:3
164:22 165:2,8,15	184:8 185:15	49:16 50:2,5	15:1 17:14 26:5
joint 194:3 198:1,1	206:16 210:21	51:11,13,23 54:14	28:6 35:10 36:15
jordan 203:1,1,5	jury 1:6 3:7 6:11	62:25 63:4 78:19	37:22 65:5 107:9
judge 1:7 6:6 7:1	6:12,20 7:3,6	119:24,25 120:5,7	115:2 155:1 156:2
9:12 10:5 22:14	23:13 28:24 34:20	120:8,12 121:8,9	159:23 163:17,24
24:8 32:11 36:22	45:5,23 47:24	122:1 178:10	163:25 164:8,11
37:16 49:6 50:13	48:17,24 49:6,13	180:4,16,22 216:3	165:13 174:13
51:24 63:16 64:9	49:15,16,17 51:2	216:7,19,24 217:2	176:8
64:18 72:11 77:24	55:19 56:5 64:8	218:25 219:25	knocks 24:24
78:12 84:23 90:9	64:19 71:2 74:21	220:21	know 9:4,18,23
95:24 116:21	75:17 78:1 79:15	justified 50:21	10:1 11:9,14
122:17 153:5	79:20 80:16 94:22	120:13,15 178:13	12:21 13:11 14:5
193:5 212:19	95:2,20 96:13	178:18,23 179:2	14:6,13,15 15:12
214:6 222:3,7,14	97:6,9 126:24	k	16:5,17,19,23 17:8
judge's 46:23	127:17 128:12	katherine 2:7	17:15,24,25 18:19
48:25 51:21 56:1	134:15 166:1,19	224:3,10	18:19,20 19:1
90:4	169:15 181:14,17	keep 34:2 59:10	20:8 21:8,11,14,18
judges 81:3	183:2,23 185:20	86:1 94:2 183:6	22:6 23:3,11
136:13 141:23	185:25 186:15,25	206:2 209:8,14	26:19,20 27:1,5,6
144:3	187:10 188:15,17	223:1	28:10,25 29:1
judgment 80:16	188:18 189:2	keeps 61:18,20	30:2,3,9,9,10 31:1
108:5,6 137:2	191:24 192:15	87:6	31:10,14 32:16,24
138:14 196:16	193:12 195:10	kept 53:12,15	33:11 34:3,5 35:3
judicial 128:21	196:24 198:16	56:19 60:4 164:12	36:3,20 38:2,3,16
jump 56:17 57:10	199:23 201:7	key 113:24	39:8,10,13,15 40:2
57:11 70:21	202:22 203:5,16	keys 43:2	40:4,5,15,18 41:12
jumped 41:23	204:5,5,9,11,22	kids 44:15	42:16 48:1 50:17
juries 80:22 81:13	205:10,25 206:7	kill 52:22 53:9	52:8,10,10,20 53:7
juror 181:22	206:23 207:9,12	killed 55:10,11,12	54:17 56:4,6,22
184:9 200:9	207:19 209:15,22	kind 41:23 50:4	60:25 61:3,4,4
204:14 205:6	209:22 210:4,6	51:25 54:16 63:8	62:15,17 65:4,5,20
206:22 207:2,15	211:11,21 212:5	64:18 65:18 72:15	65:21 67:15,16,17
207:21,21 208:3	212:20 213:11,19	87:9 89:19 91:15	67:23,24,25 68:5
208:15,17,19,21	215:21 216:24	91:16 98:24	69:25 71:21 75:7
208:21,23 209:3,7	217:14 218:3,5	150:25 151:5	75:9,14,22 77:15
209:9,13 210:3	221:14	160:9 199:17	80:12 81:5,10,21

[know - lights] Page 26

02 17 04 2 10 21	104 00 00 105 0	02 0 110 0 106 02	107 1 110 14 10
82:15 84:2,18,21	124:22,23 125:9	93:8 112:2 126:23	105:1 110:14,18
85:7,9,13,14 86:2	137:8 139:5,13,16	127:7,12,13 136:5	lectern 200:25
86:11,13,16,21,22	140:1,17 155:14	141:25 142:1,1,6	left 7:11 15:21
87:1,4,14,21,23	166:8 168:25	142:11 144:23,24	16:1,3,4,12 19:23
89:7 90:12 92:9	174:19 175:10,14	152:24 157:4	20:11 34:1 54:6
93:24 94:15 97:10	175:15,17,17,22	170:15 177:10	58:7,10 65:3
98:15,21,23,24	known 17:22	181:12 182:18	105:13 106:7
100:2,3 101:3	25:16 68:6 76:1	194:8 196:11	123:12 186:20
102:12,15 103:14	86:10 111:21	220:19,21 221:3,7	217:9 218:10
103:19 104:14	123:2 154:9	lawful 121:20	lefty 58:4,5
105:23 107:8	162:12 173:16	139:19 179:16,18	legal 7:12 119:1
108:7 110:3	189:9	lawyer 133:16	141:8 158:2,18,19
111:15,16 112:20	knows 12:7 13:17	lawyers 131:23,24	legally 185:8
113:11 114:17	13:18 16:22 17:2	132:1,2,15,18	legend 39:15,15
115:1 116:15	28:16 30:3,9,18	134:4,7 185:2	76:20
117:7 118:19	39:6 75:25 86:18	lead 135:7	legitimate 142:5
119:15,16,17	134:23 207:5	leads 50:4 132:11	legs 90:14
125:7,18,24 126:2	krasdale 24:4	lean 87:18	lemonade 24:22
126:3 137:6	1	leans 17:6 87:16	24:25
153:18 169:11,23	1 221:16	leap 68:14,15 71:2	length 93:19
198:5,22 205:13	lab 54:25	learning 124:25	lesser 142:4
205:13,22 208:25	lacheen 1:16	175:20	letters 76:19
209:16,24 213:1	lack 130:23 141:6	leave 17:6,7,9	level 45:9 55:15
213:20 216:3	184:6	31:13 34:16 35:24	liability 25:15
218:12 221:3	ladies 45:5 93:1	36:10,16 38:11	31:22 36:21,23
knowing 27:15	106:20 126:20	54:18 87:8 93:20	109:7 116:22
52:18 56:12,13	134:2	106:20 116:4,6,11	154:2 157:10,10
155:24 161:1		124:24 125:2,8	158:19 173:5,7
165:9 176:4	lady 50:17 59:13	175:19 206:4,22	193:24 198:3
194:23	laptop 95:14 199:4 205:11 211:12	214:12 219:19	license 42:16
knowingly 142:15	213:13	220:10	lies 54:19
147:25 155:4		leaves 9:4 14:19	life 11:2,5 23:17
161:18 163:13	larger 76:12 late 79:11 94:13	15:13,23,24 25:8	23:18 62:1 81:19
171:3 175:4		27:6 34:13 36:9	91:3,4 129:5
knowledge 35:12	94:17	68:7,8 104:12,15	130:22
35:14,14,16 36:18	latex 54:21	104:19,25 105:9	life's 56:2,7 78:2
45:21 85:5 106:16	law 1:14 8:10 9:12	110:14,15 120:6	lifts 14:1 108:24
106:17 107:16	22:15 25:16,17	120:11 121:11	108:25,25
113:25 114:2,5,9	26:1,2 29:19 35:5	126:2 220:3,25	light 132:7
114:20,23 116:5,9	35:6,6 37:13	leaving 21:20,20	lights 38:5 87:2,3
116:19 124:19,20	48:17 50:11 58:11	36:17 43:21 73:1	87:5
,	63:15 70:3 90:3		

[likewise - matter] Page 27

likewise 164:19	55:9,17 58:2	looking 48:15	male 65:1 80:21
limited 133:4,6	59:25 62:13 71:24	58:23 102:8	males 66:8 67:1
220:23	76:21	106:19 150:22	man 23:21 24:25
limousines 94:10	location 38:20	207:4 221:4	25:7 43:19 75:21
line 41:1 117:6	41:12	looks 32:25 36:2	75:22 90:20,20,21
187:4 192:5,9	lock 14:25	65:16 98:15 100:2	91:8
lines 18:17 22:22	locked 15:9 17:18	102:8 106:25	manager 11:20
23:25 24:6 187:4	54:12 55:17	214:15	12:2 118:1
linked 128:4	locking 17:21	lot 24:15 36:20	manner 136:20
linkedin 184:20	logic 130:17	39:10 47:4,15	137:9 162:14
list 96:9,23 97:5,8	logical 135:10	48:21 49:2,16	mannered 12:13
97:12,15 212:4,8	long 6:9 35:10	53:1,5,22 57:7,9	manpower 20:16
214:11	67:10 77:22 81:7	57:10 59:8 62:16	maranna 2:2
listen 41:14 42:20	81:15 86:24 92:20	64:2 77:22 85:20	march 4:24 11:14
47:5,6 183:5,7,18	152:25 158:4	87:12,13 112:11	98:22,25 99:9
206:10 209:19	213:1,2 215:20	119:9,23 124:19	102:13 112:21,22
listened 80:10,11	222:2	144:19,20	113:8 146:5
listening 83:9	longer 104:19	loud 12:16 88:11	157:16 186:24
literally 114:15	look 10:1 18:1	louder 9:10	187:10
123:14	19:20 28:5,14	lower 180:7	mark 186:4,9
little 4:17 12:13,13	29:24 33:9,15	lowest 50:11,12	market 1:24 2:24
24:7 25:3 47:14	34:6 35:20,20	ludicrous 120:16	marks 55:14 60:17
48:12 50:23,25	36:1 40:25 50:14	lunch 5:11 8:9,10	maroon 41:23
52:12,20 61:17	55:5 59:8,11 60:4	8:11,13 93:2	martin 1:10 3:3
64:10 79:11 83:4	65:11 67:12,13	97:22	5:18 6:15,22 8:16
93:4 94:17 95:20	69:7,17 70:23	m	8:18,20,22 43:10
112:9 122:6,7	72:7,7,8,8,9,10,11	ma'am 41:22	81:11 87:10
134:1 153:4,14,22	72:13,13,14,14	ma am 41:22 machiavellian	214:23 215:3
173:18 189:2	73:3 77:7 80:6	90:24	222:4
194:16 219:8	83:20,21 99:11,25	90.24 machine 69:5	martin's 83:11
live 76:14 77:1	102:2,5 104:20	99:22 100:1,9,17	mask 76:19 98:17
199:17	106:5,7,8,8,13,18	101:17 107:8	mathematical
lived 75:6	108:22,23 113:5	110:8 111:6	130:13
livelihood 44:15	115:16,16 116:10	mad 105:20	matter 9:24 12:24
lives 44:14 76:3,6	118:24 121:7	123:10	22:1,8 30:15,16
86:10	122:15 141:5	main 218:18	39:17,18 48:11
living 75:1	150:17 166:16,22	major 164:4	50:1 52:9 74:21
llp 1:17	196:4 213:24	making 19:7 27:17	75:18 77:7 118:15
loaded 52:13,14	217:4	28:2 51:2 61:15	118:16 124:11
52:17,18,21,23,23	looked 5:19 66:12	67:25 89:23 146:1	125:21 136:23
53:9 54:12,13	69:8	180:24	138:4 142:16

[matter - minutes] Page 28

189:19 197:1	200:5 219:6	meeting 162:16	216:10
216:22 224:7	220:16	melan 207:11	microphones
matters 30:19,20	meaning 24:14	member 88:13	216:14
130:21 142:17	26:3 52:5 149:19	148:16 158:2	mid 1:23 2:23
maurice 1:5 4:19	means 22:21,24	160:2 164:1	79:11
4:22 8:24 9:4	34:20 35:14,16	166:12 168:12	midafternoon
10:10,18,20 11:3,6	36:25 50:20 89:5	members 158:4	153:14
11:10,18,25 12:4	114:2 119:2,2	159:2,5,8 160:22	middle 17:10
13:2,8,18,23 15:13	120:8 124:22	161:10 162:3,10	21:10 24:22 111:2
16:13,14,19 17:23	127:24 128:14	162:12 163:1	199:14
19:2 20:1,2,13,20	129:19 130:4	164:13,16 167:16	mild 12:13
20:21 21:15,20	148:12 149:6	168:14,20 210:7,7	miles 214:2
22:4 24:19,24	158:12 162:6	memories 47:11	milling 103:21
26:3 27:6,21 28:5	171:5,7,14,22	memory 47:2	mimicking 115:19
28:11,25,25 29:4	172:24 175:17	137:8 184:3,9	mind 10:1 84:6,9
30:14,23 31:2,8,11	180:9 184:12	men 21:8 23:8,20	84:10 127:19
32:3 34:10 35:2,4	189:7 208:23	65:1	150:11,17,18,24
35:11,22,25 36:9	meant 85:14	mental 165:4	151:11 153:5
36:15 37:25 38:16	132:18 191:14	190:6	178:2 183:7,9,12
40:16,22 43:17	214:7 220:17	mention 46:14	206:2
144:25 146:13	measured 189:18	63:14 137:4	minds 74:19
147:19,25 148:3	media 127:25	171:12	162:16 183:25
148:25 149:4,11	184:15	mentioned 105:23	mine 217:10
149:14,17,24	meehan 2:2 3:5	menu 37:11	minimal 24:15
150:10,13 151:2,7	5:8,9,11 6:4 8:3,4	merchandise	152:9,21
151:16 154:11	29:9 79:13 90:7	152:7	minor 4:8 192:12
157:17,21,23	93:3,7 95:5,7 96:1	mere 49:8 171:8	201:11,14 202:12
158:8,17,24,25	96:3,13,16,18,25	merely 155:22,23	205:19 217:6
159:2,8,13,19,22	97:3,7,11,16 98:5	155:23 176:2,3,3	minute 17:7 21:23
160:1,4,11,22,25	98:7,9 117:11	message 185:8	22:15 41:8,10
161:4 163:10,24	190:20,23 192:1	messages 184:24	59:18 101:15
164:3 165:1,5,12	193:15,23 194:10	185:10	103:8 105:7,7
165:15 166:5,7	194:13,18 195:7	messaging 128:3	153:6
167:19 168:19,24	195:18,22 196:20	184:18	minutes 11:7
170:13,19,24	196:23 197:9,12	met 78:9 141:4	36:12,13,14 39:23
173:21 182:1,7,12	197:22,25 198:8	162:4 220:22	39:24,24 40:21
186:18 187:2,12	200:2,23 201:4	method 149:14	43:11 46:18 51:13
193:25 196:13	204:3 210:17	methods 149:12	65:13 79:10,12,17
mean 35:14 72:4	212:7 214:19	mic 200:25 201:5	152:23 153:12
88:22,22 130:12	218:9,13	michael 2:6 79:13	169:13 170:3
142:2 189:18		203:1 215:10,11	189:18 213:10

[minutes - never] Page 29

215:10	28:11,19,19,20,20	moved 6:10	159:20 208:10
mislead 47:12	28:21 29:5,11,18	movement 54:5	211:16,19 213:7
73:12	29:22 30:1,4,7,8,9	152:6	necessity 178:13
misread 201:11	30:16,24 31:6,9	moves 111:24	178:18,23 179:2
missed 25:12	32:3 36:11 43:20	movie 153:18,19	180:4,16,22
mission 17:13	69:25 70:1 71:12	movies 25:23	need 5:14 23:12
misspoke 218:21	84:25 85:6,22	85:13 91:2	26:2 32:15 44:10
misstate 47:8	92:6,7 98:19,20	moving 148:4	56:15 70:20 75:14
mistake 101:14	99:16,19,20,22	multiple 40:19	77:11,12 92:21
202:7	100:7,9,11,11,12	98:23 120:22	95:9,21 97:8,12,14
mistaken 138:1	101:21,21,25,25	146:11	111:4,4,5 114:23
misunderstanding	102:22,23 104:1,9	muslim 59:13	114:24 118:23,23
8:23 9:6 11:22	102.22,23 104.1,9	75:21,22	121:18 149:7
22:12,13 44:19	104.10 109.10,17	must've 107:18,24	153:10 156:24
mobile 40:6	111:5,16 117:23	108:10 114:22	157:3 163:23
112:12	118:5,18,21,22	mutual 37:4	177:5,9,11 179:14
modify 168:9	118:5,18,21,22	161:19 162:15,21	202:12 207:22
			213:18,18 219:21
moment 13:1,17	124:1,3,3 152:7	myspace 128:4	,
14:5,6,7,14,21,23	money's 23:10	184:20	221:5,7,8
15:4,18,18 16:18	monitor 76:13	n	needed 4:25 14:23
16:20 17:9,14	105:13 106:8	n 3:1 4:1 98:1,1,1	15:2,18 17:19
18:6,10 19:1,16,19	month 71:1	203:2	208:19
19:24 20:3,7 21:7	morning 4:2,4,6	nail 82:10,12	needs 5:1,1 153:11
21:25 22:2 23:7,9	7:7,9 79:11 199:2	name 40:5 52:12	156:17 176:23
23:20 24:24 25:5	203:12,19 204:15	59:6,6 86:12	203:22 210:10
26:22,23 27:5,19	204:25 205:2,17	145:8 202:5,25,25	212:9,13
28:6 30:5,8,19,20	205:20 206:24	208:7,10	negate 123:3,4
30:25 34:3,24	207:17 208:14	named 162:9,11	negative 11:17
35:4,16,16,21 36:5	212:5 213:20	names 46:12 59:3	49:8 113:8
37:5,6,24,25 38:11	219:22	national 1:23 2:23	neighbor 67:20
39:4,23 40:18	mother 84:11	129:3	88:12
44:15 85:17 96:22	motion 104:21	natural 152:19	neighborhood
106:10 107:23	115:16,23,24	158:9 159:20	22:10 44:13 65:4
monday 45:13	motive 137:11	nature 130:23	65:24,25 86:14
		1	125:20,22
money 9:5 10:16	177:16,17,18,19	172:7	· ·
10:19,21,24 11:4	177:21,23,25	172:7 near 146:9	never 9:25 14:1,1
10:19,21,24 11:4 11:18 12:19,20,20	177:21,23,25 178:1		never 9:25 14:1,1 36:9,9 38:16
10:19,21,24 11:4 11:18 12:19,20,20 12:22 13:13,14,14	177:21,23,25 178:1 motives 178:4,5	near 146:9	never 9:25 14:1,1 36:9,9 38:16 51:18 58:7 62:3,9
10:19,21,24 11:4 11:18 12:19,20,20 12:22 13:13,14,14 13:15 20:14 21:6	177:21,23,25 178:1 motives 178:4,5 move 36:9 38:10	near 146:9 necessarily 80:19	never 9:25 14:1,1 36:9,9 38:16 51:18 58:7 62:3,9 62:9 63:23 75:21
10:19,21,24 11:4 11:18 12:19,20,20 12:22 13:13,14,14 13:15 20:14 21:6 23:2,9 24:23 26:4	177:21,23,25 178:1 motives 178:4,5 move 36:9 38:10 116:15 169:25	near 146:9 necessarily 80:19 138:19 142:2 164:22	never 9:25 14:1,1 36:9,9 38:16 51:18 58:7 62:3,9 62:9 63:23 75:21 75:23 81:16 101:2
10:19,21,24 11:4 11:18 12:19,20,20 12:22 13:13,14,14 13:15 20:14 21:6	177:21,23,25 178:1 motives 178:4,5 move 36:9 38:10	near 146:9 necessarily 80:19 138:19 142:2	never 9:25 14:1,1 36:9,9 38:16 51:18 58:7 62:3,9 62:9 63:23 75:21

[never - oh] Page 30

102 11 102 11 10	70 17 75 4 04 16	-1-1'4' 100.00	176 4 0 10 10 17
103:11 123:11,12	70:17 75:4 94:16	obligation 129:20	176:4,8,12,13,17
143:2,3 160:7	120:16 137:4	129:22	176:22 177:1,4,6,8
182:14 221:3	138:19 141:24	obnoxious 102:6	182:2,5 185:16
new 12:14 24:3,4	146:17 185:15	110:10 117:6	188:8,9,9,23 189:6
39:12 42:18	191:24 209:16,17	obstruct 152:10	191:9 196:25
newspaper 206:14	218:19	obstructed 148:5	220:24
nice 81:12 91:12	numbers 138:22	obtain 151:25	offenses 121:14,21
99:6,7 100:24	0	obtained 149:5	122:16,16,23
113:13	o 4:1 98:1,1,1	151:2,8,13	129:8,13 130:2,5
nicole 1:10	203:2 221:16	obtaining 148:18	131:1 146:4,9,12
night 40:12 58:23	o'brien 108:1	obvious 4:25	146:17 147:8
77:18 82:16 83:2	o'clock 213:7	obviously 23:6	157:14 174:23
94:13	oath 199:5 202:21	31:17 45:14,20	177:17 178:14,17
nine 61:1,1 68:25	object 6:20 60:18	46:13 51:6 100:2	178:21,23 179:3
69:1,22 75:16	164:18 219:3	150:15	179:12,17 181:7
nobody's 36:16,17		occasion 185:14	181:13 186:2,8
normal 91:21	objected 132:16 133:16	202:6	offer 140:3
normally 93:11		occupation 129:4	offered 132:16
149:20,21	objection 6:1,3,4	occurred 64:6	139:25 181:1
note 93:20 94:18	132:22,25 133:7	133:11 134:22	222:7,14
94:20 144:14	133:17,18 190:15	ocean 37:3	offering 96:14
170:1 194:3	191:6 192:21	odd 111:1,1	98:13
204:13,24	193:25 194:14,21	offence 155:2	office 1:11 212:24
notebooks 206:22	194:21 197:11	offense 55:18	officer 38:4,8,12
noted 197:11	198:19 200:18,20	63:10 121:15	38:18,25 39:2,5,6
notes 46:25 47:1,2	200:21,23 204:17	131:3,5,7 146:7,8	41:3 54:1,21 55:2
47:3,11,15,16	208:16 217:7	146:14,15,22,23	69:2 87:2,4 126:4
73:20 74:6,7	221:9	146:24 147:2,6,8	142:1 185:24
80:10 184:1,2,3,7	objections 132:1,2	152:19 154:3,4,6,7	199:6 202:14,15
184:8	132:18,23 191:13	152.19 154.3,4,0,7	202:21,23 203:1,5
notice 64:23 92:7	193:20 200:1	154.10,21,22	202.21,23 203.1,3
noticed 80:10	215:21		officers 41:5 55:7
	objective 37:7	156:3,7,8,11,16,20	
82:16 83:3	158:6 159:16	156:23,25 157:22	87:1 141:25
notices 69:21	160:8 161:1,3,6,21	158:2 159:9,11,13	143:14,16 201:17
notify 188:17	162:5,7 163:18,20	159:17,23,25	201:23 203:7
notifying 185:23	163:22 164:9,10	160:6,12,16,19	offices 1:14
notion 127:11	165:9,10,13,16,20	161:2,7,22 167:23	official 185:1
november 224:16	166:15 168:1	167:25 168:1,3	188:18 224:5
nuances 24:8	objectives 161:11	170:16,19 173:10	officials 184:12
number 40:9,9,17	167:17 168:17,22	173:11,13,14	oh 10:21 14:16
40:17,20,23 41:11	10	174:4,8,9,14 175:8	27:8 57:15 64:15

[oh - part] Page 31

67:23 68:13 74:19 87:11 89:4 100:14 87:11 89:4 100:14 107:23 108:10 118:4 119:8 125:7 144:19 153:24 192:7 198:4 200:5 201:4 202:20 205:25 211:13 214:6 00kay 6:17 42:20 45:12 53:15 57:18 58:17 60:13 69:7 69:18 74:15 85:25 88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17 129:3 188:19 221:29,18,19,24 129:29,18,19,24 201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 200:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 200:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 200:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 200:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 200:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 200:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 217:16 18 194:22 216:15 221:19,21 217:16 18 194:22 218:23 131:12 218:29 0nce 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 180:13 0nce 3:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 180:13 151:25 154:14 0nce's 33:23 0nce 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 0pen 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:10 107:24 112:9 0ntdoor 73:7 114:19 0ntdoor 73:7 114:19 0ntdoor 73:7 114:19 0ntdoor 73:7 114:19 0ntloor 73:7 114:19 97:21 88:4 00:13 14:19 0ntloor 73:7 114:19 97:21 88:4 00:10 17:20 0ntloor 73:7 114:19 97:21 88:4 00:10 17:20 0ntloor 73:7 114:19 97:21 88:4 00:11 17:20 0ntloor 73:7 114:19 97:21 88:4 00:22 23:6 00 0ntloor 73:7 114:19 97:21 88:4 00:21 11:23 116:11 119:2 117:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:20 124:24 01:		I		_
107:23 108:10	67:23 68:13 74:19	opens 119:18	ordinary 130:18	owned 11:25
118:4 119:8 125:7		-		
144:19 153:24 192:7 198:4 200:5 201:4 202:20 205:25 211:13 129:1 134:13 121:46 129:1 134:13 140:11,3,4,6,9 20 200:20 45:12 53:15 57:18 140:11,3,4,6,9 20 200:20 245:12 53:15 57:18 140:11,2,15,16 140:19,20,21,23 20 200:20 210:17 210:20 23:8 28:4 22:5 24:17 20:8 207:1 20:13 214:17 20:13 214:17 20:13 214:17 20:13 214:17 20:13 214:17 20:13 214:17 20:13 214:17 20:13 214:17 20:13 27:18 81:8 82:9 20:14 20:12 22:25 223:4 20:18 207:1 20:21 132:				
192:7 198:4 200:5 201:4 202:20 205:25 211:13 129:1 134:13 121:46 204:20 45:12 53:15 57:18 58:17 60:13 69:7 69:18 74:15 85:25 88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17	118:4 119:8 125:7	71:24		67:20 68:17 72:9
201:4 202:20 opinion 127:11 cought 127:12 owners 80:22 205:25 211:13 214:6 opinion 127:11 129:1 134:13 140:1,3,4,6,9 opinions 137:11 142:9 outcome 137:11 142:9 pp 4:1 221:16 p p 4:1 221:16 p p 4:1 221:16 p p 4:1 221:16 pm 1:14:19 p 4:1 221:16 pm 1:14:19 pm 4:1 221:16 pm 1:14:19 pm 4:1 221:16 pm 4:1 221:16 pm 1:14:19 pm 4:1 221:16	144:19 153:24	operator 2:6 82:1	originally 16:18	72:20 73:7 75:20
December 2013	192:7 198:4 200:5	operators 38:15	30:6 216:17	75:23 84:8
214:6 okay 6:17 42:20 45:12 53:15 57:18 58:17 60:13 69:7 69:18 74:15 85:25 88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17 192:9,18,19,24 198:7,8 199:7,24 201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 222:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 31:14 94:1 one 16:24 17:1,2 21:13 9:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 open d 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 70:7 oral ais: ordinarily 139:21 140:13,34,6,9 outdoor 73:7 114:19 outdoors 69:23 outnumbering 23:8 28:4 outside 9:17 15:6 15:12 17:23 31:3 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 70:6 91:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 114:19 0utnumbering 23:8 28:4 0utside 9:17 15:6 15:12 17:23 31:3 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 114:19 0utnumbering 23:8 28:4 0utside 9:17 15:6 15:12 17:23 31:3 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 70:6 91:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 110:4 215:04 170:4 215:04:14 223:6 00thors 69:23 0utnumbering 23:8 28:4 00tside 9:17 15:6 15:12 17:23 31:3 15:21 17:23 31:3 10:13 10:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 110:10 107:19 100:4, 215:04 170:4 215:04 170:10 47	201:4 202:20	opinion 127:11	ought 127:12	owners 80:22
214:6 okay 6:17 42:20 45:12 53:15 57:18 58:17 60:13 69:7 69:18 74:15 85:25 88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17 192:9,18,19,24 198:7,8 199:7,24 201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 222:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 32:3 0ne's 106:17 114:19,12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 70:7 oral 162:1 210:7 corder 7:21 21:3 corder 7:21 2	205:25 211:13	129:1 134:13	outcome 137:11	р
okay 6:17 42:20 45:12 53:15 57:18 58:17 60:13 69:7 69:18 74:15 85:25 88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17 192:9,18,19,24 198:7,8 199:7,24 201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 209:13 214:17 209:13 214:17 221:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 120:11,22 182:25 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 ones 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 1153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 70:7 45:12 53:15 57:18 140:11,12,15,16 140:19,20,21,23 outdoors 69:23 outnumbering 23:8 28:4 outside 9:17 15:6 15:12 17:23 31:3 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 70:6 91:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 11:7 39:19 40:13 41:1.2,5,7 79:19 97:21 98:2 170:4 170:4 215:14,14 223:6 0r1 170:4 215:14,14 223:6 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 70:6 91:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 11:7 39:19 40:13 41:1.2,5,7 79:19 97:21 98:2 170:4 170:4 215:14,14 223:6 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 70:6 91:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 11:7 39:19 40:13 41:1.2,5,7 79:19 97:21 98:2 170:4 170:4 215:14,14 223:6 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 70:6 91:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 11:7 39:19 40:13 41:1.2,5,7 79:19 97:21 98:2 170:4 170:4 215:14,14 223:6 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 114:19 22:5,24 pack 42:9 43:5 page 3:2 166:21 167:1 187:17 190:6,9,12,25 116:21 167:1 187:17 190:6,9,12,25 116:21 100:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 11:7 39:19 40:13 41:1.2,5,7 79:19 97:21 98:2 170:4 170:4 215:14,14 223:6 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 114:16,22,22 112:20:23:5 114:18 223:6 67:15,17,17,22 112:20:23:13 114:16,22,22 116:12 17:23 31:3 114:16,22,22 116:12 10:15 116:11 11:7 39:19 40:13 41:1.2,5,7 79:19 97:21 98:2 170:4 170:4 215:14,14 223:6 67:15,17,17,22 116:21 107:19 107:24 108:11 107:23 13:3 109:5,6,7 111:23 114:16,22,22 116:21 109:6,9,12,25 116:21 110:19 107:4 215:04 170:4 215:14,14 120:23 100:10:10 110:10 11:10 11:7 39:19 40:13 41:1.2,5,7 79:19 97:21 98:2 170:4 170:4 215:14,14 120:23 109:5,6,7 111:23 109:5,6,7 111:23 109:5,6,7	214:6	140:1,3,4,6,9	142:9	
45:12 55:15 57:18 58:17 60:13 69:7 69:18 74:15 85:25 88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17 192:9,18,19,24 198:7,8 199:7,24 201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 2216:15 221:19,21 222:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 120:11,22 182:25 120:11,22 182:25 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 ones 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 116:11 119:12 116:11 119:12 50ened 119:7,15 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 70:7 410:19,20,21,23 ontunumbering 23:8 28:4 outside 9:17 15:6 15:12 17:23 31:3 67:15,17,17,22 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 70:6 91:10 107:19 68:4,6,7,11,13,25 70:6 91:10 107:19 7	okay 6:17 42:20	opinions 139:22	outdoor 73:7	_ -
58:17 60:13 69:7 69:18 74:15 85:25 88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17 118:10 120:17 129:9,18,19,24 198:7,8 199:7,24 201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 222:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 32:3 one's 33:23 one's 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:3 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 50:15 74:16 79:7 140:19,20,21,23 ountumbered 19:9 outnumbered 19:9 outside 9:17 15:6 outside 9:17 15:6 outside 9:17 15:6 outside 9:17 15:6 0itside 9	45:12 53:15 57:18	140:11,12,15,16	114:19	_
opportunity 8:7 88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17 192:9,18,19,24 198:7,8 199:7,24 201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 222:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:3 9:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 50:15 74:16 79:7	58:17 60:13 69:7	140:19,20,21,23	outdoors 69:23	
88:15 94:24 118:8 118:10 120:17 192:9,18,19,24 198:7,8 199:7,24 201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 222:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 ones 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7	69:18 74:15 85:25	opportunity 8:7	outnumbered 19:9	
118:10 120:17 19:29,18,19,24 19:29,18,19,24 19:29,18,19,24 125:2 126:22 137:5 175:19 179:16,18 194:22 20:15 221:19,21 20:15 221:19,21 20:22:25 223:4 20ic 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 20ic 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 20ic 53:23 20ic 16:21 17:23 15:25 154:14 20ic 53:23 20ic 16:11 10:12 163:9 165:5 21:1 39:1 109:13 16:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 203:14 74:15 203:14,15 211:18 203:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 46:5,8 55:24 46:5,8 55:24 47:16,79:7 203:14,15 74:16,79:7 203:15,74:16,	88:15 94:24 118:8	35:17,18 36:14,16	outnumbering	
192:9,18,19,24 125:2 124:24 125:2 126:22 137:5 175:19 179:16,18 194:22 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 222:25 223:4 222:25 223:4 222:25 223:4 222:25 223:4 222:25 223:4 222:25 223:4 222:25 223:4 222:25 223:4 222:25 223:4 237:5 181:8 180:13 238:29 245:14,15 21:18 209:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 203:11 147:11,13 209:14 192:13 209:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 209:14,15 211:18 209:15 74:16 79:7 211:13,15,16 209:15 74:16 79:7 211:13,15,16 209:15 74:16 79:7 211:13,15,16 209:15 74:16 79:7 211:18,15;16 209:15 74:16 79:7 211:18,15;16 209:15 74:16 79:7 211:18,15;16 209:15 74:16 79:7 211:18,15;16 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:15 74:16 79:7 209:13 214:17 209:15 74:16 79:7 2	118:10 120:17	69:16 117:13	23:8 28:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
123:2 126:22	192:9,18,19,24	121:20 124:24	outside 9:17 15:6	
201:8 207:1 209:13 214:17 216:15 221:19,21 222:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 one's 33:23 one 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 46:5,8 55:24 50:13 71:19 179:16,18 194:22 opposed 80:23 opposite 106:17 109:5,6,7 111:23 128:23 131:12 132:5 135:18 188:10 201:16 pages 190:4,4,14 paid 68:17 80:6 199:20 paper 184:25 papi 103:8 paragraph 166:16 166:22,25 167:1 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:21 107:24 108:11 109:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 198:10 201:16 199:20 100:4,11 109:11 100:21 100:23 100:4,11 109:11 100:21 100:21 1100:10 107:19 107:24 108:11 114:16,22,22 128:23 131:12 132:5 135:18 180:13 198:10 201:16 199:20 199:20 190:4,4,14 190:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 198:10 201:16 199:20 190:4,4,14 190:21 100:21 100:21 100:4:10 107:19 100:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 198:10 201:16 190:20:11 100:21 100:4:10:11 110:11	198:7,8 199:7,24	125:2 126:22	15:12 17:23 31:3	_
Top:16,18 194:22	201:8 207:1	137:5 175:19	67:15,17,17,22	1
opposed 80:23 222:25 223:4 old 50:18 74:25 75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 ones 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7	209:13 214:17	179:16,18 194:22	68:4,6,7,11,13,25	l -
222:25 223:4 opposite 106:17 107:24 108:11 107:24 108:11 107:24 108:11 190:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:0,6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:0,6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:0,6,9,12,25 191:25 192:2,13 190:0,4,4,14 pages 190:4,4,14 paid 68:17 80:6 199:20 pages 190:4,4,14 paid 68:17 80:6 199:20 paper 184:25 papi 103:8 paragraph 166:16 199:20 paper 184:25 papi 103:8 paragraph 166:16 166:22,25 167:1 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:21 overcome 182:3 overcome 182:3 paragraph 166:16 166:22,25 167:1 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:4 192:4 194:24 190:4 192:4 188:18 190:21 180:14 180:13 180:14 180:23 190:21 180:21 180:14 180:21 190:21 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:4 192:4 194:24 190:4 192:4 194:24 190:4 192:4 194:24 190:4 192:4 194:24 190:4 192:4	216:15 221:19,21	opposed 80:23	70:6 91:10 107:19	
old 50:18 74:25 109:5,6,7 111:23 114:16,22,22 190:6,9,12,23 75:8 77:18 81:8 180:13 120:13 122:5 135:18 198:10 201:16 199:20 199:21 190:21 190:21 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 190	222:25 223:4		107:24 108:11	
75:8 77:18 81:8 82:9 once 31:7 47:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 ones 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7	old 50:18 74:25		114:16,22,22	' ' '
82:9 options 37:12 37:12 oral 162:1 211:15 132:5 135:18 185:24 188:18 203:5 pages 190:4,4,14 paid 68:17 80:6 199:20 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 ones 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7 132:5 135:18 185:24 188:18 203:5 outweighed 140:23 overall 161:21 190:21 overcome 182:3 overcomes 129:12 overcomes 129:12 overheard 132:24 overly 110:11 overceat 86:4 overly 110:11 overreact 86:4 overly 110:11 overreact 86:4 overreacts 123:18 overled 132:25 overt 161:11 16:1111 16:1111 17 ordered 133:20 ordered 133:20 ordered 133:20 ordered 133:20 orders 114:8 ordinarily 139:21 oved 92:11 111:17 132:5 135:18 185:24 188:18 203:5 outweighed 140:23 overall 161:21 190:21 140:23 overcomes 129:12 overcomes 129:12 overheard 132:24 overly 110:11 100:7,11 191:8,24 192:4 194:24 pardon 111:12 130:8 219:5 parens 217:17 part 62:24 87:7 92:1 95:19 105:20 106:24 116:21 127:2 136:18 150:3 156:25	75:8 77:18 81:8	I .	128:23 131:12	
once 31:747:17 54:20 63:2,22,22 120:11,22 182:25 184:10 220:24 one's 33:23 ones 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7	82:9	options 37:12	132:5 135:18	
34:20 63:2,22,22 order 7:21 21:3 203:5 199:20 120:11,22 182:25 50:3 51:11 99:20 130:1 147:11,13 140:23 paper 184:25 one's 33:23 151:25 154:14 156:13,16 158:16 190:21 paper 184:25 82:14 94:1 160:10 161:11 overcome 182:3 paper 184:25 open 16:24 17:1,2 163:9 165:5 overcome 182:3 190:7,11 191:8,24 21:1 39:1 109:13 170:18 174:1 overly 110:11 190:7,11 191:8,24 153:10 183:7 188:11,23 189:9 196:14,17 210:7 overreact 86:4 overreact 86:4 overreacts 123:18 overruled 132:25 parens 217:17 parens 217:17 part 62:24 87:7 92:1 95:19 105:20 106:24 116:21 106:24 116:21 127:2 136:18 150:3 156:25	once 31:7 47:17	oral 162:1 211:15	185:24 188:18	
120:11,22 182:25 50:3 51:11 99:20 outweighed 140:23 paper 184:25 one's 33:23 151:25 154:14 overall 161:21 paper 103:8 0nes 25:20 65:2 156:13,16 158:16 160:10 161:11 overcome 182:3 paragraph 166:16 0pen 16:24 17:1,2 163:9 165:5 overcomes 129:12 overcomes 129:12 21:1 39:1 109:13 170:18 174:1 overleard 132:24 overleard 132:24 153:10 183:7 188:11,23 189:9 overreact 86:4 overreact 86:4 0pened 119:7,15 196:14,17 210:7 overruled 132:25 overt 161:11 0pening 29:9 ordered 133:20 overt 161:11 166:10,13 190:10 45:14,15,17,25 ordinarily 139:21 owed 92:11 111:17	54:20 63:2,22,22	order 7:21 21:3	203:5	-
184:10 220:24 130:1 147:11,13 140:23 paper 184:23 one's 33:23 151:25 154:14 156:13,16 158:16 190:21 papi 103:8 ones 25:20 65:2 160:10 161:11 overcome 182:3 papi 103:8 open 16:24 17:1,2 163:9 165:5 overcome 182:3 190:21 166:22,25 167:1 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 190:7,11 191:8,24 16:11 119:12 176:19 180:14 overly 110:11 overly 110:11 130:8 219:5 153:10 183:7 188:11,23 189:9 196:14,17 210:7 overreact 86:4 overreact 86:4 overreact 133:18 opened 119:7,15 ordered 133:20 ordered 133:20 overt 161:11 166:10,13 190:10 106:24 116:21 46:5,8 55:24 ordinarily 139:21 owed 92:11 111:17 127:2 136:18		50:3 51:11 99:20	outweighed	
one's 33:23 151:25 154:14 overall 161:21 paragraph 166:16 82:14 94:1 150:10 161:11 overcome 182:3 paragraph 166:16 open 16:24 17:1,2 163:9 165:5 overcome 182:3 190:7,11 191:8,24 21:1 39:1 109:13 170:18 174:1 overheard 132:24 192:4 194:24 153:10 183:7 188:11,23 189:9 overly 110:11 overreact 86:4 overreact 86:4 203:14,15 211:18 196:14,17 210:7 overreact 161:11 overreact 161:11 106:24 87:7 opening 29:9 ordered 133:20 ordered 133:20 overt 161:11 166:10,13 190:10 106:24 116:21 45:14,15,17,25 orders 114:8 ordinarily 139:21 owed 92:11 111:17 150:3 156:25		130:1 147:11,13		
ones 25:20 65:2 82:14 94:1 160:10 161:11 open 16:24 17:1,2 21:1 39:1 109:13 170:18 174:1 116:11 119:12 176:19 180:14 153:10 183:7 188:11,23 189:9 203:14,15 211:18 196:14,17 210:7 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 ordered 46:5,8 55:24 ordinarily 59:15 74:16 79:7	one's 33:23	151:25 154:14	overall 161:21	
82:14 94:1 160:10 161:11 overcome 182:3 open 16:24 17:1,2 163:9 165:5 overcome 182:3 21:1 39:1 109:13 170:18 174:1 overheard 132:24 116:11 119:12 176:19 180:14 overly 110:11 153:10 183:7 188:11,23 189:9 overreact 86:4 overreact 86:4 203:14,15 211:18 196:14,17 210:7 overreact 86:4 overreact 123:18 opening 29:9 ordered 133:20 overt 161:11 166:10,13 190:10 45:14,15,17,25 orders 114:8 ordinarily 139:21 overt 111:17	ones 25:20 65:2	156:13,16 158:16	190:21	
open 16:24 17:1,2 163:9 165:5 overcomes 129:12 190:7,11 191:8,24 21:1 39:1 109:13 170:18 174:1 overheard 132:24 192:4 194:24 <t< td=""><td>82:14 94:1</td><td>160:10 161:11</td><td>overcome 182:3</td><td>1</td></t<>	82:14 94:1	160:10 161:11	overcome 182:3	1
21:1 39:1 109:13 116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18	open 16:24 17:1,2	163:9 165:5		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
116:11 119:12 153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7	_ *	170:18 174:1		
153:10 183:7 203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7		176:19 180:14		-
203:14,15 211:18 opened 119:7,15 opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7 196:14,17 210:7 211:13,15,16 ordered 133:20 ordered 133:20 orders 114:8 ordinarily 139:21 196:14,17 210:7 211:13,15,16 overruled 132:25 overt 161:11 166:10,13 190:10 owed 92:11 111:17 part 62:24 87:7 92:1 95:19 105:20 106:24 116:21 127:2 136:18 150:3 156:25			_	
opened 119:7,15 211:13,15,16 overruled 132:25 92:1 95:19 105:20 opening 29:9 ordered 133:20 overt 161:11 166:10,13 190:10 106:24 116:21 46:5,8 55:24 ordinarily 139:21 owed 92:11 111:17 150:3 156:25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_ A
opening 29:9 45:14,15,17,25 orders 114:8 46:5,8 55:24 ordinarily 139:21 59:15 74:16 79:7 ordinarily 139:21 overt 161:11 166:10,13 190:10 127:2 136:18 150:3 156:25				_ <u> </u>
45:14,15,17,25 46:5,8 55:24 59:15 74:16 79:7 orders 114:8 ordinarily 139:21 owed 92:11 111:17 owed 150:24 116:21 127:2 136:18 150:3 156:25		' '		
46:5,8 55:24 ordinarily 139:21 owed 92:11 111:17 150:3 156:25				
50:15 74:16 70:7			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
157:2 177:6,8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J 223.22		
				157:2177:6,8

[part - phrase] Page 32

189:8 194:7,8	81:8 91:11,24	91:4,15,17,19 92:2	personal 101:6
205:15	95:23,24 104:23	92:12 118:14,16	142:8 146:20
partake 63:24	105:3 190:18,19	118:25 119:3	148:10 150:6
participant 174:17	191:21,23 192:2,4	122:9 124:7	178:3
175:1 194:23	192:8,12,22 193:2	126:14 132:8	personally 154:4
participants 163:4	193:16,21 199:12	162:9 205:9 206:7	157:23 158:15
participate 25:18	200:21 203:25	perceive 82:12	173:11
26:15 117:1	210:12,13 211:8	perceives 82:11	persons 137:24
158:15 205:8	212:15 214:1,2,6	perfect 11:22,24	150:23 155:22
210:4	214:17,22,24	12:5	160:6,15 161:18
participated	215:2,4,7,25 216:5	perfectly 178:6	162:14 168:6
156:11 176:17	216:16,17 217:6,9	perform 128:24	176:2 216:20
participation	217:19 218:20	performed 155:11	persuaded 113:2
156:18 176:24	220:16 221:10,12	156:15 161:10	pertains 49:15
particular 93:19	221:21 222:2,8,12	166:13 168:15	peterson 2:7 224:3
147:1,2 149:13	222:16,22,25	174:23 176:21	224:10
152:15 178:3	223:4	period 4:25	phase 157:2 177:8
particularly 51:20	patterson's 83:17	permit 29:20	philadelphia 1:4
parties 131:20,23	pause 7:4 45:3,7	93:22 139:22	1:12,18,24 2:3,5
139:18 151:20	45:11 165:22	220:7	2:24 41:20 52:24
160:22 162:10	167:3 168:8 170:6	permitted 128:18	66:6,15 69:20
partner 25:24	204:12,20 207:23	132:17 140:3	199:18
partnership	216:11	199:19	phone 4:24 11:23
160:10	pay 43:7 67:9	person 25:24,25	28:15 40:3,7,16,17
parts 108:20	91:17	42:22 46:6,6	40:23,23 41:7,11
142:20	paycheck 113:9	48:10 49:25 59:4	67:7,8,10 72:18,19
party 96:14	paying 77:21	59:4 68:7,7,8,8,15	72:23,25 84:3
137:13 139:20	payroll 11:12,13	69:25 70:1,2,6,8	101:6,7,9 103:18
pass 34:9 82:19	11:15,16	71:22 81:14 98:16	103:18 122:8
104:9	peacemaker	117:23 122:18,24	128:1,1,10 184:16
passenger 38:23	125:13,15,17	130:18 136:24	phones 184:16
passes 110:18	pennies 50:19	143:23 148:11,14	phonetic 8:25
passing 106:4	pennsylvania 1:1	148:16 150:23	11:20 24:4 41:9
patrolman 54:16	75:10	154:3,5,6,7,8	83:16 108:1 126:5
patron 63:8	people 24:1 25:18	171:8,15 173:10	207:11 212:4
patrons 62:4	35:7 52:15 53:24	173:12,13,14,15	221:16
71:13 76:16	59:10 69:12,13,19	178:1,6,7 181:18	photo 123:24
patterson 1:13,14	72:7,25 73:9 76:2	189:9,10,11 199:3	photos 33:9 97:13
3:4 5:25 6:1 7:21	76:5,25 80:17,22	205:11	phrase 120:1
7:22,24,25 45:1,2	80:23 81:21 84:17	person's 129:2	165:23
45:4,8,12 80:12	85:13 86:21 87:13	150:16,17	

[physical - presumption]

Page 33

physical 110:11	202:24	65:23 66:6,13,16	practically 107:2
149:23,23 150:7	pleases 209:1	69:20 70:23 74:25	precinct 69:12
physically 13:8,20	pled 129:7	81:22,25 82:1,3	preclude 151:20
19:2,3,22 22:4	plenty 121:5	83:18 86:25	preconceived
24:19 25:20 27:13	plexiglas 14:7,8	103:23 104:23	163:6
28:18 108:24,24	59:23 105:22	108:8 125:21,21	prejudice 128:25
111:23 116:15	107:2,12	143:14,15,23	137:12
picked 34:2	plo 221:16	201:17,23	preliminary 47:19
picks 111:24	plug 57:22	political 83:5	132:13 134:15
picture 10:8 34:22	plural 98:14	politics 200:5	136:9
54:20,20 85:4	plus 91:6	portion 7:13 83:24	premier 222:21
100:18	pnc 29:14,14	116:24	prepaid 40:5
pictures 32:23	pocket 18:4,8,9,13	portions 82:24	prepared 47:15
34:6	33:25 34:5 58:4,7	position 17:11	preponderance
piece 54:18 73:24	58:8 59:25 62:3,4	18:17 57:4 83:4	50:10,12,16,21,24
73:25 184:25	62:7 63:23 71:17	129:5 180:1	51:9 78:21 179:5
pin 57:23	86:1 120:25	183:11 195:3,9,9	180:5,6,8,22
pinkerton 190:21	123:13,16	197:8 219:24	presence 148:11
193:5,10,11	pockets 21:11,12	possess 216:20	172:12 189:8
pitfalls 73:12	point 13:21 17:12	220:23	present 46:10
place 59:15 75:6	19:5 20:18,18	possessed 217:11	129:21 139:3,7,11
86:17 186:4,9	38:5 47:16 57:3,5	possession 148:15	144:15 155:23
188:13	57:17 59:22,24	171:8 172:18	164:13 176:3
placed 179:22,25	64:18 76:10 77:6	220:20	214:22 219:22
places 24:1	91:11 104:18	possibility 11:22	presentation 6:21
plaid 80:3	107:12 110:6	possible 17:16	83:10
plaintiff 1:3	121:6 123:13	42:22 98:19,19	presented 4:23
plan 106:15	161:9 193:6	130:12,13 139:3,4	7:12 65:6 128:17
107:15 112:16	196:11 197:18,19	139:11,12 141:10	129:12 136:4
planning 116:9	pointed 23:16 33:4	181:15 182:15	138:21 139:9,10
plate 42:16 75:4	33:6,17 61:19	185:4 208:17	141:20 166:1
play 83:22,23,23	pointing 61:25	possibly 38:20	169:1 180:25
107:1,5 127:2	67:21	66:11 75:5 102:11	189:17 197:6
205:14	points 14:2 28:14	102:15 105:23	202:11 206:20
played 41:2 53:15	61:13,22,23	potato 65:2	preside 181:19
53:22 164:4	police 22:1,3,4,8	potential 68:22	pressured 86:5
playing 53:16	34:11 37:18,20	172:1,21	presumed 129:8
plays 41:16	38:2,13,18 39:21	potentially 152:20	presumption
please 4:3 7:8 45:4	39:23 41:3,20	powder 56:25	129:10,12,15,19
49:10,11 77:24	42:21 44:3,8	powered 67:10	182:3
123:20 170:5	52:25 64:3 65:22		

[pretty - pushing] Page 34

70.5	(150 0 10 156 4 0	1450
pretty 72:5	professional 142:8	152:9,18 156:4,9	provision 145:2
prevail 195:25	progress 81:24	157:12,20,25	provisions 145:2
prevent 13:23	82:4	159:4,22,25	provocative 6:12
preventing 36:17	projectile 32:14	160:20,24 161:17	prudent 48:10
primarily 194:22	52:22 56:25 57:14	161:24 162:3,9,13	49:25
principal 32:2	173:1	163:4,16,23 164:3	public 129:1
154:9 173:16	prominently 76:15	164:15,22 165:11	pull 12:11 14:17
194:6 196:21,25	promise 45:8	166:10 167:15,22	17:11 18:4,7
principals 175:10	prompt 178:5	171:16 172:16	23:19 27:8,9,9
194:6	prompting 178:7	176:9,15 180:8,9	30:11,12 85:3,9
principle 9:13	prompts 178:1	proved 57:20 58:6	123:20
principles 32:19	proof 8:6 47:18,20	71:23 129:17	pulled 38:16 52:17
174:20	47:21 50:6 90:5,6	130:25 143:18	85:24 106:11,12
printed 206:13	129:22 130:11,12	146:25 154:17	125:5
prior 11:13,14	141:5 142:25	158:20 160:13	pulling 22:5 73:21
probability 93:16	147:11,13 177:18	162:20 163:12	pulls 18:10 35:22
probable 180:1	177:19,21 180:7	164:25 165:7	35:25 60:21 61:6
probably 39:24	properly 6:10	167:20 170:21	104:4,13 114:13
55:3 74:24 85:23	property 80:21	174:5 175:3,13	116:7 121:23
206:18	147:20,21 148:11	178:15,20,22	125:5,6 126:5
problem 6:9 12:2	148:15,15,16,25	179:5 180:21	punctuation 4:20
43:4,8 44:1 86:1	149:5 151:3,8,12	182:11 186:1	punishment
109:20,21,22,22	151:13 152:7	188:6,24 201:19	143:22 182:14,15
109:23 196:20,23	proposed 194:24	202:1	purport 61:7
197:25 217:25	198:6	proven 60:19	purportedly 62:10
problems 109:21	prosecution 143:1	122:19 183:20	purpose 133:4,6
190:5	protect 17:19	186:7	151:14 152:11
procedure 95:10	proudest 85:17	proves 50:7 51:14	155:5 156:5 161:5
95:11 139:19	proudly 76:15	134:21 135:2,3	162:17 165:19
200:19 211:11	prove 9:25 24:11	141:15 146:8	171:23 175:4
proceed 5:15 8:15	49:19,23 50:20	157:7 182:4	176:11 220:23
8:19 79:23 90:10	56:22 57:19 63:2	provide 71:3	purposes 97:5
98:6 173:19	66:2,3,4,5 68:2	127:23 184:11	115:2 166:14
proceeded 82:12	69:24 73:9,25,25	186:15 210:6	216:8
proceedings 97:21	74:1 98:11 114:3	provided 95:15	push 107:4
203:23 223:6	129:21,23 130:5	185:7,9 205:16,20	pushes 107:2
224:6	138:18 139:1	proving 130:10	114:13,15
produce 139:4,7	141:10 143:3	149:9 155:16	pushing 19:15,15
139:12	146:6 147:17	157:22 180:4	20:24 43:22 109:2
profession 129:4	148:23 149:7	181:6	109:2
	150:15 151:24		

[put - read] Page 35

4 6141701	65.05.01.0.04.0	112 20 25 114 11	1 72 11
put 6:14,17 9:1	65:25 81:2 84:2	113:20,25 114:11	quotes 73:11
10:17 29:17 33:19	131:23,24 139:23	115:3,3 116:4,6,15	quoting 4:20
39:19 50:19 51:3	144:11 184:23	116:17,23 117:5	186:15
54:24 58:4 59:3,4	187:15	118:21 122:7	r
59:6 61:2 68:17	quick 53:22 71:6	123:1 125:3	r 4:1 98:1 203:2
69:3,4,5,6 73:11	73:5 74:22,23	126:11,12 144:25	r.c. 1:14
85:21 86:5 118:17	77:4 199:14	146:14 147:19,25	r.d. 38:14
125:16 180:1,10	quickly 203:17,18	148:25 149:4,11	race 129:2
217:17	209:9	149:15,17,25	racking 122:13,21
puts 10:11,12	quinn 1:5 2:2 4:19	150:10 151:2,7,16	radically 90:14
13:24 14:2 25:7	4:22 5:4 8:24	154:11 157:18,21	radio 38:19 41:20
33:24 59:25 61:13	10:10,18,20 11:3,6	157:23 158:1,8,17	81:23,25 82:3
62:4 85:10 101:5	11:18,21,25 12:4	158:24,25 159:2,5	206:11 209:19
108:25 109:9	13:2,8,18,20,23	159:8,13,20,23	rain 9:16,20,23
120:21,25 121:1	14:3,4 15:13,23	160:1,4,11,22,25	135:15
123:13	16:13,14,18,20	161:5 163:10,13	rained 9:18,21,23
putting 12:19,19	17:23 19:2 20:1,2	163:17,24 164:4,8	raining 9:17 57:8
25:10 43:18	20:14,20,21 21:15	164:11,16,20	135:18,19
q	22:4 24:19,24	165:2,6,8,13,15,17	raise 216:5
qualifications	26:4 27:6,21 28:5	165:19 166:7	raise 210.3 raised 18:12
140:10	28:9,11,25 29:4	167:19 168:19	123:11 178:12
quality 137:7	30:14,23 31:2,6,8	170:14,19,24	raises 220:9
	32:3 34:11 35:3,4	173:21 182:1,7,12	
quantity 138:20	35:11,22,25 36:9	186:18 187:2,12	raising 216:4
	36:15 37:25 40:16	193:25 194:4	ran 143:14,15 201:17,23
quarter 93:12,13 94:25 97:18	40:22 43:17 52:13	195:4,9,10 196:13	
	52:14,17 85:2,6,7	quinn's 11:10	rates 222:21,21 rd 147:20 149:1
question 43:2	85:21 86:6,13	28:25 30:6 59:2	
48:19 62:5 64:13	92:11 98:10,22	99:15,20 106:13	reach 6:16 63:4
82:7 86:12 113:24	99:8,12,21 100:3,5	113:6 115:11	73:25 132:12
120:13,14 131:25	100:11 101:4,8,20	119:14 124:1	183:4,15
133:1,8,8,10,12	101:24 102:6,21	148:3 150:13	reached 185:20
136:25 144:13,14	102:24 103:12,16	166:5 168:24	reaches 9:3 209:15
150:23 172:10	103:18,24 104:5,6	quite 56:4 142:5	209:22 211:21
185:19 188:3,21	104:19 105:11,15	quotation 55:14	reaching 19:23,24
189:1,12 207:8	105:17 106:3,8,25	60:17	61:20,20,20,24
213:9,12	107:2,3,10,11,20	quote 13:12,18	134:19 182:15
questioning	108:20,23 109:8	27:22 28:24 30:5	react 10:20
102:25	110:6 111:14,19	109:17 123:17	reaction 10:21
questions 29:8	112:11,13,18,20	124:20	reacts 104:24
49:2,2 53:6,7	112:22,25 113:4		read 48:12 49:6
54:16 56:3 64:11	,		51:24 55:20 56:23

[read - reflect] Page 36

144:12 166:23,23	220:6	magamahler 120,11	101.16
,		reasonably 132:11	184:4,6 recommendation
167:2,5 191:19	reason 33:18,19 44:6 108:17	135:4,17 146:9	198:1
196:11 198:10,13		158:7 159:18,18	
198:15 200:7	118:20 119:10,18	159:25	reconcile 112:1
201:16,22 202:10	124:3,12,16	reasoned 135:10	reconvene 223:7
204:13 206:13	126:12 130:17	reasons 85:9	reconvened 79:18
209:18 218:2	135:6 136:1 143:8	115:10 117:3	170:4 215:14
220:19	143:24 162:23	120:16 138:13	record 39:20 54:6
readily 32:13	200:9 206:17,19	140:3,10,19 194:1	133:22 183:23
57:13 173:1	reasonable 23:15	211:18 217:3	190:2 194:1
reading 144:7	23:21 47:23 48:5	219:1	202:25 214:18
reads 48:4 186:21	48:10,19 49:20,24	reassured 80:8,17	217:3 218:22
187:17 188:5	49:25 50:7,11	rebut 117:13	recorded 39:19
ready 4:7 5:16	51:15 57:20 58:6	rebuttal 8:7 44:21	70:16 76:24 86:18
8:14 54:13 79:23	58:9 63:3 66:2,20	93:8 112:11	recorder 68:19
98:5 104:23	68:2 70:12 71:23	recall 74:3 99:5	recording 68:20
188:19 207:24	74:2 78:9,19 90:3	103:2,21 110:21	70:17 224:6
real 10:8 19:13	90:19 114:4,25	138:2	recordings 139:15
23:16 29:22 32:22	121:12,20 122:20	receive 4:18 140:7	139:16,18,20
32:24 33:8,10,15	129:14,18 130:4,6	received 96:6	records 4:24 5:3
33:24 34:2,13,16	130:10,11,15,16	97:14 131:17,17	11:6,9 12:8 29:24
34:17 38:15,19	130:18 131:2,4	131:17 132:15	40:4,5,7,23 41:8
43:24 53:22 54:7	135:5,9,25 139:2	133:1,9 172:6	113:5
56:21,23 57:1,2,12	141:15 143:18	182:17 202:13	recount 47:12
57:12,21 66:23	146:8 147:1,18	214:10	recovered 113:10
71:6 73:5 74:22	148:24 150:7,21	receiver 173:3	recovery 141:2,12
76:14,14 77:4	151:25 154:18	receives 82:1	recross 47:8
91:3,4 99:19	156:4,10 157:7,12	recess 79:16 93:2	redo 192:11
105:7 126:9	158:21 160:14,21	93:11,13 97:18	refer 4:19 52:11
219:18,19	161:17 162:13,20	153:12 169:12	147:15 208:7
realistic 124:24	162:24 163:12	170:1 215:9,11	reference 47:16
125:2 175:18	164:25 165:7	222:10	145:16
reality 83:7	166:11 167:20	recessed 79:18	referred 4:12
realized 115:4	170:22 171:17	97:21 170:4	145:5 153:20
really 36:24 64:4	172:17 174:5	215:14 223:6	154:2 157:13
85:3 92:9 101:4	176:10,16 178:16	recklessly 179:22	192:15
102:25 105:2,4,6	179:11,16,18	179:25	referring 221:12
112:5 116:7,8	180:7 181:7 182:5	recognizes 35:7	refers 136:14
118:15 119:25	182:9 183:4,21	recollection 53:17	178:2 188:9
126:15 169:20	186:3,8 188:6,25	62:8 108:2 134:8	reflect 198:18
196:6 207:5 219:7	201:20 202:2	136:25 138:1	

[refresh - review] Page 37

refresh 153:2	188:11 189:4	remind 144:7	rerecording 70:18
refuse 179:19	196:19 197:4	181:23	research 128:6
regard 26:18 28:8	220:1	removed 133:21	184:22
35:3 37:20 43:17	relationship	167:11	resolve 84:7,10
44:5 85:6 166:9	151:15,19	removes 60:15,19	resolved 88:15
214:9	relative 148:16	60:20	216:14
regarding 4:9	relatively 77:22	removing 22:5	resources 66:18
47:20 141:2,12	relax 87:19	renew 193:4,25	respect 4:15 48:4
154:1 158:19	relaxed 87:19	rent 80:23	49:2 50:3 56:8
181:12 197:17	release 208:20	repeat 135:14	62:25 63:24 80:13
regardless 29:21	released 199:17	151:4 206:8	93:25 142:13
181:1 189:10	208:13	repeated 202:6	148:19 157:9
region 1:23 2:23	releasing 210:2	repeating 202:5	167:14 168:4
register 10:25	relevant 68:21	report 200:14	171:24 173:4,6,8
13:19 20:25 21:5	69:8,18	reporting 1:23	173:17 191:12,15
29:18 30:1 31:15	reliability 140:11	2:23	respectfully 183:6
43:20,22 61:18	relied 64:3	represent 80:2	respond 185:3
92:8 104:11 109:8	relieve 181:5	98:10	responded 74:25
109:10,13 110:3,4	religion 129:3	request 63:5	responding 47:6
117:22,24 119:6,7	rely 184:5	218:25	responsibility
119:11,14,17,19	remain 49:4,10	requested 208:12	127:20 182:13,23
120:20 126:12,14	93:17 203:19	require 211:6	responsible 26:13
registered 222:4	212:16	required 93:19	26:14 37:13 70:2
regular 5:3 50:18	remaining 11:10	138:7,25 139:2,6	158:3
113:12	199:16	139:10 141:22	rest 195:1 207:16
reimbursed 100:6	remains 110:4	143:3 152:18	209:21
100:6,12 110:7	142:25	155:14 162:8	restrictions
111:16	remarkable 80:25	166:8 167:15	209:21
reject 122:1	remember 9:15	169:17 172:14	restroom 206:5
142:17,19	28:22 33:16 37:11	175:22 177:19	result 148:2 163:6
relate 46:9	38:18 40:8 47:2	requirement	results 32:16
related 46:8 121:4	47:10,13 55:4,5	141:8,11 155:15	resume 203:20
139:3,11 163:3	59:20 63:13 72:19	155:16 156:17	retrieve 207:2
relates 78:1	73:5,13 75:21	175:23 176:23	return 5:4 41:12
relation 19:21	80:3 81:25 102:18	requirements	44:23 92:22 131:2
55:25 113:22	104:5 105:22	154:19 158:22	131:6 146:22
137:12 145:12	107:7 110:25	174:6 220:22	153:25 185:22
171:1,19,22 172:4	112:12 120:3,21	requires 129:15	188:19 208:13
173:9,24 174:18	123:11 134:2	reread 192:10	210:8 218:5
174:21 175:2,11	137:1 144:20	rereading 192:9	review 69:12
177:15 187:8	181:4 184:1		108:9 217:3 221:7

[reviewed - sanchez] Page 38

	010 1 0 010 0	105 0 106 1 2	105 20 25 100 10
reviewed 96:19	212:1,9 213:8	125:9 126:1,3	185:20,25 188:18
reviewing 69:13	214:13,20 215:1,8	144:25 145:5,6,7	203:6,16 204:5
142:10 192:14	215:9,17,18 217:4	145:17 147:11,15	205:10 206:23
revised 5:22	217:8 218:7	147:16 148:1,8,9	207:9,12 222:15
revolving 59:10	219:12,13,15	148:10,20 150:4	222:24
ride 94:6,11	220:12,15,18	151:23 152:1	ross 41:24 110:22
right 6:5,22 8:11	221:17,22 222:9	154:13,15,25	110:23
8:14 9:17 10:8	rise 7:5 79:14,21	157:8,18,24	round 53:5,6,13
13:4,16,16 14:15	95:1 97:20 98:3	158:18 160:18	53:14 54:8,23
14:19 15:15,16,20	169:14 170:2,7	161:23 169:5,6	55:4,8 60:1
15:25 16:2,12	204:6,21 207:18	170:17,25 171:7	122:22 123:5
17:5 18:6,15	208:2 210:20	171:20,21,25	rounded 121:12
19:25 20:2,8,9,12	215:13	172:2,5,9,11,20,23	179:11
28:2,10,22,22 30:8	rob 26:8 75:19	173:6,18 174:11	rounds 52:24
30:11 31:4 33:25	76:1 110:7	174:18,21 175:6	rule 47:3,11 158:2
40:18 41:6 42:10	robbed 64:15	175:12,19 186:22	158:19,19
42:13,15,20 43:1,4	72:15 88:8	195:21 196:17,19	ruled 7:13 133:16
46:3,20 48:2 49:3	robber 90:25	197:3,5	rules 48:5 53:17
49:4,10 54:7 58:4	robber's 88:8	robbing 76:17	62:8 90:9 132:14
58:7,7 60:2,6	robberies 109:21	robert 1:10,13	132:17,20 139:21
61:19 62:3 63:23	robbery 8:23 9:6	robs 76:1	139:24 210:6
65:16,19 69:14,15	22:13,17,18 25:9	rodriguez 11:20	rulings 132:23
73:20 76:3,6,11	25:14,19 26:3,7,15	11:24 12:6 21:25	rumors 131:11
80:3 82:8 83:16	26:19 27:3 31:24	24:2 28:15 34:15	run 29:25 75:3
83:17 84:6,8,9,10	31:25 32:10 39:24	44:10,13 72:10	87:8 92:5 115:22
86:19 87:11 89:10	40:11,12,12 44:20	74:7 82:7 83:25	143:23 153:6
92:2,12 94:21	49:21 66:21 70:14	84:2 85:11,15	running 16:1,2,6,6
97:11,17 98:5	71:6 72:12,13	98:24 99:5 100:10	16:9 31:3,4 38:8
106:3,4,10,18	73:1,10,23 74:5,8	101:5,9,19 103:19	88:4
107:13 110:15	74:9,11 76:4 79:8	110:18,19 111:9	runs 16:10,11
117:5,22 118:24	81:17,18,22 82:4,6	113:12 115:13,15	rush 29:16
119:12 120:5	82:12 84:6,11	122:4 125:20	ryan 191:2
123:22 125:16	86:8,24 87:7,17	rodriquez 22:7	
142:25 153:6	89:24 91:8,16,17	87:14	S
167:9 170:9	92:4,5,13,15 98:15	role 164:4 210:5	s 4:1 98:1,1,1
183:10 189:21	101:7,8 109:21,22	roll 39:2	safe 62:17
191:11 192:3,8	110:13,13,24	rolls 24:3	safety 108:18
193:3,14,18	111:2,11 112:25	room 68:16	sake 120:17
195:22 196:8,8	111:2,11 112:25	127:17 128:3,12	sale 25:1
198:21 201:6,11	117:2,3,7,8 121:1	181:14,17 183:2	sanchez 12:14
204:4,7 211:3,23	121:2,15 124:25	183:23 184:19	13:3 14:14,22
		□ IO.J.∠.J IO♥.I7	16:16 19:7,10,12

[sanchez - selected] Page 39

21:14 22:2 23:14	116:2 195:3 196:5	67:4	see 9:17 14:12
23:19 25:10 27:7	says 13:6 14:19	screen 16:12 58:16	15:19,20,21 16:3,4
28:5 53:3 62:12	18:22,23 26:1,2	76:11,13 86:19	17:25 18:7 19:5
62:14 64:7,12	29:1 30:11 41:12	script 91:3	25:8 31:19,23
72:1,8 73:6,13,19	54:9 76:20 87:23	script 51.3 scroll 58:21	32:4 34:19 38:7
73:19 74:4 82:6	88:6 99:19,21	seated 4:3 7:8	39:16 42:22 46:2
84:9,21 87:25	104:13 117:24,25	79:22 95:3 98:4	53:17,19,21,22,22
88:1,5 100:10	118:12,23 136:17	169:16 170:5,8	53:23 54:17 58:17
102:18,23 105:14	187:21 194:5	204:10,23 207:20	58:23 61:10 72:7
102.18,23 103.14	198:12 217:10	204.10,23 207.20	74:9 77:3 78:9
110:25 111:2	scale 50:17,18,18	second 10:16 12:7	83:8 84:16 86:23
		17:21 22:23 29:12	87:4,14 88:23
116:1 150:12,20 151:10,17	50:25 180:13,13 180:17	35:15 36:13 44:3	89:17,20,21 91:1,5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, , ,
sanchez's 106:7 sat 80:22	scales 52:9 78:22 79:3	105:7,7,11,11 107:21,22 120:6	91:6,14 99:23 101:12 102:4,11
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	′
satisfied 48:18 129:17 188:24	scam 10:10 28:12 29:7,11,21 100:14	121:12,23 123:3 123:23 124:6	104:6,7,21 105:8
	, ,		105:12,21 106:21 106:25 107:11
satisfies 149:9,12	100:15,18,20,22	125:23 126:4	
satisfy 51:3,5,8	100:25 101:16	127:7 147:24	110:1 112:4 113:4
155:14 156:17	scared 23:17	148:9 153:6 155:1	113:6 114:4 115:6
175:22 176:22	72:10	157:5 159:7	117:24 118:9
214:12	scary 86:7	160:20 166:21	137:6,25 150:17
saw 4:16 11:12,23	scenarios 70:9	167:1 171:1	153:13 183:12
13:2 16:1 26:21	scene 22:5 41:3,4	174:13 179:10	185:6 199:21
26:23 40:3 53:20	41:13 124:24	181:23 190:9,10	207:17 218:8
55:6 59:14 61:6	125:3,8 175:19	191:8 192:4 202:4	seeing 9:23 65:15
67:13 69:8 81:18	schedule 8:13 94:1	219:10,23	67:5
83:1 84:24 85:10	94:15	secondhand 63:7	seen 17:16 38:24
100:16 103:3	schematics 37:3	seconds 14:23	43:6 58:20 59:21
104:4,5,14 105:3	scheme 163:2,7	15:3,15,19,23,24	61:22 62:3 63:6
107:20 111:23,25	school 81:8	16:8,10,11,23	63:23 64:24 75:21
114:11 115:12,20	schwarzenegger	18:14,16,22,24	81:16 102:9,10
116:15 131:10	88:18	20:22,23,24,25	108:13 110:2
139:14 153:19	scintilla 193:11	27:10 31:4 41:18	114:19 126:22
saying 12:22,22	scope 159:14	106:1 118:6	127:1 128:19
14:16 21:15 28:15	160:2,3	120:18	131:12 132:4
31:14,16 55:11	scream 44:10	secret 66:18	134:24
65:17,20 73:19	screaming 13:11	185:12	sees 13:18 18:5
82:10 88:17	13:11 14:13 16:15	sections 145:4,14	38:22,25 125:4
101:24,25 110:25	16:16,16,24 20:16	security 26:10	selected 82:24
111:3 112:18,19	22:9 25:6 27:7	203:4	83:18

[sell - six] Page 40

sell 22:22 24:1 s	set 32:19 45:6	89:21 95:18	sign 184:25 185:22
99:20	135:22 149:6	100:16 105:6	187:22,23 188:17
semi 5:3 53:1	167:12 196:3	114:5,24 116:12	198:12,13,17
send 93:20 94:8,11	205:11 211:12	156:15,18 171:10	218:4
94:20 144:14	213:15	172:15 176:21,23	signed 4:17 214:14
	sets 24:25	208:4	215:17
	setting 69:14,16	showed 33:22 46:3	significance
/ /	seven 16:8 39:11	78:24 82:17 83:7	101:23 143:4
29:23 35:6 56:2,7	40:11,15 112:14	91:6,8	significant 102:25
56:11,12,15 57:9	137:17	showing 164:19	silence 66:13
' '	seventh 184:23	shown 75:17	silent 49:4,10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sexual 129:3	133:14 140:22	85:13
	shake 88:8,15	shows 68:24 76:14	silver 76:19
81:19 88:9 90:11	153:1,21	83:19 156:2	simple 22:18,18
	shaking 9:20	164:10 176:7	26:6 110:10
·	shape 121:4	shut 14:24 22:11	126:16
	shared 161:5	44:11 88:19	simply 103:11
senses 134:24	165:19	125:19	111:22 114:21
sensible 85:23	sharon 2:7 224:3	sic 9:5 143:9	132:18 134:20
sent 17:2 54:24	224:14	158:25 186:18	135:6 137:25
93:18,18 s	sharpnack 38:9	sick 208:18	145:22
sentence 151:4	41:24 42:5	side 6:17 12:10,25	single 15:5 36:13
168:10 190:13 s	sharpneck 42:7	13:1,7,9,19,25	44:16 68:20 99:3
separate 12:1	110:23	14:3 15:21,21,25	100:23 103:22,22
120:23 146:15,23 s	she'd 75:21	16:1,2,3,4,19	165:25 167:11
147:3 168:1 169:7 s	she'll 12:2 205:6	21:16 25:6 27:24	169:21
186:13 187:13 s	sheet 212:17	30:4 31:11,15	sinks 50:23
separately 146:21 s	sheets 147:3	34:25 38:23 39:1	sir 202:16
147:9 s	shift 50:9	40:10 51:4,4	sirens 22:3,4 37:24
sequence 59:12 s	shifts 143:2	53:12 71:13,16	38:6 44:3 87:2,3,5
68:21 105:4 s	shirt 80:3	78:22 85:10 104:7	sit 37:15 62:5
	shook 72:16 88:6	104:7 105:13,24	69:17 80:16,18
	shoprite 117:18	123:12 180:20	81:3 215:10 223:2
60:14,20 121:10 s	short 93:7 215:18	sidebar 132:24	site 27:2
179:8	215:19	166:2,19,24	sitting 25:9 38:12
•	shots 94:1	169:23 189:22	80:18 115:7
	shoulder 105:14	190:2 201:9	situation 19:8 86:6
	show 5:3 34:22	sides 80:10 83:6	118:2,8 150:22
128:2,3 184:17,18	45:18,20 46:4	99:15 180:13	179:22,25
services 208:19	54:10 66:4 81:12	sierra 40:6 112:13	six 1:6 16:8,10
	83:6,12,13,18		25:4 46:2 112:14

[six - spin] Page 41

		I	1
117:24 137:14	108:18,24 109:3	40:9,20 46:7 59:6	sort 32:15 87:5
184:10	111:19,21,24	59:12 115:4	89:13 98:24 106:3
skill 140:1,17	112:14,18,20	121:17 178:18	106:4 107:3
slate 129:9	113:21,25 114:12	179:1,13 180:14	108:25 109:1
sleeve 109:9	116:7,16 119:4,12	202:5 211:20	130:20
sleeves 20:23	120:1,21,24	smokescreen	sorts 115:5
slight 152:21	121:19 123:1,14	126:9	sound 84:22 87:22
slightly 52:9 93:25	124:13,18 125:5	snippets 83:5	140:20 224:5
219:24 220:2	125:23 143:14,15	89:21 91:7	sounds 32:9 75:18
slim 24:24	143:25 144:24	soaking 68:16	southwood 1:14
slip 195:8	146:13 147:19,24	soda 24:25	spanish 14:25
slipped 167:10	148:3,24 149:4,11	sole 136:13 141:23	17:20 84:19,20,21
slot 69:4	149:14,16,24	144:3	speak 9:10 12:12
smart 35:6 128:1	150:9,13 151:2,7	solely 128:16	84:15,20 103:5
184:16	151:16 154:11	206:20	112:21,21 181:18
smelled 134:25	157:17,20,21,22	somebody 9:19	183:25 200:25
smile 209:1 222:5	158:1,7,16,24	26:2 41:23 42:10	speaker 103:18
smith 1:4,13 5:4	159:5,7,12,19,22	43:25 46:15 49:9	speaking 122:11
8:23 9:2,4 15:16	160:1,3,10,21,25	52:22 53:9 54:8	specific 141:1,9,11
15:22,25 17:3,4,13	161:4 163:10,13	54:19 55:8 69:11	155:7,9
17:14 18:2,4,7,17	163:17,24 164:3,8	73:18 88:10 89:3	specifically 49:7
18:24 19:2,20	164:11,15,20	98:16	74:4 148:4,8
20:3,19 22:5 26:4	165:1,5,8,12,14,17	somebody's 9:25	159:23 200:14
26:20,22,24,25	165:18 166:4,7	57:8 76:21	specifying 145:21
27:15,18,19 28:3	167:18 168:19,24	someplace 75:2	spectator 155:24
31:1,14,15 32:2	170:13,18,23	something's 23:24	176:4
33:4,21,24 34:13	173:21 178:11,12	somewhat 87:13	speculate 55:13
35:21 37:18,23	178:16,21,22,24	87:19 180:14	56:9 68:3,10
38:4,7,10,21,25	179:4,7,10,15,18	soon 42:21 185:3	70:11 78:8,25
39:1,4,9,18 40:2,3	179:21,24 180:3	202:11 203:20	speculating 56:11
40:4,8,14,14,20,23	180:11,15,21	206:25	56:18 57:6 78:5
40:24 41:7,11,19	181:5 182:1,6,11	sopping 9:19	speculation
41:22 42:3,5,8,12	186:18 187:1,12	sorry 29:8 61:10	130:14
42:14,17,24 43:3,5	192:15,16 201:17	82:20 96:22,25	speed 27:3 34:14
43:10 46:7,8,9	201:23 202:7,8,8	109:19 115:22	39:7
49:15 50:9 51:1	212:16 215:5	157:20 159:1	spell 202:25
51:16 52:2 55:10	216:1	179:22 187:11	spelling 162:1
55:12 58:14 59:2	smith's 18:10,16	191:14 195:17	spends 16:23
60:9,24 78:20	19:21 20:10 21:9	196:22 198:19	spent 43:11 112:7
106:1,2,10 107:9	21:10 27:2 31:4	200:12,23 201:4	spin 57:25 61:2
107:23 108:15,15	31:10 38:17 39:11	214:6 216:9	_

[split - store] Page 42

colit 02:7	starting 46:20	86:24 91:25	159:1,1,5,8,12,19
split 92:7	starting 46:20 starts 10:10 11:8		
spoke 114:6,16		129:11,24	159:22 160:1,4,11
spoken 37:5	19:15 20:16 22:8	steal 73:10,15	160:22,25 161:4
161:20 168:15	22:9 28:11,12	stealing 63:25	163:10,13,17,24
spontaneity	37:23 38:4,14	steals 26:12	164:3,8,11,16,20
107:14	126:11	step 10:9 29:6	165:1,5,8,12,14,17
spontaneous	state 22:22 23:25	31:20 104:5	165:18 166:5,7
107:15 108:14	24:6 48:8 74:24	steps 57:10	167:19 168:19,24
spouse 88:12	139:22 150:11,17	stevens 1:5,16	170:13,19,23
spreads 99:22,25	150:24 151:10	8:24 12:23,25	173:21 182:1,6,11
stage 203:23	165:4 178:2 190:6	13:7 14:10,12,16	186:18 187:1,11
stamp 58:22	202:24	14:18 15:15,24	187:12
stand 10:15 13:4	stated 132:2 140:6	18:15,20,21 19:5,6	stills 59:14 61:6
60:6,7 71:13 86:3	194:1	19:10,11,14 20:4,5	64:24 105:5
87:17,18 102:19	statement 29:9	21:19,19,21,22,24	stipulated 40:17
103:9 112:5 143:7	45:15,15,17,25	22:6 23:5 25:5	131:19 141:18,21
153:1 169:10	46:8 149:23	27:4,5,7 30:2 33:5	stipulation 4:20
193:19	169:19	33:15 34:10,25	4:21 95:6
standard 50:11,12	statements 65:3,6	35:5,24 44:5 59:3	stipulations 4:16
180:7 221:14	73:22,23 131:22	65:19 72:16 73:14	5:5,7 95:5,9
standby 205:7	162:25 168:12,13	80:2,14 84:17,18	141:19,21 211:10
standing 14:11,15	168:15,20,23	85:4,11 86:10,13	215:16
19:18 21:9,12,13	190:12	87:15 88:3,17,22	stole 26:13,20,25
30:4 62:14 65:16	states 1:1,2,7	90:20,23 92:22	39:21
92:2	145:3,3,14 152:8	103:13,13 104:16	stolen 19:24
standpoint 53:20	160:7,12,16 161:2	104:24 105:1,8,16	stood 62:6 81:13
stands 62:5	161:7 165:4	106:19,21,22	stop 15:6 69:10
standup 152:25	167:24 221:15,16	107:1,8,11,20	73:1 82:18 153:1
166:20 169:12	stating 74:4	109:3 113:21	206:5
190:1	status 129:4	114:1,14,14 115:9	stopped 39:11
standups 153:17	statute 145:8	115:12 116:1	43:5,9
153:19	stay 21:22 50:19	119:4 122:25	store 8:24,25 9:1
start 4:7 38:7	91:16 93:19 94:5	124:13,17 125:6	10:12,14 11:20
51:10 61:8,16	94:6 185:12	125:12,17 144:25	12:7,14 13:24
65:12 93:22	198:24 199:23	146:13 147:19,25	14:10 15:6,8,12,14
142:19 147:12	203:11 214:23,23	148:3,24 149:4,11	15:17 16:9,10,13
184:10 204:25	215:10	149:14,17,24	16:15,19 17:10,15
started 19:2 47:20	stayed 58:7 87:16	150:10,13 151:2,7	17:18,18 18:3,8,13
70:18 74:21 93:13	90:20	151:16 154:11	18:22 20:11 21:11
129:9	stays 11:17 19:9	157:17,21,22	22:11 23:8 25:1
	30:16 51:3 86:24	158:1,8,17,24,25	26:19,22,25 27:1,6

[store - take] Page 43

			1 1 -
27:11 29:17 30:21	strangle 29:17	succeeded 203:6	172:12
30:23 31:5,7	strangled 23:10	successful 118:22	surveillance 11:7
33:21 35:15 37:6	strangles 20:17,20	119:4 124:5,12	24:19 141:2,12
38:1 39:14 42:25	street 1:11,17,24	167:16	suspect 38:20
44:2,3,11,14,17	2:4,24 29:14 38:9	sudden 116:14	suspicion 130:9
52:7,10,15 53:3	41:6 67:21 76:3,6	sufficient 138:13	135:10
58:3 59:13,16	77:2 87:5 110:23	140:17 146:7	suspicions 131:11
62:15,17 63:25	110:24 212:25	164:15	sustain 147:11,13
64:5 65:2,4,8,19	stress 76:7	suggest 48:21	sustained 133:7,18
66:9 67:22 68:17	stresses 76:8	82:10 88:20 92:14	swats 107:3
69:14,22 70:8	stretch 83:7 94:10	suggested 201:10	sweats 80:5
71:15,23 72:1,9,20	190:1	suggesting 56:20	sweatshirt 20:24
73:7 75:19,20,23	stricken 133:21	56:21	31:12 43:18 91:25
75:25 76:2,13,18	strike 52:23	suggestion 78:11	105:13
76:22,22 77:1	179:23	suit 80:5	switch 91:5
84:4,8 85:9,21	strong 72:12	suite 1:12,18,24	switches 90:25
86:14,14 89:9,12	struck 132:3	2:3,24	sworn 202:15,23
91:14 98:22 99:10	students 209:5	sunk 50:25	sympathy 128:25
99:15 100:7,8,9,22	stuff 25:7,8,10	super 82:15	system 81:1
100:23,25 101:2,6	47:4,25 53:5	supervisor 41:5	128:21 146:19
103:13,21 104:15	62:15 63:1 73:10	support 140:20	systems 80:25
104:16,17,19,25	73:15 77:23	supported 44:23	t
105:10,18 106:14	105:22	140:21	
107:23 108:19	subject 208:21	supporting 140:12	t 40:6 98:1 112:12
111:15,20 112:10	209:21 210:2	supposed 32:5	table 6:8,17
113:14 114:1,3,6	subjective 150:16	70:25 118:3	144:10,11
114:13,16 115:5,9	submission 60:19	143:13 165:24	tagged 54:24
116:5,6 118:15,16	63:5	167:10	tags 39:12 42:18
119:6 120:2,4,6,11	submit 51:17	sure 19:7,8 25:17	42:19
120:14,24,24	54:11 63:3 78:14	27:14,17 28:3	tail 20:2
121:5,11,15,22,23	78:23 124:21	32:24 41:25 43:20	tailored 194:20
122:9,24,25	submitted 83:20	54:22 63:13 64:1	take 10:9 17:10
123:14 124:8,17	194:3	84:21 103:4	21:16,16,23 22:15
141:3,13 217:12	substantial 164:4	118:10 205:12,13	26:7 27:20 29:6
217:14,18 220:3	substitute 127:11	206:22,25 209:6	29:15,18 30:1
store's 104:2	209:9,11	218:15 221:6	31:16,16,20 34:17
110:8	substituted 190:4	surprise 116:14	37:8 38:11 39:4
stormed 105:16	190:15	surprised 106:9,9	43:23,24,25 49:10
stormed 103.16 story 75:12 105:6	succeed 156:13	106:13 114:12	54:19,20 57:24
straightforward	176:19	surrounding	62:18 69:4 71:19
136:24	1/0.17	150:1 163:2	71:25 72:24 83:14
130.24		150.1 105.2	85:22 88:2,3,4
	I .	1	1

[take - thing] Page 44

			T	
96:4 98:19,19,20	87:6 152:22 200:5	125:20 207:5	80:8 81:25 87:1	
99:20 101:10	talks 122:6	tells 10:14,18,23	103:1,6 112:12	
102:2 108:22,23	tape 58:5 63:21	11:3,5 17:6,7,9	131:16,18 132:3	
110:7,15 111:14	64:22 72:12 74:10	22:10 41:5 86:21	133:2,4,20,24	
111:21 113:5,14	75:13,16 76:18	99:18 118:9 209:1	136:12,12,16	
119:13,13,13	77:5 81:19 82:22	temp 39:12 42:17	137:16,17,22,22	
120:18,19 127:3	82:25,25 83:5,12	42:19	137:24 138:8,8,12	
143:7 166:16,22	83:15,21,21,22,23	tempers 88:4	138:16,24 139:25	
169:9,12 182:19	83:24 84:13,16,24	ten 18:16 75:20	140:9,14,24	
184:1 185:19	86:4 87:16 88:23	170:3	141:24 142:2,8,11	
189:25 219:20	89:21 90:6 91:5,7	tend 46:12	142:14,17,18,20	
222:16	91:11,12,13,13	tens 53:25	142:21 143:9,12	
taken 11:11 23:7,9	tapes 83:13	term 4:13 82:5	151:9,12,14	
23:10,24 27:17	task 205:25	124:2,14 148:9,22	181:12	
29:5 36:12 62:20	taurus 39:12	150:3 171:4	text 128:2 184:18	
63:18,21 80:9	teacher 209:4	172:24 173:2	thank 5:13 6:2	
99:13 111:15	team 204:14	terminator 88:18	8:18 45:1,2 77:20	
131:25	techniques 141:2	terms 22:19	79:9,10 92:24,25	
takes 9:3 10:11	141:9,10,11	terrible 15:2	97:16 117:9,10,11	
20:23 28:6 35:5	technology 45:9	terrified 13:20	117:16 126:17,18	
44:16 60:10,10	58:15	test 32:15,16,24	126:19 153:23	
61:25 69:25,25	telephone 112:12	33:23 56:14	197:12 198:8	
108:19	127:25 218:12	tested 53:25 56:14	203:3,4,25 210:11	
talk 8:9 12:21	telephones 184:15	58:3	210:13 211:1	
17:14 21:22 26:18	television 205:11	testified 26:24	217:24 221:23	
35:2 37:14 51:24	206:13 209:20	33:6 38:19 40:6	theft 81:24	
89:2,3 90:2,5	211:12 213:15	41:10 73:13,19	theories 31:22	
93:15 95:9 125:21	tell 10:5 11:6 21:4	74:4,7 109:12	36:21 98:13	
128:9 166:2 183:1	24:9,9,12,14 32:12	111:3 137:7	theory 82:13,13	
183:2,5 184:11	32:14,18 49:7	138:20 142:15	117:17	
185:2 191:11	50:15 51:6,12	testifies 134:23	thing 13:22,22	
207:6 212:16	74:22 77:21,24	testify 24:2 49:5	14:19 16:15 25:2	
220:17	81:9 85:15,17	142:23,25 143:5	27:21 28:2 34:9	
talked 28:9 36:20	93:5,21 94:14	testifying 73:6	45:16 46:16 52:8	
81:13 113:23	122:18 132:10	103:10 136:21	66:25 68:20 74:11	
122:5 211:10	185:11,11 193:18	137:10	77:3,5,9,10 79:6	
talking 13:3 17:20	200:24 204:16	testimony 28:24	82:9 85:23 86:20	
17:20 36:12,13	206:9	33:2 34:7 45:18	89:13 92:1 110:16	
37:1,2,3 51:10	teller 29:17	45:19,23 46:22	116:5 119:8	
67:15,18,24 68:4,6	telling 14:24 17:23	48:16,25 51:19	122:17 125:11	
68:14 84:17,18,20	21:21 93:23 109:3	55:25 74:3 78:1	181:16 185:10	

[thing - told] Page 45

192:13 194:2 210:9 211:9,19,19 threatened 22:25 120:19 121: 198:9 199:14 212:11 213:6,7 74:13,13 121:19 123:12 124: 212:10 214:20 215:16,17 121:20 148:13 125:6 126:1 things 7:18 21:24 216:6,12 217:2,11 149:2,8,17 150:10 142:5 148:1 24:5 47:15 48:25 217:12,16 218:7 179:15,16,20 150:8,12 17 49:1 52:10 57:7 218:18,20 219:6 threatening 22:9 172:19 175: 63:17 67:25 80:25 219:23,24 220:1 44:15 192:15 205: 220:18 18 25:10 57:7 220:18 18 25:10 25:10 23:4 5 44:0 200:10 23:0	6,9 7 7 2:19 14 25
212:10 214:20 215:16,17 121:20 148:13 125:6 126:1 things 7:18 21:24 216:6,12 217:2,11 149:2,8,17 150:10 142:5 148:1 24:5 47:15 48:25 217:12,16 218:7 179:15,16,20 150:8,12 17 49:1 52:10 57:7 218:18,20 219:6 threatening 22:9 172:19 175: 63:17 67:25 80:25 219:23,24 220:1 44:15 192:15 205:	7 7 2:19 14 25
things 7:18 21:24 216:6,12 217:2,11 149:2,8,17 150:10 142:5 148:1 24:5 47:15 48:25 217:12,16 218:7 179:15,16,20 150:8,12 17 49:1 52:10 57:7 218:18,20 219:6 threatening 22:9 172:19 175: 63:17 67:25 80:25 219:23,24 220:1 44:15 192:15 205:	7 2:19 14 25
24:5 47:15 48:25 217:12,16 218:7 179:15,16,20 150:8,12 17 49:1 52:10 57:7 218:18,20 219:6 threatening 22:9 172:19 175: 63:17 67:25 80:25 219:23,24 220:1 44:15 192:15 205:	2:19 14 25
49:1 52:10 57:7 218:18,20 219:6 threatening 22:9 172:19 175: 63:17 67:25 80:25 219:23,24 220:1 44:15 192:15 205:	14 25
63:17 67:25 80:25 219:23,24 220:1 44:15 192:15 205:	25
00.17.04.10.06.5	3,4,20
82:17 84:10 86:5 220:18,18,25 threats 23:4,5 44:9 209:10 220:	
88:21,25 90:21 221:24 74:14 89:1 timer 46:20	
95:4 104:3 115:5 thinking 30:19,20 three 4:15 5:7 11:7 times 26:21	58:24
115:24 122:11 43:12 83:12 94:9 12:15 15:7 16:7 59:11,22 62	:6
123:18,25 131:17	22
137:6 181:13 thinks 12:11,15 21:8 23:8 26:8,14 73:16 84:21	98:23
183:12 43:19 26:14 28:4,4 99:10 112:1	4
think 4:20 5:4,6 third 121:17 124:9 36:25 37:20 48:24 113:7 120:2	2,23
6:24 14:21 15:6,7 148:2 151:23 51:25 53:4 62:6 124:4 125:3	
15:8,11 29:9 155:4,14 157:11 65:1 66:8 67:1 135:14 202:	5
33:12,12 36:11 159:12 160:24 73:9,16 80:7 95:9 timid 12:13	
39:25 43:25 44:13 165:3 171:16,17 97:13 107:18 timing 31:3	105:2
45:17,19 47:7 174:16 175:22 114:18 115:1 107:19	
50:4,24 53:4,16	22 79:3
56:2,11 57:19 190:12 194:11 145:11 147:3,17 180:14	
61:23 65:13 67:12 221:14 165:17 169:8 tips 180:17	
67:15 69:11,22 thought 19:12 170:22 185:18 tiptoe 89:22	
70:4 72:9,16 74:5 23:16,18 33:8 190:6 215:15 tired 93:21	
74:8 75:20 78:5 90:23 91:2 97:1 throw 29:7,11 today 29:14	45:9
78:23 81:7 83:2	32:23
93:1 96:18 97:13	
100:21 103:8 191:7 194:25 throws 10:22 211:17	
105:25 107:21 214:7 216:2,4 thumb 69:3,3,6 told 7:15,16	9:13
109:18 110:19 219:7 220:6 thursday 112:8 10:15 14:22	15:1
112:14,15 127:5,5 thoughts 218:21 time 20:6 21:9,14 19:12 22:3 2	23:15
133:11,13 138:16 thousands 54:1 35:15,24 36:8 23:18,19 25	:14
138:23 140:7,22 threat 14:8 15:13 38:15,19,22 41:1 29:9 33:7 38	3:5
142:22 144:19 27:25 52:3 58:13 58:20,20,22,25 45:15 46:2 8	34:14
167:4 169:9,11 60:13,20 62:1 68:20 72:19,24 84:19 99:6	100:8
182:20,21 191:7,8	17
191:10,17 193:4 121:10,13 149:22 80:20 81:15 85:13 118:4 122:5	
194:14 195:24	25
196:4,12 199:14	
203:15 208:9 102:5 105:7 110:5 134:15 141:	

[told - understanding]

Page 46

	T	I	
190:4 202:4	transporting 4:6	85:11,14 87:15	187:15 188:12
203:10 206:17	travel 22:22	88:3,5 89:13	197:13,16 201:14
tomorrow 93:22	treat 133:2 141:20	90:22,25 98:11	202:12 209:17
199:2 203:12,19	treated 158:12	100:5,5,6,16,25	221:17
204:15,25 205:2	168:13	101:9 103:15	type 42:15 47:3
205:17,20 206:24	trial 1:6 7:13	104:1,7,9,17 107:6	48:8,9 49:25 60:3
207:17 208:14,18	47:22 75:17 97:5	107:17 112:19	162:15
208:24 212:5	127:4 129:9,25	115:16,18,24	types 82:2 134:16
219:22 222:10	132:15 134:12	119:14 136:23	134:18
tonight 93:24	136:4,10 137:15	143:22	typically 7:12
117:18 198:24	139:14,23 140:24	turn 169:6	46:12
199:23 203:19	143:2 145:20	turned 39:7	u
214:12 221:4	181:1 182:20	turning 116:4,6	u.s. 1:11
top 110:4	184:2 208:8 210:1	turns 38:6 87:6	ultimately 29:4
totality 72:14	211:15	tv 25:23 83:1	127:18
totally 55:2	trials 47:4	twenty 15:15,23	umbrella 9:20
touchdown 82:19	tried 9:11 90:20	twice 13:13 109:14	57:9 135:16
touched 134:25	101:23 197:7	120:23	unable 109:11
town 53:8	tries 10:13 39:3	twitter 128:5	144:14 205:8
toy 219:20	99:17 107:3	184:20	210:3
track 80:5	109:10 118:21,22	two 9:1 11:16 13:6	unanimous 127:16
traditionally	trigger 33:20	14:12 15:23 16:7	181:25 183:4,16
152:23	true 4:15 9:11	19:16 21:12 28:1	185:20 188:22
train 94:7,12	84:14 89:1,2	28:4 29:16 31:4	unanimously
trained 19:17 20:5	141:18,22 142:21	33:3 34:23 41:8	149:10 186:15,25
20:19 27:19 54:2	180:9,10 210:8	41:10 44:11,14	187:10 188:5
54:2 61:1	211:8	46:10 50:19 52:15	194:5 195:10
training 27:13	trust 213:25	53:24 55:7 63:17	unbiased 63:7
140:2,18	trustworthy	64:21,22 65:7	uncontroverted
transact 60:8	214:16	76:2,5,24 94:16	52:6 59:16 71:23
transacting 62:16	truthful 136:16,24	97:14 107:21,22	78:24
transaction 84:16	try 21:25 28:18	107:22 112:17	underlying 172:8
transacts 23:25	43:13,13 61:11	118:13,16,25	177:13
transcribers 2:7	66:7 84:7 89:19	119:2,12 124:4,7	understand 6:8
transcript 224:5	93:4 94:24 100:22	126:14,24 131:16	103:4 149:20
transcriptionist	116:15 117:23	131:22 134:16	208:12
224:10,14	118:1,11 123:8	137:7,24 144:6	understanding
transpired 64:6	142:6	145:2 160:5,15	37:4 137:8 149:20
transportation	trying 28:13 48:16	161:18 162:14	161:19 162:2,15
152:6	67:1 69:24 73:8	165:14 167:1	162:21 163:7
	73:12 84:9 85:2	181:13 187:3,4,14	102.21 103.7

[understood - viewing]

Page 47

understood 160:4	40:5 46:12 55:24	31:7 33:3,6 34:5	187:23,24 188:15
221:11	56:1 57:2,6,11	36:6 37:7,8 52:13	188:22 192:14
unduly 184:7	68:12 69:18 74:12	53:2,10 54:9,11	195:8 198:11,12
193:12	81:18 90:10	55:6,11,12,16 60:7	198:13,16 205:19
unequivocal 52:6	124:14 127:24	61:7 62:7,13 64:4	209:15,22 210:8
unfold 84:3	128:14 132:6	64:7,11,14 72:9,17	211:8,22 212:11
unfortunately	134:18 137:1	72:18 75:22 82:6	212:17 215:19
55:1 58:16	139:18 141:1,8,11	84:14 85:8,22	217:5 218:3
united 1:1,2,7	149:18 151:3,3,8	88:6 92:8 98:25	verdicts 181:15
145:3,3,14 160:7	171:7,9,13 174:20	99:18,19 100:10	185:21,21
160:12,16 161:2,7	175:10 177:11	100:16 101:5,23	veritext 1:23 2:23
167:24 221:15,15	184:15 199:3	103:3 104:1,4,9,13	versions 98:13
unity 161:5 165:19	201:21 206:5	104:20 105:19	versus 45:25
unknown 39:21	211:11 219:12	108:16,19,20	vestibule 29:15
43:14	222:15,23	109:1,11,25 110:2	victim 150:5
unlawful 52:3	usefulness 172:10	110:5,12,17	video 9:8 11:8
71:7 111:13	uses 82:5 171:4	111:24,25 115:11	14:12 15:20 16:2
121:10 148:10,20	usher 204:4	115:20 120:22	24:19 26:22,23
149:5,10 159:14	ushered 120:2,4,4	124:7 150:12,20	32:22 34:7 35:20
159:21 162:5,17	ushers 60:11	151:10	35:21 36:1 38:16
179:8	usual 7:21	ventura's 18:3,8	39:16 52:16 53:15
unlawfully 111:14	usually 74:17	19:17 20:5 21:3	53:19,20 58:5,19
148:25	utah 75:8,9,10	23:6 27:14 28:23	58:22,23 59:20,21
unmake 60:25	77:18	34:23 63:22 102:7	61:5,14 63:9,21
unreal 57:22	v	103:1 111:22	64:1,2,22,23 65:11
unreasonable 94:7	v 221:15,16	venture 35:19	67:6,14,19 68:19
94:12	valid 10:6	151:17 155:23	69:13,13 72:2,8,12
unspoken 37:5	various 112:8	163:5 175:16	74:9 75:13,16
161:20	vast 66:18,18	176:3	76:18 79:1 80:4
unsuccessful	vehicle 38:21	verbally 149:22	83:20 95:10 96:21
124:8	42:11,13,23 43:2	verdict 4:8,11,15	104:6,8 105:4,5
unusual 4:17 50:8	ventura 9:3 10:14	4:19 31:23 44:23	112:4 115:6,7,12
113:8	10:23 11:19 12:12	44:24 78:15 90:19	122:8,14 123:9
upgraded 81:24	12:17 13:8,17,21	92:22 127:6,15,17	139:15 211:12
82:4	13:24 14:22,24	128:7 131:2,6	videos 51:20,20
upset 101:4,5,12	15:1 17:12,16	134:14,19 137:14	205:15
103:24	18:5,18 19:3,22	146:23 147:3	view 95:20 195:2
urge 115:6	20:15,17,17,20	181:24 182:15,17	206:12 209:20
use 4:13 6:8 10:5	21:7,13 23:13,14	182:21,22 185:17	211:12
29:15,23 32:21,22	23:18 24:20 26:24	185:18 186:13,14	viewing 95:10
32:23,23 33:25	27:8,19,23 28:18	186:16 187:13,22	

[views - whatsoever] Page 48

views 181:20	walkabout 153:20	222:5,24,24	we've 17:17 26:21
183:6	153:21	wanted 11:11 83:7	29:7 36:20 58:19
violating 144:23	walked 9:16 11:4	88:7 199:20,21	59:21 61:22 64:24
144:23	13:3 17:15 35:15	203:11	95:15 190:3
violation 145:2,13	37:5 42:8 110:22	wants 28:25 29:4	203:15 209:8
170:15	110:22,23 121:22	36:2,4 43:20	211:10 213:12
violence 22:24,24	135:14	60:22 75:24 78:4	weakness 89:17,20
23:3 32:8 92:17	walking 18:16	100:11,12 108:20	weapon 53:2
92:18 113:19,22	28:12 61:16	206:4	172:16,25 173:3
113:24 145:13,15	105:10 175:16	watch 81:19 82:20	wearing 98:17
148:13,21 149:2,8	walks 10:10,12	84:13,16 87:15	135:15
149:18 169:9	12:24 14:4,4 18:2	91:13 104:8	website 128:4
170:15,18 173:9	25:1,1 30:23 62:4	105:20 107:6	184:19
173:24 174:3,12	70:7 84:6,7	115:6,13 122:7	webster 40:9,13
175:2 177:15	121:24 126:5	123:9	40:20
187:9,20 188:11	wallet 10:12	watching 38:12,22	week 4:12 45:14
189:5 195:16,24	walnut 1:17 2:4	82:15 84:3 103:24	46:2 71:1
violent 22:19,19	212:25	water 81:6	weekly 11:12
22:24 29:3	want 5:5 6:8,16	wave 57:16,17,17	weeks 117:25
visible 189:11	7:3 19:4 22:15,16	waving 60:2 85:20	weigh 90:10
visual 81:10	35:13 37:14 45:6	way 12:3,7,18	weighing 130:19
139:18	47:16 50:5 55:13	16:22 17:16,21	132:7 138:2 140:8
visualize 50:14	60:5,7 70:10,11,11	18:9 28:16 33:15	140:14
voir 48:23,23 56:3	74:17 76:10 77:20	34:21 36:3,4 38:5	weighs 51:5
voluntarily 163:19	84:1 86:9 89:13	38:9 39:5 61:4	weight 132:9
vote 181:20	91:18,20 93:14,21	62:8 70:7,8 75:19	136:6,8 138:16,18
183:14,15 203:14	94:3,9,13,18	78:5 86:23 91:1	138:23 140:7
voted 185:12,16	100:10,11 101:24	92:7,12,16 101:19	142:4,12,22
votes 153:10	101:25 102:1,2,22	102:7,15 110:19	145:25 169:3
203:15	102:23 103:10	116:3,16 118:10	181:21 184:4,9
vs 1:3	108:22 111:6,10	121:3,3,4 123:7	welcome 98:9
W	111:18 114:4	126:13 131:13	153:24 210:1
w 2:3	116:18 124:15	133:24 143:11	welfare 75:2
waist 60:11	144:18 181:23	145:20 146:19	went 8:24 15:11
wait 49:18 94:12	185:6 192:10	152:5 156:10,22	41:4 42:10,22
203:12 204:11	198:23,23 203:16	157:5,11 162:14	63:11 70:20 75:9
waiting 153:17	204:16 205:2,13	176:16 177:2	124:4,5 195:8
walgreens 117:19	207:15,20 209:3,4	197:6 200:3,4	wet 9:19 57:8
walk 17:9 35:18	209:10,23,24	218:6	68:16 135:15,16
42:6 84:11 125:4	212:11,19 213:19	ways 32:1 37:12	whatsoever 123:1
	219:23 221:25	100:19	126:8

[whichever - zooming]

Page 49

107.1	107 17 00 100 0		. 50.10
whichever 187:4	137:15,20 138:9	words 9:10 10:2	wrote 73:10
white 80:4,21	138:10,15 139:10	31:10,17 50:15	137:15
wife 17:6,7,17,25	141:25 142:4,7,11	62:21 94:2 108:2	X
18:10 40:10,21	142:15 143:7	128:9 149:19	x 3:1
52:5 55:13 58:2	222:23	155:19 158:10	V
58:14 59:12 60:10	witness's 136:16	166:5 175:25	<u>y</u>
60:13,19,21 75:1	137:8,9,16,17,22	197:16	yarn 57:25
77:19 79:8 82:16	137:24 138:11,15	work 64:23 65:8	yeah 42:8,14 57:6
112:19 115:4	140:9,10,12	65:14,23 90:25	65:8 85:25 88:6
120:2,4,6,11 121:5	142:14,16	94:6 118:2,11	190:22 192:19
121:22,24 125:24	witnesses 64:5,10	119:16 125:14	209:7 210:23
126:1,3,4,7,8	64:21,22 65:7,21	161:20 163:20	213:4,14 219:9,15
219:25	68:22 71:20 79:2	191:1 199:19	221:17,19 222:12
wife's 18:11,16	84:25 87:23 112:8	200:15 205:12,13	222:18
59:6 76:22 108:18	131:16 136:14	208:24 221:4	year 71:1 77:18
121:5	137:23 138:20,23	222:25	years 71:1 74:25
wiitels 222:14	139:4,7,12,22,25	worked 95:18	75:8,20
willfully 148:1	140:4,5,6,14,25	working 113:10	yelling 13:7 67:4
wince 207:11	witnessing 137:25	works 22:20 45:10	101:20 103:16
window 29:7 39:2	wittels 1:16,16 3:4	92:16 216:3	105:18,19,20
windowless 9:19	6:3,6,18,25 7:1	219:24 220:2	107:10
68:16	8:1,2 79:13,23,24	world 77:11,12	yells 12:16
windows 57:8	80:1,1 92:25	117:20	york 24:4
windshield 38:24	95:25 96:2 153:5	worry 150:6	youtube 128:5
wisely 92:21	153:23 193:4,8,22	worthy 136:15	184:20
wish 84:22 94:5,19	199:11 200:20	138:10	Z
189:24 199:16	204:2 210:15,16	would've 99:1	
203:18 204:24	212:19,23,24	101:16 114:19	zooming 38:9
215:5	213:4,8,14,24,25	123:1	
wished 156:13	214:14,15 218:8	wow 10:21	
176:19	218:10,13,15,18	write 184:24	
withdraw 194:22	222:7,18,20	185:11,21	
witness 47:6 63:7	woman 44:11	writer 91:3	
63:8 99:12 100:8	woman 44.11 women 80:22		
		writing 58:24	
100:9 102:19	88:14	written 37:1 47:10	
103:3,9 105:5	wonders 80:15	161:25 209:18	
111:8 112:5,13	woodward 2:7	wrong 37:22 38:9	
133:12,15 134:22	224:4,14	89:10 179:23	
134:23,24 136:15	word 48:1,7,13	183:11 187:22	
136:16,17,20,21	77:3 109:15 119:1	201:21	
137:6,7,10,12,14	201:21		